arXiv:1507.04572v3 [math.AG] 1 Sep 2016

Generalization of multi-specializations and multi-asymptotics

Naofumi Honda and Luca Prelli

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to give a new description of the geometry appearing in the multi-specialization along a general family of submanifolds of a real analytic manifold (including some important cases as clean intersection or a simultaneously linearizable family of Lagrangian submanifolds in a cotangent bundle) and then, to extend several properties of the multi-specialization. The notion of multi-asymptotic expansions is also extended. In the local model more general cases are studied: locally we can construct new sheaves of multi-asymptotically developable functions closely related with asymptotics along a subvariety with a simple singularity such as a cusp.

Contents

Introduction **3**

1 Multi-normal deformation **5**
1.1 A simultaneously linearizable family of manifolds 5
1.2 Geometry of multi-normal deformation 7
1.3 A simultaneously linearizable family of transitive type 10
1.4 The local model 14

2 Multicones for a general case **17**
2.1 Fixed points of S_χ 17
2.2 Semigroups of generators 19
2.3 Families of cones 30

2.4 A restriction condition 45

3 Multi-asymptotic expansions 61

3.1 Geometry of multi-asymptotic expansions 61
3.2 Asymptotic polynomials 73
3.3 A family of level functions of multi-asymptotic expansions along χ 78
3.4 Definition of multi-asymptotic expansions along χ and their basic properties 87
3.5 Uniqueness of coefficients I 96
3.6 Uniqueness of coefficients II 102
3.7 The classical sheaves of multi-asymptotically developable functions 106

4 The functor of multi-specialization 108

4.1 A decomposition result on the normal deformation 108
4.2 Multi-specialization 110
4.3 Operations 113
4.4 Multi-specialization and asymptotic expansions 120
4.5 Vanishing theorems and Borel-Ritt exact sequence 124
4.6 Vanishing theorems for tempered holomorphic functions . . . 139

5 Examples 141

5.1 Majima's asymptotics 141
5.2 Examples in $\mathbb{C}^2$ 143
5.3 Examples in $\mathbb{C}^3$ 149
5.4 Classification of multi-asymptotics for the case of two submanifolds 166

A Appendix 185

A.1 Conic sheaves 186
A.2 Multi-conic sheaves 188
A.3 A decomposition theorem for subanalytic open sets 190
A.4 A proof for Propostion 1.2 195

Introduction

We have established, in [6] and [5], the theory of multi-specialization and multi-microlocalization along a simultaneously linearizable family χ of closed submanifolds (see Definition 1.1 and Proposition 1.2) on which we additionally impose some moderate condition for their geometrical configuration, that is, the condition H2 of [6] for χ. A typical example of such a configuration (with the condition H2) of two submanifolds is that they transversally intersect or that one submanifold completely contains the other. However, some important cases do not satisfy this geometrical condition such as two submanifolds which cleanly intersect but not transversally or a simultaneously linearizable family of Lagrangian submanifolds in a cotangent bundle, where we encounter the latter situation in the micro-support estimation of a multi-microlocalized object (Theorem 3.6 [5]).

The primary purpose of our paper is to give a new description of the geometry appearing in the multi-specialization along a general linearizable family χ (without the condition H2), and then, to extend several properties of the multi-specialization functor already established in [6] to this general χ. One should be aware that, however, the geometry appearing in the multi-specialization for a general χ is quite different from that for a χ with the condition H2. For a usual specialization along a closed submanifold M, a (locally defined) conic cone along the submanifold M can be considered as a fundamental geometrical object of this specialization in the sense that both the normal cone $C_M(\cdot)$ along M and a fiber formula for the specialization functor $\nu_M(\cdot)$ along M can be described by using a family of these cones. When we consider the multi-specialization along a simultaneously linearizable family χ of submanifolds $\{M_1, \ldots, M_\ell\}$ in X with the condition H2, the situation is quite similar as that for the usual specialization. The fundamental geometrical object in this case is a multicone that is, by definition, the intersection of ℓ conic cones along submanifolds M_1, M_2, $\ldots$, M_ℓ, respectively. And the multi-normal cone $C_\chi(\cdot)$ along χ and a fiber formula for the multi-specialization functor $\nu_\chi(\cdot)$ along χ can be described by using a family of these multicones as we have shown in [6].

On the other hand a multicone for a general linearizable family χ can be no longer obtained by a set-theoretical operation of conic cones along submanifolds. In fact, as we see in Section 2, it is defined by a semigroup generated by monomials associated with local actions μ_k's ($k = 1, \dots, \ell$), where each action μ_k is induced from the one on the conormal bundle $T_{M_k}X$ of M_k with a local identification $X \simeq T_{M_k}X$ near M_k. Furthermore, when we drop the condition H2, we cannot either expect the zero section S_χ of the multi-normal deformation $\widetilde{X}_\chi$ along χ, i.e., the subset $\{t = 0\}$ in $\widetilde{X}_\chi$, to be a vector bundle over the base space $M = M_1 \cap \cdots \cap M_\ell$. In fact, let us consider χ which consists of two submanifolds intersecting cleanly but not transversally. Then, as Example 1.14 shows, S_χ is not a vector bundle over M even for this simple case. Although several unexpected facts are observed in geometry of the multi-specialization for a general χ as we have already seen, Theorems 2.27 and 4.8 and Equation (4.2) in this paper say that, by using our newly introduced multicones, we can characterize the multi-normal cone and establish a fiber formula for the multi-specialization functor in a usual way.

The shape of a multicone becomes a little bit complicated for a general χ, however, it still has many good properties. It is, in particular, infinitesimally stable under the actions μ_k's. This fact suggests us that an asymptotic expansion can be defined on this multicone since it is, roughly speaking, nothing but a formal Taylor expansion along orbits generated by the actions μ_k's. As a matter of fact, in Section 3, the notion of multi-asymptotic expansions along χ introduced in [6] is successfully extended to the one for a general simultaneously linearizable family χ. Further, our generalization of the multi-asymptotic expansion can manipulate the case that each action μ_k is not necessarily linear. Hence, by choosing a family of appropriate non-linear actions, locally we can construct a new sheaf of multi-asymptotically developable functions which is closely tied with asymptotics along a subvariety with a simple singularity such as a cusp.

We study, in the Section 4, the functorial nature of multi-asymptotics, proving some vanishing theorems which provide a general Borel-Ritt exact sequence in this setting. Similar vanishing results hold for the multispecialization of tempered holomorphic functions. We also study functorial operations of multi-specializations such as a direct image and an inverse image in our general settings. By an operation associated with a desingularization map, for example, we can show isomorphisms between several solution sheaves of holomorphic functions multi-asymptotically developable along different kinds of families of closed complex submanifolds. Such an application to $\mathcal{D}$-modules will be given in a forthcoming paper.

Examples of our previous constructions are given in Section 5, in particular in $\mathbb{C}^2$ and $\mathbb{C}^3$. We also give, in the two manifolds case, a classification for asymptotics provided by the matrix associated to the multi-normal deformation.

We end this work with an Appendix in which we introduce the notion of multi-conic sheaves. Using o-minimal geometry we also obtain a decomposition theorem for subanalytic open sets needed for study of the geometry of sections of multi-conic sheaves.

1 Multi-normal deformation

In [6] the notion of multi-normal deformation was introduced. Here we consider a generalization where we replace the condition H2 with a weaker one. We refer to [7] for the classical normal deformation with respect to one submanifold.

1.1 A simultaneously linearizable family of manifolds

Let X be a real analytic manifold with $\dim X = n$. Hereafter all the manifolds appearing in this paper are assumed to be countable at infinity. Let $\chi = \{M_1, \dots, M_\ell\}$ be a family of closed real analytic submanifolds of X. Set $M := M_1 \cap \cdots \cap M_\ell$. Throughout this note, we always assume that all the submanifolds are connected and that M is also connected. Recall that, for closed submanifolds M and M', we say that M and M' *intersect cleanly* at $q \in M \cap M'$ if and only if $M \cap M'$ is a closed submanifold near q and

$$(T^*_M X)_q + (T^*_{M'} X)_q = (T^*_{M\cap M'} X)_q \tag{1.1}$$

holds.

Definition 1.1. *We say that χ is simultaneously linearizable at $q \in M$ if M_j and M_k $(1 \le j, k \le \ell)$ intersect cleanly at q and if there exist a vector subspace V of $(T^*X)_q$ and its decomposition $V = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_m$ by vector subspaces such that every $(T^*_{M_j} X)_q$ is a direct sum of some V_k's.*

Note that if χ satisfies the condition H2 of [6], then it is simultaneously linearizable.

Proposition 1.2. *Let $\chi = \{M_1, \ldots, M_\ell\}$ be a family of closed submanifolds of X and $q \in M$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

i. *χ is simultaneously linearizable at q.*

ii. *There exist a neighborhood W of q, a neighborhood U of 0 with a system of local coordinates $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, the isomorphism $\psi : W \to U$ and subsets I_j's of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ $(j = 1, \ldots, \ell)$ such that each $\psi(M_j \cap W)$ is defined by equations $x_i = 0$ $(i \in I_j)$.*

The proof of the proposition is rather long, and hence it is given in Appendix A.4. Note that the decomposition $V = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_m$ appearing in Definition 1.1 is not unique. However, if we consider minimal decomposition such that Definition 1.1 holds, the number of V_k's of such a decomposition is unique, and this minimal number m is called *rank of the family χ at q*. Furthermore, when χ is simultaneously linearizable at any point in M, as M is connected by assumption, the rank of the family χ does not depend on the point under consideration.

By Proposition 1.2, we have locally the family $\{I_j\}_{j=1}^{\ell}$ of subsets in $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ so that each M_j is defined by $x_k = 0$ for $k \in I_j$. Let us consider the family $\{\widehat{I}_j\}_{j=1}^{m}$ of equivalence classes of $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\ell} I_j$ by the equivalence relation

$$i_1 \sim i_2 \iff \text{"}\, i_1 \in I_j \iff i_2 \in I_j \text{ for all } j = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell\,\text{"}. \tag{1.2}$$

Note that the number m of equivalence classes coincides with the the rank of the family χ. For convenience, we set

$$\widehat{I}_0 = I_0 := \{1, \ldots, n\} \setminus (\bigcup_{j=1}^{\ell} I_j). \tag{1.3}$$

1.2 Geometry of multi-normal deformation

First recall the classical construction of [7] of the normal deformation of X along M_1. We denote it by $\widetilde{X}_{M_1}$ and we denote by $t_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ the deformation parameter. Let $\Omega_{M_1} = \{t_1 > 0\}$ and let us identify $s^{-1}(0)$ with $T_{M_1}X$. We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T_{M_1}X \arrow[r, "s_{M_1}"] \arrow[d, "\tau_{M_1}"] & \widetilde{X}_{M_1} \arrow[d, "p_{M_1}"] & \Omega_{M_1} \arrow[l, "i_{\Omega_{M_1}}"'] \arrow[dl, "\widetilde{p}_{M_1}"] \\
M \arrow[r, "i_{M_1}"] & X. &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{1.4}$$

Set $\widetilde{\Omega}_{M_1} = \{(x;\, t_1)\ ;\ t_1 \neq 0\}$. Let $\chi = \{M_1,\, \ldots,\, M_\ell\}$ be the simultaneously linearizable family given in the previous subsection, and define

$$\widetilde{M_2} := \overline{(p_{M_1}|_{\widetilde{\Omega}_{M_1}})^{-1} M_2}.$$

Then $\widetilde{M_2}$ is a closed smooth submanifold of $\widetilde{X}_{M_1}$ as χ is simultaneously linearizable.

Now we can define the normal deformation along M_1, M_2 as

$$\widetilde{X}_{M_1,M_2} := (\widetilde{X}_{M_1})\widetilde{_{\widetilde{M_2}}}.$$

Then we can define recursively the normal deformation along χ as

$$\widetilde{X} = \widetilde{X}_{M_1,\ldots,M_\ell} := (\widetilde{X}_{M_1,\ldots,M_{\ell-1}})\widetilde{_{\widetilde{M_\ell}}}.$$

Set $S_\chi = \{t_1, \ldots, t_\ell = 0\}$, $M = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\ell} M_i$ and $\Omega_\chi = \{t_1, \ldots, t_\ell > 0\}$. Then we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S_\chi \arrow[r, "s"] \arrow[d, "\tau"] & \widetilde{X} \arrow[d, "p"] & \Omega_\chi \arrow[l, "i_\Omega"'] \arrow[dl, "\widetilde{p}"] \\
M \arrow[r, "i_M"] & X. &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{1.5}$$

In what follows, we always assume:

A.1 χ is a simultaneously linearizable family of manifolds at any point in M.

We denote $\mu_\chi : \widetilde{X} \times (\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell \to \widetilde{X}$ the multi-action associated with χ. Note that, as $\mu_\chi(S_\chi,\, \lambda) \subset S_\chi$ holds for $\lambda \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$, μ_χ induces the action on S_χ, which is denoted by the same symbol hereafter. Then, for a generic point $p \in S_\chi$, the orbit $\mu_\chi(p,\, (\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell) \subset S_\chi$ becomes a real analytic manifold, whose maximal dimension is called *the dimension of an orbit of* μ_χ.

Definition 1.3. *We say that the multi-action* μ_χ *has maximal rank if the dimension of an orbit of* μ_χ *is equal to either the number of submanifolds in* χ *(usually denoted by* ℓ*) or the rank of the family* χ *(usually denoted by* m*). In particular,* μ_χ *is said to be non-degenerate if the dimension of the orbit of* μ_χ *coincides with* ℓ *and transitive if it coincides with* m.

Then we have:

Lemma 1.4. *The following conditions are equivalent.*

1. *The multi-action* μ_χ *is non-degenerate.*
2. $\mu_\chi(x, \lambda) = \mu_\chi(x, \lambda')$ *for any* $x \in S_\chi$ *implies* $\lambda = \lambda'$.

Now we give the local description of the multi-normal deformation if χ satisfies the condition A.1. Let $\{I_j\}_{j=1}^{\ell}$ be the family of subsets in $\{1, \dots, n\}$ in Proposition 1.2, and let $\{\widehat{I}_k\}_{k=1}^{m}$ be the equivalence classes in $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\ell} I_j$ by the equivalence relation (1.2), where m coincides with the rank of the family χ. We define the monomial φ_k $(k = 1, \dots, m)$ of the variables $t_1, \dots, t_\ell$ by

$$\varphi_k(t_1, \dots, t_\ell) = \prod_{\{j \in \{1,\dots,\ell\};\, \widehat{I}_k \subset I_j\}} t_j. \tag{1.6}$$

We also define the $\ell \times m$-matrix $A_\chi = (a_{jk})$ with

$$a_{jk} = \begin{cases} 1 & \widehat{I}_k \subset I_j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we have, for $t = (t_1, \ldots, t_\ell) \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$,

$$(\log \varphi_1, \ldots, \log \varphi_m) = (\log t_1, \ldots, \log t_\ell) A_\chi.$$

Using these φ_k's, the projection $p : \widetilde{X} \to X$ and the action μ_χ on $\widetilde{X}$ are locally defined as follows: We take

$$(1.7) \qquad (x^{(0)}, x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(m)}) \quad \text{and} \quad (x^{(0)}, x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(m)};\, t_1, \ldots, t_\ell)$$

the local coordinates of X and those of $\widetilde{X}$ respectively. Here $x^{(j)}$ ($j > 0$) denotes the set of coordinates x_i's ($i \in \widehat{I_j}$) and $x^{(0)}$ denotes the rest of coordinates.

Then the projection p is given by, for $(x;\, t) = (x^{(0)},\, x^{(1)},\, \ldots, x^{(m)};\, t_1, \ldots, t_\ell) \in \widetilde{X}$,

$$p(x;\, t) = \left(x^{(0)},\, \varphi_1(t)x^{(1)},\, \ldots,\, \varphi_m(t)x^{(m)}\right).$$

The action μ_χ on $\widetilde{X}$ is defined locally by, for $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_\ell) \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$,

$$(1.8) \quad \mu_\chi((x;\, t), \lambda) = \left(x^{(0)},\, \varphi_1(\lambda)x^{(1)},\, \ldots,\, \varphi_m(\lambda)x^{(m)};\, t_1/\lambda_1,\, \ldots,\, t_\ell/\lambda_\ell\right).$$

It is the composition of the actions μ_j associated to the I_j's, $j = 1, \ldots, \ell$, which are defined locally by, for $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{R}^+$,

(1.9)

$$\mu_j((x;\, t), \lambda_j) = \left(x^{(0)},\, \lambda_j^{a_{j1}}x^{(1)},\, \ldots,\, \lambda_j^{a_{jm}}x^{(m)};\, t_1,\, \ldots,\, t_j/\lambda_j,\, \ldots,\, t_\ell\right).$$

From these local observations, the following lemma easily follows:

Lemma 1.5. *μ_χ has maximal rank if and only if A_χ has maximal rank, that is,*

$$\operatorname{Rank} A_\chi = \min\{\ell,\, m\}.$$

Furthermore, μ_χ is non-degenerate if $\operatorname{Rank} A_\chi = \ell$*, and it is transitive if* $\operatorname{Rank} A_\chi = m$*,*

Now we introduce an important geometrical object.

Definition 1.6. *Let Z be a subset of X. The multi-normal cone to Z along χ is the set $C_\chi(Z) = \overline{\widetilde{p}^{-1}(Z)} \cap S_\chi$.*

The following result has been proved in [5]

Lemma 1.7. *Let $p = (p^{(0)}, p^{(1)}, \dots, p^{(m)}; 0, \dots, 0) \in S_\chi$ and let $Z \subset X$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *$p \in C_\chi(Z)$.*
2. *There exist two sequences*

   $$\{c_\kappa = (c_{1,\kappa}, \dots, c_{\ell,\kappa})\}_{\kappa\in\mathbb{N}} \subset (\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell, \quad \left\{\left(q_\kappa^{(0)}, q_\kappa^{(1)}, \dots, q_\kappa^{(m)}\right)\right\}_{\kappa\in\mathbb{N}} \subset Z$$

   such that $\varphi_j(c_\kappa)q_\kappa^{(j)} \to p^{(j)}$ $(j = 1, \dots, m)$ and $c_{j,\kappa} \to +\infty$ $(j = 1, \dots, \ell)$ when $\kappa \to \infty$.

1.3 A simultaneously linearizable family of transitive type

We first study the case where the zero section S_χ of the multi-normal deformation $\widetilde{X}$ along χ becomes a vector bundle over the base space $M := M_1 \cap \cdots \cap M_\ell$.

Definition 1.8. *We say that a simultaneously linearizable family χ is of transitive type if the associated multi-action μ_χ is transitive. Furthermore, it is said to be of normal type if μ_χ is non-degenerate and transitive.*

Let m be the rank of the family χ, and let ℓ be the number of submanifolds in χ. Note that χ is of normal type if and only if, under the local description of the multi-normal deformation given in the previous subsection, the A_χ is a square matrix, i.e., $\ell = m$ and it is invertible. Furthermore, it follows from the definition that $\ell \geq m$ holds when χ is of transitive type.

Theorem 1.9. *Assume χ is of transitive type. Then S_χ becomes a vector bundle over M.*

Proof. Suppose that X has a system of local coordinates blocks

$$(x^{(0)}, x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(m)})$$

described in the previous subsection, where m is the rank of the family χ. Let

$$f(x) = (f^{(0)}(x),\ f^{(1)}(x), \dots, f^{(m)}(x))$$

be a coordinate transformation on X which sends each M_j to M_j ($j = 1, \dots, \ell$). Let, for $k \geq 1$,

$$f^{(k)}(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}} c^{(k)}_\alpha x^\alpha \qquad (c^{(k)}_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{\# \widehat{I}_k})$$

be the Taylor expansion of $f^{(k)}$ at the origin and set $f^{(k)}_\alpha(x) := c^{(k)}_\alpha x^\alpha$.

We first show the claim that

(1.10)

$f^{(k)}_\alpha(x^{(0)}, \varphi_1(t)x^{(1)}, \dots, \varphi_m(t)x^{(m)})$ is divided by the monomial $\varphi_k(t)$.

Let α with $c^{(k)}_\alpha \neq 0$. By definition, $f^{(k)}(x)$ vanishes on M_j for j with $\widehat{I}_k \subset I_j$, and hence, $f^{(k)}_\alpha(x)$ also vanishes on M_j for such a j, which entails that there exists $i \in I_j$ with $\alpha_i > 0$. Hence $f^{(k)}_\alpha(x^{(0)}, \varphi_1(t)x^{(1)}, \dots, \varphi_m(t)^{(m)})$ has the factor t_j for j with $\widehat{I}_k \subset I_j$, from which the claim follows.

It follows from the claim (1.10) that the induced map $\widetilde{f}$ of f on $\widetilde{X}$ is well-defined since their definitions are

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}^{(k)}(x) &= \frac{1}{\varphi_k(t)} f(x^{(0)}, \varphi_1(t)x^{(1)}, \dots, \varphi_m(t)^{(m)}) \Big|_{t=0} \qquad (k = 1, 2, \dots, m), \\ \tilde{f}^{(0)}(x) &= f(x^{(0)}, 0, \dots, 0), \end{aligned}$$

and $t_j = t_j$. Now assume that there exist some $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$ satisfying

$$\varphi_k(t) = \prod_{j=1}^m (\varphi_j(t))^{\alpha^{(j)}},$$

where we set $\alpha^{(j)} = \sum_{i \in \widehat{I}_j} \alpha_i$. Let e_k be the unit vector with the k-th element being 1. The above equation implies

$$A_\chi e_k = A_\chi {}^t(\alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(m)})$$

which is equal to

$$A_\chi({}^t(\alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(m)}) - e_k) = 0.$$

Since $\operatorname{Rank} A_\chi = m$ holds, we have $({}^t(\alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(m)}) - e_k) = 0$, that is, allowable α's are multi-indices in which the only one element α_i ($i \in \widehat{I}_k$)

is equal to 1. Therefore the associated map of f on $\widetilde{X}$ is the one of vector bundles with the fiber coordinates $(x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(m)})$ whose transformation law is given by the block diagonal map

$$\frac{\partial f^{(1)}}{\partial x^{(1)}}(x^{(0)}, 0, \dots, 0) \oplus \cdots \oplus \frac{\partial f^{(m)}}{\partial x^{(m)}}(x^{(0)}, 0, \dots, 0).$$

Here $\dfrac{\partial f^{(k)}}{\partial x^{(k)}}$ denotes the Jacobian matrix of the map $f^{(k)}$ with respect to variables x_i's $(i \in \widehat{I}_k)$. □

We continue to assume χ to be of transitive type. We now give an explicit description of S_χ. We first define m subsets $B_1, \dots, B_m$ of $\{1, \dots, \ell\}$ in the following way. First take a point $p \in M$ and take a system of local coordinates blocks $(x^{(0)}, x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(m)})$ of X given in the previous subsection, where m is the rank of the family χ. Then we set, for $1 \leq k \leq m$,

$$B_k := \{j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\};\ \widehat{I}_k \subset I_j\}.$$

Since M is connected, a different choice of a point p and that of coordinates gives the same family of subsets B_k's up to permutation. Then we have:

Proposition 1.10. *For $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$, set*

$$N_k := \begin{cases} X & \text{if } B_k = \{1, \dots, \ell\}, \\ \bigcap\limits_{j \notin B_k} M_j & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then S_χ is a direct sum of the following vector bundles over M:

$$\frac{TN_k \underset{X}{\times} M}{\sum\limits_{j \in B_k} \left(T(M_j \cap N_k) \underset{X}{\times} M \right) + TM} \qquad (k = 1, \dots, m).$$

Proof. Let $(x^{(0)}, x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(m)})$ be a system of local coordinates blocks given in the previous subsection, and let $(x^{(0)}; \xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(m)})$ be that of S_χ. Then the local coordinates of $TN_k \underset{X}{\times} M$ is given by

$$(x^{(0)}; \xi^{(k')})_{k' \in \Xi}$$

where Ξ consists of indices k''s such that $\widehat{I}_{k'} \cap I_j = \emptyset$ holds for any $j \notin B_k$. Note that $\widehat{I}_k \cap I_j = \emptyset$ also holds for any $j \notin B_k$.

Let $k' \in \Xi$ with $k' \neq k$. Then, since $\widehat{I}_k$ and $\widehat{I}_{k'}$ are different equivalence classes, it follows from the definition of the equivalence relation that there exists $j \in B_k$ with $\widehat{I}_{k'} \cap I_j = \emptyset$. As a matter of fact, if such a j does not exist, then for any $j \in B_k$, we have $\widehat{I}_{k'} \subset I_j$. As $\widehat{I}_k \subset I_j$ also holds for any $j \in B_k$, we have $\widehat{I}_k = \widehat{I}_{k'}$, which contradicts $k \neq k'$.

Therefore we conclude that the local coordinates of

$$\frac{TN_k \underset{X}{\times} M}{\displaystyle\sum_{j \in B_k} \left(T(M_j \cap N_k) \underset{X}{\times} M \right) + TM}$$

are given by $(x^{(0)}; \xi^{(k)})$. Now let f be a coordinate transformation considered in the proof of Theorem 1.9. Then the corresponding coordinate transformation of the above bundle is clearly given by the matrix $\dfrac{\partial f^{(k)}}{\partial x^{(k)}}(x^{(0)}, 0, \ldots, 0)$, and that of S_χ is given by

$$\frac{\partial f^{(1)}}{\partial x^{(1)}}(x^{(0)}, 0, \ldots, 0) \oplus \cdots \oplus \frac{\partial f^{(m)}}{\partial x^{(m)}}(x^{(0)}, 0, \ldots, 0).$$

as in the proof of Theorem 1.9. Hence the bundle associated with B_k is a subbundle of S_χ over M. This completes the proof. $\square$

We give some examples.

Example 1.11. *Let $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ with coordinates $(x^{(0)}, x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, x^{(3)})$. Define $M_1 = \{x^{(1)} = x^{(3)} = 0\}$, $M_2 = \{x^{(2)} = x^{(3)} = 0\}$ and $M_3 = \{x^{(1)} = x^{(2)} = x^{(3)} = 0\}$. Then*

$$S_\chi = T_M M_1 \oplus T_M M_2 \oplus \frac{TX \underset{X}{\times} M}{TM_1 \underset{X}{\times} M + TM_2 \underset{X}{\times} M}.$$

Example 1.12. *Let $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ with coordinates $(x^{(0)}, x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, x^{(3)})$. Define $M_1 = \{x^{(1)} = x^{(2)} = 0\}$, $M_2 = \{x^{(2)} = x^{(3)} = 0\}$ and $M_3 = \{x^{(1)} = x^{(3)} = 0\}$. Then*

$$S_\chi = T_M M_1 \oplus T_M M_2 \oplus T_M M_3.$$

Remark 1.13. *When χ is of transitive type, the zero section S_χ becomes a vector bundle over M as we have already seen. However, in general, the simultaneously linearizable condition is not enough to assure the existence of a vector bundle structure on S_χ. Another important exceptional case where S_χ has a vector bundle structure has been studied in [5].*

If χ is not of transitive type, generally S_χ is not a vector bundle over $M = \bigcap_k M_k$ as the following example shows:

Example 1.14. *Let $X = \mathbb{R}^3$ with coordinates (x_1, x_2, x_3), and let $\chi = \{M_1, M_2\}$ be a family of closed submanifolds in X defined by $M_1 = \{x_2 = x_3 = 0\}$ and $M_2 = \{x_1 = x_3 = 0\}$. Then S_χ is locally isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^3$ with coordinates (ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_3). Let $f = (f_1, f_2, f_3) : X \to Y$ be a coordinates transformation on X to its copy Y with coordinates (y_1, y_2, y_3) which sends M_1 and M_2 to their copies defined by the same equations $\{y_2 = y_3 = 0\}$ and $\{y_1 = y_3 = 0\}$ respectively. Then the associated coordinates transformation from S_χ to its copy S_χ with coordinates (η_1, η_2, η_3) is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_1 &= \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1}(0)\xi_1, \\
\eta_2 &= \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2}(0)\xi_2, \\
\eta_3 &= \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x_3}(0)\xi_3 + \frac{\partial^2 f_3}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2}(0)\xi_1\xi_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence S_χ is not a vector bundle over $M = \{0\}$.

1.4 The local model

Throughout the paper we will often study the local model, i.e., the case in which $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ with coordinates $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ and the submanifolds $M_j \in \chi$ $(j = 1, \ldots, \ell)$ are defined by $\{x_i = 0,\, i \in I_j\}$ with $I_j \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$. In this setting we are able to study a more general situation we are going to explain below.

First of all, we may allow $I_j = I_k$ if $j \neq k$. The action associated with χ is now defined by $\mu_j(x, \lambda) = (\lambda^{a_{j1}} x_1, \ldots, \lambda^{a_{jn}} x_n)$ with a_{ji} non-negative rational numbers, $a_{ji} \neq 0$ if $i \in I_j$, $a_{ji} = 0$ otherwise. We introduce such a situation from now.

Let $m+1$ be the number of coordinates blocks, that is, coordinates (x) of $\mathbb{R}^n$ are divided into $(m+1)$-coordinates blocks

$$(x^{(0)}, x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(m)}).$$

Under these coordinates blocks, each M_j is assumed to be linearized, that is, for each M_j, there exists the subset $K_j \subset \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ such that

$$M_j = \{x^{(k)} = 0 \ (k \in K_j)\} \qquad (j = 1, 2, \dots, \ell). \tag{1.11}$$

Let $A_\chi = (a_{ij})$ be an $\ell \times m$ matrix with $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$, and we take the positive rational number σ_A so that $\sigma_A a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all the $\sigma_A a_{ij}$ $(1 \leq i \leq \ell,\ 1 \leq j \leq m)$ have no common divisors.

Then we can define a more general normal deformation $\widetilde{X} = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^\ell$ with the map $p : \widetilde{X} \to X$ defined by

$$p(x;\, t) = (x^{(0)},\, \varphi_1(t)^{\sigma_A} x^{(1)},\, \dots,\, \varphi_m(t)^{\sigma_A} x^{(m)}) \tag{1.12}$$

with

$$\varphi_k(t) = \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} t_j^{a_{jk}} \qquad (k = 1, 2, \dots, m). \tag{1.13}$$

Comparing with the matrix A_χ, when $t \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$ we have

$$(\log \varphi_1, \dots, \log \varphi_m) = (\log t_1, \dots, \log t_\ell) A_\chi.$$

In this setting, the action $\mu : \widetilde{X} \times (\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell \to \widetilde{X}$ is defined by the same equation as the one in (1.8), that is,

$$\mu((x;\, t), \lambda) = \left(x^{(0)},\, \varphi_1(\lambda) x^{(1)},\, \dots,\, \varphi_m(\lambda) x^{(m)};\ \frac{t_1}{\lambda_1^{1/\sigma_A}},\, \dots,\, \frac{t_\ell}{\lambda_\ell^{1/\sigma_A}} \right), \tag{1.14}$$

and $\mu_j : \widetilde{X} \times \mathbb{R}^+ \to \widetilde{X}$ for each j is obtained by

$$\mu_j((x;\, t), \lambda_j) = \left(x^{(0)},\, \lambda_j^{a_{j1}} x^{(1)},\, \dots,\, \lambda_j^{a_{jm}} x^{(m)};\ t_1,\, \dots,\, \frac{t_j}{1/\lambda_j^{\sigma_A}},\, \dots,\, t_\ell \right) \tag{1.15}$$

for $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Note that the actions μ and μ_j on X (which are denoted by the same symbols as those on $\widetilde{X}$) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(x,\lambda) &= \left(x^{(0)},\, \varphi_1(\lambda)x^{(1)},\, \ldots,\, \varphi_m(\lambda)x^{(m)}\right), \\ \mu_j(x,\lambda_j) &= \left(x^{(0)},\, \lambda_j^{a_{j1}}x^{(1)},\, \ldots,\, \lambda_j^{a_{jm}}x^{(m)}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{1.16}$$

Then we assume:

A2. Each M_j coincides with the set of fixed points of the action μ_j on X.

That is, we have

$$x \in M_j \iff \mu_j(x,\, \lambda_j) = x \text{ for any } \lambda_j \in \mathbb{R}^+. \tag{1.17}$$

In terms of A_χ, this condition is equivalent to saying that

$$a_{jk} \neq 0 \iff x^{(k)} = 0 \text{ on } M_j. \tag{1.18}$$

Example 1.15. *Let us see some examples in* $\mathbb{R}^3$ *with variables* (x_1, x_2, x_3)*.*

- *Let* $M_1 = \{x_1 = 0\}$*,* $M_2 = \{x_2 = x_3 = 0\}$ *and consider the action on* X *by*

  $$\mu(x,t) = (x_1t_1, x_2t_2, x_3t_2).$$

  Then we can take $x^{(1)} = x_1$*,* $x^{(2)} = (x_2, x_3)$ *as the coordinates blocks and the associated matrix is*

  $$A_\chi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- *Let* $M_1 = \{x_1 = x_2 = 0\}$*,* $M_2 = \{x_2 = 0\}$ *and consider the action on* X *by*

  $$\mu(x,t) = (x_1t_1, x_2t_1t_2, x_3).$$

  Then we can take $x^{(1)} = x_1$*,* $x^{(2)} = x_2$*,* $x^{(3)} = x_3$ *as the coordinates blocks and the associated matrix is*

  $$A_\chi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- *Let* $M_1 = M_2 = \{0\}$ *and consider the action on* X *by*

  $$\mu(x,t) = (x_1 t_1^3 t_2, x_2 t_1^3 t_2, x_3 t_1^2 t_2).$$

  Then we can take $x^{(1)} = (x_1, x_2)$, $x^{(2)} = x_3$ *as the coordinates blocks and the associated matrix is*

  $$A_\chi = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- *Let* $M_1 = \{x_1 = x_2 = 0\}$, $M_2 = \{x_2 = x_3 = 0\}$ *and consider the action on* X *by*

  $$\mu(x,t) = (x_1 t_1, x_2 t_1 t_2, x_3 t_2).$$

  Then we can take $x^{(1)} = x_1$, $x^{(2)} = x_2$, $x^{(3)} = x_3$ *as the coordinates blocks and the associated matrix is*

  $$A_\chi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Finally, in a local model, we use the same terminologies as in Definition 1.3 and Lemma 1.5. That is,

Definition 1.16. *We say that* μ_χ *has maximal rank if* $\operatorname{Rank} A_\chi = \min\{\ell, m\}$ *holds for the associated matrix* A_χ *of* μ_χ. *It is said to be non-degenerate if* $\operatorname{Rank} A_\chi = \ell$ *and transitive if* $\operatorname{Rank} A_\chi = m$.

2 Multicones for a general case

In this section we find a family of multicones associated to a multi-normal deformation. We mainly work in the local model introduced in Subsection 1.4.

2.1 Fixed points of S_χ

As we have seen in the previous section, if χ is of transitive type, S_χ is the Cartesian product of vector bundles over M. Hence we can consider the subbundle consisting of points $\xi = \xi^{(1)} \times_M \cdots \times_M \xi^{(m)}$ where some of $\xi^{(k)}$'s belong to the zero section of the corresponding vector bundles. Here we introduce its counterpart for a general case.

For $a = (a_1, \dots, a_\ell) \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$, we define the action $\mu^a : S_\chi \times \mathbb{R}^+ \to S_\chi$ by

$$\mu^a(p, t) := \mu(p, t^{a_1}, \dots, t^{a_\ell}) \qquad (t \in \mathbb{R}^+).$$

Definition 2.1. *We say that a point $p \in S_\chi$ is a fixed point (with respect to the action μ) if there exists $a = (a_1, \dots, a_\ell) \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$ for which μ^a is not the identity action on S_χ and p is a fixed point of μ^a, that is, $\mu^a(\cdot, t) \neq \mathrm{id}_{S_\chi}$ for some $t > 0$ and $\mu^a(p, t) = p$ for any $t > 0$.*

We give some examples.

Example 2.2. *Let χ be a linearizable family of closed submanifolds. We assume χ to be of transitive type. Then S_χ has locally a system of coordinates blocks $(x^{(0)}; \xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(m)})$ as described in the previous section, where m is the rank of the family χ. In this coordinates, the set of fixed points is given by $\{|\xi^{(1)}||\xi^{(2)}| \cdots |\xi^{(m)}| = 0\}$. Hence a point is located outside the set of fixed points if and only if all the $\xi^{(k)}$'s are different from the origin.*

Example 2.3. *Let us consider the situation observed in Example 1.14. In this case, the set of fixed points is given by*

$$\{\xi_1 = \xi_2 = 0 \ \textit{or}\ \xi_2 = \xi_3 = 0 \ \textit{or}\ \xi_1 = \xi_3 = 0\}.$$

Hence a point is outside the set of fixed points if and only if at least two ξ_i's are non-zero.

Let us consider a local model described in Subsection 1.4, where $m + 1$ denotes the number of coordinates blocks and ℓ designates the number of manifolds in χ. Set $L := \mathrm{Rank}\, A_\chi$. Note that $L \leq \min\{\ell, m\}$ holds.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $p = (x^{(0)}, \xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(m)}) \in S_\chi$ be a point outside the set of fixed points. Let $1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_L \leq \ell$ and assume that the j_i-th rows $(1 \leq i \leq L)$ are linearly independent. Then there exist $1 \leq k_1 < \cdots < k_L \leq m$ which satisfy the following conditions.*

1. *$\xi^{(k_i)} \neq 0$ $(1 \leq i \leq L)$.*
2. *The $L \times L$ submatrix made from the j_i-th rows and the k_i-th columns $(1 \leq i \leq L)$ in A_χ is invertible.*

Proof. By taking j_i-th rows $(1 \leq i \leq L)$ in A_χ, we may assume that the size of A_χ is $L \times m$. By a permutation of columns, we may further assume $\xi^{(k)} = 0$ $(k > m')$ for some m'. Let $\widetilde{A}$ be the $L \times m'$ submatrix of A_χ consisting of the first m'-columns. If any choice of L-columns in $\widetilde{A}$ gives linearly dependent column vectors, then the rank of $\widetilde{A}$ is less than L, and hence, we can find a non-zero row vector $a = (a_1, \dots, a_L) \in \mathbb{R}^L$ with $a\widetilde{A} = 0$. Then the associated action μ^a is different from id_{S_χ} and $\mu^a(p,t) = p$ holds for any $t > 0$. This contradicts the fact that p is not a fixed point. Hence we can find L-columns which satisfy the claim of the lemma. □

Corollary 2.5. *Let $p = (x^{(0)}, \xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(m)}) \in S_\chi$ be a point outside the set of fixed points. Then, by an appropriate permutation of coordinates blocks and that of the parameters in the action μ, we have the followings:*

1. *The first L-directions of p are different from the origin, i.e., $\xi^{(k)} \neq 0$ $(1 \leq k \leq L)$.*
2. *The $L \times L$ submatrix made from the first L-columns and the first L-rows in A_χ is invertible.*

2.2 Semigroups of generators

From now on, we consider the problem under the local model described in Section 1.4. Let $m + 1$ be the number of coordinates blocks and ℓ the number of submanifolds in χ. Set $L := \operatorname{Rank} A_\chi$ $(1 \leq L \leq \min\{\ell, m\})$. By an appropriate permutation of coordinates blocks and that of parameters of the action μ, we assume that

$$\begin{gathered}\text{the first } L \times L \text{ submatrix in } A_\chi = (a_{jk}) \text{ is invertible,}\\ \text{that is,}\quad \det\,(a_{jk})_{1 \leq j,k \leq L} \neq 0.\end{gathered} \tag{2.1}$$

Take a point

$$p = (x^{(0)}; \xi) = (x^{(0)}; \xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(m)}) \in S_\chi. \tag{2.2}$$

Then we also assume that

$$\begin{gathered}\text{if the } k\text{-th column of } A_\chi \text{ is a zero vector,}\\ \text{then the corresponding } \xi^{(k)} \text{ is zero.}\end{gathered} \tag{2.3}$$

By the assumption (2.1), we may assume that p is normalized as

$$|\xi^{(k)}| = 1 \text{ for } 1 \le k \le L \text{ with } \xi^{(k)} \ne 0. \tag{2.4}$$

Note that we cannot assume this normalization for indices $k > L$.

Recall that the action on X is given by

$$(x^{(0)},\, \varphi_1(\lambda)x^{(1)}, \dots, \varphi_m(\lambda)x^{(m)})$$

for $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$, where m-functions $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m$ are monomials of λ defined by

$$\varphi_k(\lambda) = \lambda_1^{a_{1k}} \cdots \lambda_\ell^{a_{\ell k}} \qquad (k = 1, \dots, m).$$

Here a_{jk}'s are entries of $A_\chi = (a_{jk})$ with $a_{jk} \in \mathbb{Q}_{\ge 0}$.

Let τ denote m-variables $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_m)$, and we denote by τ' the first L-variables of τ and by τ'' the rest, that is, we have $\tau = (\tau', \tau'')$ with

$$\tau' = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_L) \ \text{ and } \tau'' = (\tau_{L+1}, \dots, \tau_m). \tag{2.5}$$

In the same way, we denote by λ' the first L-variables of λ and by λ'' the rest, that is, $\lambda = (\lambda', \lambda'')$ with

$$\lambda' = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_L) \ \text{ and } \lambda'' = (\lambda_{L+1}, \dots, \lambda_\ell). \tag{2.6}$$

Consider the L-equations of λ'

$$\tau' = \varphi'(\lambda', \lambda''), \tag{2.7}$$

where φ' denotes $(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_L)$. Then, by the assumption, the system of the equations can be solved for the variables $\lambda' = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_L)$. That is, we can find

$$\lambda' = \varphi^{-1}(\tau', \lambda'') = (\varphi_1^{-1}(\tau', \lambda''), \dots, \varphi_L^{-1}(\tau', \lambda'')) \tag{2.8}$$

which satisfies

$$\tau_k = \varphi_k(\varphi^{-1}(\tau',\, \lambda''),\, \lambda'') \qquad (k = 1, \dots, L). \tag{2.9}$$

Let $\mathcal{H}_\tau$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}_{\tau,\lambda}$) be the set of non-zero monomials of rational powers of τ (resp. τ and λ) with coefficients 1, that is, each element has a form

$$\begin{aligned} &\tau_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \tau_m^{\alpha_m} \quad (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in \mathbb{Q}) \\ &\bigl(\text{resp.}\ \ \tau_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \tau_m^{\alpha_m} \lambda_1^{\beta_1} \cdots \lambda_\ell^{\beta_\ell} \quad (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m,\, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_\ell \in \mathbb{Q})\bigr). \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

For $f \in \mathcal{H}_{\tau,\lambda}$ given in (2.10), we denote by $\nu_k(f)$ (resp. $\nu_j^\lambda(f)$) the exponent of the variable τ_k (resp. λ_j) in f, i.e.,

$$\nu_k(f) = \alpha_k \ \text{ and } \ \nu_j^\lambda(f) = \beta_j. \tag{2.11}$$

Then we regard $\mathcal{H}_{\tau,\lambda} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ to be a semigroup with its multiplication defined by, for pairs $(f,\, v)$ and $(g,\, w) \in \mathcal{H}_{\tau,\lambda} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$

$$(f,\, v)(g,\, w) = (fg,\, vw). \tag{2.12}$$

Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be semigroups in $\mathcal{H}_{\tau,\lambda} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Then we define the semigroup $\mathcal{A} \vee \mathcal{B}$ as the minimal semigroup in $\mathcal{H}_{\tau,\lambda} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ containing $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$, that is,

$$\mathcal{A} \vee \mathcal{B} = \{f,\, g,\, fg;\ f \in \mathcal{A},\, g \in \mathcal{B}\}. \tag{2.13}$$

We also define the semigroup

$$\mathcal{A} * \mathcal{B} := \{fg;\ f \in \mathcal{A},\, g \in \mathcal{B}\}. \tag{2.14}$$

For example, $\{(1,\, 0)\}$ is a semigroup in $\mathcal{H}_{\tau,\lambda} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ which consists of only one element $(1,\, 0)$. Then

$$\mathcal{B} * \{(1,\, 0)\} = \{(f,\, 0);\ (f, v) \in \mathcal{B}\}.$$

We can also regard $\mathcal{H}_\tau \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\tau,\lambda} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ to be a semigroup and define the corresponding operations on $\mathcal{H}_\tau \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ induced from those on $\mathcal{H}_{\tau,\lambda} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$.

Set, for $p = (x^{(0)}; \xi) = (x^{(0)}; \xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(m)}) \in S_\chi$ given in (2.2),

$$J_Z(\xi) := \{k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\};\ \xi^{(k)} = 0\}, \tag{2.15}$$

and assume

$$\begin{gathered} J_Z(\xi) \cap \{1, 2, \dots, L\} = \{k_1,\, k_2,\, \dots,\, k_q\} \\ (1 \le k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_q \le L), \end{gathered} \tag{2.16}$$

where $q := \#\,(J_Z(\xi) \cap \{1, 2, \dots, L\}) \ge 0$. We define, for a subset A in $\mathcal{H}_{\tau,\lambda} \times \mathbb{R}_{\ge 0}$,

$$A_{\ge} := \big\{(f,\, v) \in A;\ \nu_{k_i}(f) \ge 0\ (1 \le i \le q)\big\} \bigcap (\mathcal{H}_\tau \times \mathbb{R}_{\ge 0}), \tag{2.17}$$

$$A_{=} := \big\{(f,\, v) \in A;\ \nu_{k_i}(f) = 0\ (1 \le i \le q)\big\} \bigcap (\mathcal{H}_\tau \times \mathbb{R}_{\ge 0}), \tag{2.18}$$

and

$$A_{>} := A_{\ge} \setminus A_{=}\ \subset (\mathcal{H}_\tau \times \mathbb{R}_{\ge 0}). \tag{2.19}$$

Note that, if $\mathcal{B}$ is a semigroup in $\mathcal{H}_{\tau,\lambda} \times \mathbb{R}_{\ge 0}$, then $\mathcal{B}_{\ge}$, $\mathcal{B}_{=}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{>}$ are semigroups in $\mathcal{H}_\tau \times \mathbb{R}_{\ge 0}$. For a subset $A \subset \mathcal{H}_{\tau,\lambda} \times \mathbb{R}_{\ge 0}$, we introduce its fraction $\mathrm{Q}(A) \subset \mathcal{H}_{\tau,\lambda} \times \mathbb{R}_{\ge 0}$ by

$$A \bigcup \big\{(f^{-1},\, v^{-1})\big\}_{\{(f,\, v) \in A;\, v \ne 0\}}\,. \tag{2.20}$$

Definition 2.6. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be semigroups in $\mathcal{H}_\tau \times \mathbb{R}_{\ge 0}$. We say that $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are equivalent if and only if there exist natural numbers N_1 and N_2 with*

$$\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle_{N_1}\ \subset\ \langle \mathcal{B} \rangle_{N_2}\ \subset\ \langle \mathcal{A} \rangle. \tag{2.21}$$

Here $\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle_N$ denotes the semigroup

$$\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle_N\ = \big\{(f,\, v)^N;\ (f,\, v) \in \mathcal{A}\big\} \subset \mathcal{A}. \tag{2.22}$$

Remark 2.7. *If $\langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_N = \mathcal{A}$ ($N \in \mathbb{N}$) holds for semigroups $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{G}$, we sometimes say that the radical of $\mathcal{A}$ is $\mathcal{G}$.*

Now recall that $\varphi^{-1}(\tau', \lambda'')$ was defined in (2.8). Then we define

$$\psi_k(\tau,\, \lambda'') := \frac{\tau_k}{\varphi_k(\varphi^{-1}(\tau',\, \lambda''),\, \lambda'')} \qquad (L < k \leq m). \tag{2.23}$$

Lemma 2.8. *Let $L < k \leq m$. Then $\varphi_k(\varphi^{-1}(\tau',\, \lambda''),\, \lambda'')$ depends only on the variables τ'. In particular, $\psi_k(\tau,\, \lambda'')$ does not depend on the variables λ''.*

Proof. The k-th column of A_χ is a linear combination of the first L-columns in A_χ, and thus, there exist $\alpha_{kj} \in \mathbb{Q}$ satisfying

$$\varphi_k = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq L} \varphi_j^{\alpha_{kj}} \qquad (L < k \leq m).$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_k(\varphi^{-1}(\tau', \lambda''), \lambda'') &= \prod_{1 \leq j \leq L} \varphi_j(\varphi^{-1}(\tau', \lambda''), \lambda'')^{\alpha_{kj}} \\ &= \prod_{1 \leq j \leq L} \tau_j^{\alpha_{kj}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

By the lemma, $\psi_k(\tau,\, \lambda'')$ is denoted by $\psi_k(\tau)$ $(L < k \leq m)$ in what follows. Now we introduce the finite subset G in $\mathcal{H}_{\tau,\lambda} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ defined by

$$G := Q\left(\left\{\left(\varphi_j^{-1},\, 0\right)\right\}_{1 \leq j \leq L} \bigcup \left\{\left(\psi_k,\, |\xi^{(k)}|\right)\right\}_{L < k \leq m} \bigcup \{(\lambda_j,\, 0)\}_{L < j \leq \ell}\right). \tag{2.24}$$

Remark 2.9. *In the definition of G, we use the fact that the point ξ is normalized, that is, $|\xi^{(k)}| = 1$ for $1 \leq k \leq L$ with $\xi^{(k)} \neq 0$. When ξ is not normalized, we define G by*

$$G := Q\left(\left\{\left(\varphi_j^{-1},\, 0\right)\right\}_{1 \leq j \leq L} \bigcup \left\{\, (\psi_k,\, n_k(\xi))\, \right\}_{L < k \leq m} \bigcup \{(\lambda_j,\, 0)\}_{L < j \leq \ell}\right). \tag{2.25}$$

Here we set

$$n_k(\xi) := \psi_k\left(|\xi^{(*)}|_{+,1},\, |\xi^{(*)}|_{+,2},\, \ldots,\, |\xi^{(*)}|_{+,m}\right), \tag{2.26}$$

where $|\xi^{(*)}|_{+,k} = |\xi^{(k)}|$ if $k \notin J_Z(\xi) \cap \{1, \ldots, L\}$ and $|\xi^{(*)}|_{+,k} = 1$ otherwise.

Remark 2.10. *If the associated action* μ *has maximal rank, the definition of* G *becomes much simpler. In fact, when the action* μ *is non-degenerate, we have*

$$G = Q\left(\left\{\left(\varphi_j^{-1}(\tau'),\, 0\right)\right\}_{1\le j\le \ell} \bigcup \left\{\, (\psi_k(\tau),\, n_k(\xi))\,\right\}_{\ell<k\le m}\right). \tag{2.27}$$

Note that, in this case, G *is contained in* $\mathcal{H}_\tau \times \mathbb{R}_{\ge 0}$*, that is, the variables* λ *do not appear. On the other hand, when the action* μ *is transitive, we have*

$$G = Q\left(\left\{\left(\varphi_j^{-1}(\tau,\, \lambda''),\, 0\right)\right\}_{1\le j\le m} \bigcup \left\{(\lambda_j,\, 0)\right\}_{m<j\le \ell}\right). \tag{2.28}$$

In this case, the function ψ_k *does not appear.*

We then define the semigroup in $\mathcal{H}_\tau \times \mathbb{R}_{\ge 0}$ by

$$\mathcal{G} := [G]_= \bigvee \left([G]_> * \{(1,\, 0)\}\right). \tag{2.29}$$

Here $[B]$ denotes the semigroup in $\mathcal{H}_{\tau,\lambda} \times \mathbb{R}_{\ge 0}$ generated by a subset B, that is, if B consists of $(f_s,\, v_s)$ $(s \in \Lambda)$ for a finite subset Λ, then

$$[B] := \left\{\prod_{s\in\Lambda} (f_s,\, v_s)^{\alpha_s} \in \mathcal{H}_{\tau,\lambda} \times \mathbb{R}_{\ge 0};\ \alpha_s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}\ \ (s \in \Lambda)\right\}. \tag{2.30}$$

Note that $[B]$ contains the unit $(1,\, 1)$ because we allow all the α_s to be zero.

The semigroup $\mathcal{G}$ seems to depend on the choice of linearly independent L-columns in A_χ. However, by the following lemma, we see that it is essentially independent of such a choice for our purpose. Define the finite subset $\widehat{G} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\tau,\lambda} \times \mathbb{R}_{\ge 0}$ by

$$Q\left(\left(\frac{\tau_1}{\varphi_1(\lambda)},\, |\xi^{(1)}|\right), \cdots, \left(\frac{\tau_m}{\varphi_m(\lambda)},\, |\xi^{(m)}|\right), (\lambda_1,\, 0), \cdots, (\lambda_\ell,\, 0)\right), \tag{2.31}$$

and set

$$\widehat{\mathcal{G}} := (\mathcal{H}_\tau \times \mathbb{R}_{\ge 0}) \bigcap [\,\widehat{G}\,]. \tag{2.32}$$

Proposition 2.11. *The semigroups* $\mathcal{G}$ *and* $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ *are equivalent. To be more precise, we have*

$$\langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_N \subset \widehat{\mathcal{G}} \subset \mathcal{G} \tag{2.33}$$

for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

In this proof, we adopt (2.25) as the definition of G, that is, the normalization of ξ is not assumed. Set

$$g_k(\tau, \lambda) := \frac{\tau_k}{\varphi_k(\lambda)} \quad (k = 1, \ldots, m).$$

Then the proposition is a consequence of the following 3 lemmas.

Lemma 2.12. *We have*

$$(\mathcal{H}_\tau \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}) \bigcap [\,\widehat{G}\,] = \widehat{\mathcal{G}} \subset \mathcal{G}.$$

Proof. Take $(f,\, v) \in (\mathcal{H}_\tau \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}) \bigcap [\,\widehat{G}\,]$. Then, by definition, there exist $\alpha_k, \beta_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$(f(\tau),\, v) = \prod_{1 \leq k \leq m} (g_k,\, |\xi^{(k)}|)^{\alpha_k} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq \ell} (\lambda_j,\, 0)^{\beta_j}.$$

Here $\alpha_k \geq 0$ if $k \in J_Z(\xi)$ and $\beta_j \geq 0$ $(1 \leq j \leq \ell)$. Note that it follows from construction of $\widehat{G}$ that $\nu_k(f) \geq 0$ for any $k \in J_Z(\xi)$. Since $f(\tau)$ does not depend on λ, by putting $\lambda' = \varphi^{-1}(\tau', \lambda'')$ into the above equation, we obtain

$$f = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq L} \varphi_j^{-1}(\tau',\, \lambda'')^{\beta_j} \prod_{L < k \leq m} \psi_k(\tau)^{\alpha_k} \prod_{L < j \leq \ell} \lambda_j^{\beta_j}.$$

Set

$$(f,\, v') = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq L} (\varphi_j^{-1},\, 0)^{\beta_j} \prod_{L < k \leq m} (\psi_k,\, n_k(\xi))^{\alpha_k} \prod_{L < j \leq \ell} (\lambda_j,\, 0)^{\beta_j}.$$

Note $f \in \mathcal{H}_\tau$. Then it follows from the definition of $\mathcal{G}$ that we have $(f,\, v') \in \mathcal{G}$ if $\nu_k(f) = 0$ for all $k \in J_Z(\xi) \cap \{1, \ldots, L\}$ and $(f,\, 0) = (f,\, v') * (1, 0) \in \mathcal{G}$ if $\nu_k(f) > 0$ for some $k \in J_Z(\xi) \cap \{1, \ldots, L\}$. Hence, to show $(f,\, v) \in \mathcal{G}$, it suffices to prove $v = v'$ for the former case and $v = 0$ for the latter case.

Assume $\nu_k(f) > 0$ for some $k \in J_Z(\xi) \cap \{1, \ldots, L\}$. Since only g_k contains the variable τ_k, the corresponding α_k must be greater than 0, and thus, v becomes zero. This implies $(f,\, v) \in [G]_> * [(1, 0)]$.

Assume $\nu_k(f) = 0$ for any $k \in J_Z(\xi) \cap \{1, \ldots, L\}$. Since $\alpha_k = 0$ for any $k \in J_Z(\xi) \cap \{1, \ldots, L\}$, if $v = 0$, then some β_j or some α_k with $k \in$

$J_Z(\xi) \cap \{L+1, \ldots, m\}$ is non-zero. Hence, we have $v' = 0$ and we conclude $(f, v) \in [G]_=$ when $v = 0$.

When $v \neq 0$, we have $\beta_j = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ and $\alpha_k = 0$ for $k \in J_Z(\xi)$, which implies $v' \neq 0$. Then $(f, v) \in [G]_=$ immediately follows from the following elementary lemma. Hence we obtained the desired inclusion. □

Lemma 2.13. *Let* $(h, w) \in \mathcal{G}$ *with* $w \neq 0$*. If* h *can be written in the form* $\prod_{1 \leq k \leq m} \tau_k^{\delta_k}$ *for some* $\delta_k \in \mathbb{Q}$ $(1 \leq k \leq m)$*, then we have* $w = \prod_{1 \leq k \leq m} |\xi^{(k)}|^{\delta_k}$*. The same fact holds for an element* $(h, w) \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ *with* $w \neq 0$*.*

Proof. Since $w \neq 0$, we have $(h, w) \in [G]_=$ and it is a product of integer powers of $(\psi_k, n_k(\xi))$, i.e., there exist $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$(h, w) = \prod_{L < k \leq m} (\psi_k, n_k(\xi))^{\alpha_k}. \tag{2.34}$$

We temporarily regard $|\xi^{(1)}|, \ldots, |\xi^{(m)}|$ as variables and, for $1 \leq i \leq m$, we define ν_i^ξ in the similar way as that for ν_i, i.e., $\nu_i^\xi(g)$ denotes the exponent of $|\xi^{(i)}|$ in a polynomial g of variables $|\xi^{(1)}|, \ldots, |\xi^{(m)}|$. Then, by the definition of $n_k(\xi)$, we have, for $1 \leq k \leq m$,

$$\nu_i(\psi_k) = \nu_i^\xi(n_k(\xi)) \qquad (i \notin J_Z(\xi) \cap \{1, \ldots, L\})$$

and

$$\nu_i^\xi(n_k(\xi)) = 0 \qquad (i \in J_Z(\xi) \cap \{1, \ldots, L\}).$$

Then, by (2.34), we get

$$\nu_i(h) = \nu_i^\xi(w(\xi)) \qquad (i \notin (J_Z(\xi) \cap \{1, \ldots, L\}).$$

Since $(h, w) \in [G]_=$ implies $\nu_i(h) = 0$ for $i \in J_Z(\xi) \cap \{1, \ldots, L\}$, we finally obtain

$$\nu_i(h) = \nu_i^\xi(w(\xi)) \qquad (1 \leq i \leq m),$$

from which we get the first claim.

Since $(h, w) \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ with $w \neq 0$ implies that h is a product of integer powers of $\tau_k/\varphi_k(\lambda)$'s $(1 \leq k \leq m)$, i.e., no factors $(\lambda_j, 0)$ appear, we can easily conclude the second claim. □

Now we will prove the converse inclusion.

Lemma 2.14. *We have*

$$\langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_N \subset \widehat{\mathcal{G}} = (\mathcal{H}_\tau \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}) \bigcap [\,\widehat{G}\,]$$

for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. It follows from the relations

$$\varphi_j^{-1}(\varphi'(\lambda', \lambda''), \lambda'') = \lambda_j \qquad (1 \leq j \leq L)$$

that $\dfrac{\varphi_j^{-1}(\tau',\, \lambda'')}{\lambda_j}$ $(1 \leq j \leq L)$ is expressed by a product of rational powers of $g_1, \ldots, g_L$, that is, there exist $\gamma_{jk} \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$\frac{\varphi_j^{-1}(\tau',\, \lambda'')}{\lambda_j} = \prod_{1 \leq k \leq L} g_k^{\gamma_{jk}} \qquad (1 \leq j \leq L), \tag{2.35}$$

equivalently we have

$$\varphi_j^{-1}(\tau',\, \lambda'') = \left(\prod_{1 \leq k \leq L} g_k^{\gamma_{jk}} \right) \lambda_j \qquad (1 \leq j \leq L). \tag{2.36}$$

By using (2.35), we see that ψ_k is also expressed by a product of rational powers of $g_1, \ldots, g_m$, that is, there exist $\gamma'_{jk} \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$\psi_j = \prod_{1 \leq k \leq m} g_k^{\gamma'_{jk}} \qquad (L < j \leq m). \tag{2.37}$$

Let $(f,\, v) \in \mathcal{G}$. By definition, there exist α_k and $\beta_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$(f,\, v) = \prod_{1 \leq k \leq L} (\varphi_k^{-1},\, 0)^{\alpha_k} \prod_{L < k \leq m} (\psi_k,\, n_k(\xi))^{\alpha_k} \prod_{L < j \leq \ell} (\lambda_j,\, 0)^{\beta_j}$$

holds if $\nu_k(f) = 0$ for any $k \in J_Z(\xi) \cap \{1, 2, \ldots, L\}$, and

$$(f,\, v) = \prod_{1 \leq k \leq L} (\varphi_k^{-1},\, 0)^{\alpha_k} \prod_{L < k \leq m} (\psi_k,\, n_k(\xi))^{\alpha_k} \prod_{L < j \leq \ell} (\lambda_j,\, 0)^{\beta_j} \ * \ (1,\, 0)$$

holds if $\nu_k(f) > 0$ for some $k \in J_Z(\xi) \cap \{1, 2, \ldots, L\}$. Here $\beta_j \geq 0$ for any j and $\alpha_k \geq 0$ if $1 \leq k \leq L$ or if $k > L$ with $k \in J_Z(\xi)$.

Then, by putting (2.36) and (2.37) into the above f, we can find $\delta_k \in \mathbb{Q}$ satisfying

$$f = \prod_{1\le k\le m} g_k^{\delta_k} \prod_{1\le k\le L} \lambda_k^{\alpha_k} \prod_{L<j\le \ell} \lambda_j^{\beta_j}.$$

Since only g_k contains the variable τ_k, we know $\delta_k = \nu_k(f)$ $(1 \le k \le m)$. In particular, we have $\delta_k \ge 0$ for $k \in J_Z(\xi)$. Set

$$(f,\, v') = \prod_{1\le k\le m} (g_k,\, |\xi^{(k)}|)^{\delta_k} \prod_{1\le k\le L} (\lambda_k,\, 0)^{\alpha_k} \prod_{L<j\le \ell} (\lambda_j,\, 0)^{\beta_j}.$$

By definition, if the natural number N is taken to be the common denominator of rationals γ_{jk}'s and γ'_{jk}'s, we find $(f^N,\, v'^N) \in (\mathcal{H}_\tau \times \mathbb{R}_{\ge 0}) \bigcap [\,\widehat{G}\,]$. Hence to show $(f^N,\, v^N) \in (\mathcal{H}_\tau \times \mathbb{R}_{\ge 0}) \bigcap [\,\widehat{G}\,]$, it is sufficient to prove $v = v'$.

Now assume $v = 0$. If $\nu_k(f) > 0$ for some $k \in J_Z(\xi) \cap \{1, 2, \ldots, L\}$, clearly we get $v' = 0$ because of $\delta_k = \nu_k(f) > 0$. Hence we assume $\nu_k(f) = 0$ for any $k \in J_Z(\xi) \cap \{1, 2, \ldots, L\}$. Then $v = 0$ implies either $\alpha_k > 0$ for some $1 \le k \le L$ or $\beta_j > 0$ for some $L < j \le \ell$ or $\alpha_k > 0$ for some $L < k \le m$ with $k \in J_Z(\xi)$. The last case implies $\delta_k > 0$ for the same k as τ_k only appears in g_k. Hence we have $v' = 0$ and we conclude that $(f^N,\, v^N) \in (\mathcal{H}_\tau \times \mathbb{R}_{\ge 0}) \bigcap [\,\widehat{G}\,]$ in this case.

Next assume $v \ne 0$. Then we have $\beta_j = 0$ for $L < j \le \ell$ and $\alpha_k = 0$ for any $1 \le k \le L$ or any $L < k$ with $k \in J_Z(\xi)$. Furthermore, we have $\nu_k(f) = 0$ for any $k \in J_Z(\xi) \cap \{1, 2, \ldots, L\}$ because $v \ne 0$ means $(f,\, v) \in [G]_=$. Hence, in particular, we obtain $\delta_k = \nu_k(f) = 0$ for $k \in J_Z(\xi)$ and

$$(f,\, v') = \prod_{1\le k\le m,\, k\notin J_Z(\xi)} (g_k,\, |\xi^{(k)}|)^{\delta_k},$$

which implies $v' \ne 0$. Then $v = v'$ follows from Lemma 2.13. This completes the proof. □

We can also show the following finiteness property.

Proposition 2.15. *$\mathcal{G}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ are finitely generated.*

Proof. We only show finiteness of $\mathcal{G}$ because the proof goes in the same way for the case of $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$. We denote by $\pi : \mathcal{H}_\tau \times \mathbb{R}_{\ge 0} \to \mathcal{H}_\tau$ the projection which forgets v of $(f,\, v) \in \mathcal{H}_\tau \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Clearly we have $\pi(\mathcal{G}) = \pi([G]_{\geq})$, and it follows from Gordan's lemma that $\pi([G]_{\geq})$ is finitely generated.

Hence it suffices to show

$$\pi|_{\mathcal{G}} : \mathcal{G} \to \pi([G]_{\geq})$$

is an isomorphism of semigroups. We make the inverse of $\pi|_{\mathcal{G}}$. Let $h \in \pi([G]_{\geq})$. Then h has the unique form

$$h = (\varphi_1^{-1})^{\alpha_1} \cdots (\varphi_L^{-1})^{\alpha_L} \psi_{L+1}^{\alpha_{L+1}} \cdots \psi_m^{\alpha_m} \lambda_{L+1}^{\beta_{L+1}} \cdots \lambda_\ell^{\beta_\ell},$$

where $\beta_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ $(L < j \leq \ell)$, $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for either $k \in J_Z(\zeta)$ or $1 \leq k \leq L$, and $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ otherwise. As a matter of fact, the uniqueness comes from the condition (2.1) and the fact that τ_k $(k > L)$ is contained only in ψ_k.

Now we define

$$v := \begin{cases} & \text{if } \alpha_k > 0 \text{ for some } 1 \leq k \leq L \\ 0 & \text{or if } \beta_j > 0 \text{ for some } L < j \leq \ell \\ & \text{or if } \nu_k(h) > 0 \text{ for some } k \in J_Z(\xi) \cap \{1, 2, \ldots, L\}, \\ \displaystyle\prod_{L<k\leq m} n_k(\xi)^{\alpha_k} & \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we see $(h,\, v) \in \mathcal{G}$. It is easily checked that this correspondence from $\pi([G]_{\geq})$ to $\mathcal{G}$ gives a morphism of semigroup and becomes the inverse of $\pi|_{\mathcal{G}}$. □

The following lemma is useful through the paper.

Lemma 2.16. *We have the followings:*

1. *For $k \in J_Z(\xi)$ and $(f,\, v)$ in $\mathcal{G}$ or $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$, we have $\nu_k(f) \geq 0$.*
2. *There exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(\tau_k^N,\, 0)$ belongs to $\mathcal{G}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ $(1 \leq k \leq m)$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{G}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ are equivalent, it suffices to show the claims for $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$.

The claim 1. comes immediately from the definition of $\widehat{G}$ because every pair in $\widehat{G}$ does not contain a negative power of the variable τ_k for $k \in J_Z(\xi)$.

Let us show the claim 2. If $\varphi_k = 1$, we have $\xi_k = 0$ by the assumption (2.3), and thus, $(\tau_k,\, 0) \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$. If $\varphi_k \neq 1$, by noticing $(\lambda_j,\, 0) \in \widehat{G}$ $(j = 1, \ldots, \ell)$ and $(\tau_k/\varphi_k(\lambda),\, |\xi^{(k)}|) \in \widehat{G}$, we have $(\tau_k^N,\, 0) \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ for sufficiently large $N \in \mathbb{N}$. This completes the proof. □

2.3 Families of cones

Let $p = (x^{(0)};\, \xi) = (x^{(0)}; \xi^{(1)}, \ldots, \xi^{(m)})$ be a point in S_X. Set

$$\begin{aligned} |x^{(*)}| &:= (|x^{(1)}|,\, |x^{(2)}|,\, \cdots,\, |x^{(L)}|,\, \cdots,\, |x^{(m)}|), \\ |x^{(*)}|' &:= (|x^{(1)}|,\, |x^{(2)}|,\, \cdots,\, |x^{(L)}|). \end{aligned} \tag{2.38}$$

Let H be a finite subset in $\mathcal{H}_\tau \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. We denote by $C(\xi,\, H)$ a family of subsets of X in the form

$$\left\{ (x^{(0)}, x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(m)}) \in X;\; \begin{matrix} x^{(k)} \in W_k \quad (k = 1, \ldots, m), \\ v - \epsilon < g\left(|x^{(*)}|\right) < v + \epsilon \\ \text{for } (g,\, v) \in H \end{matrix} \right\}, \tag{2.39}$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ and each W_k satisfies either 1. or 2. below.

1. W_k is an $\mathbb{R}^+$-conic open subset in $\mathbb{R}^{n_k} \setminus \{0\}$ containing the point $\xi^{(k)}$ if $k \notin J_Z(\xi)$.

2. W_k is just $\mathbb{R}^{n_k}$ if $k \in J_Z(\xi)$.

Lemma 2.17. *Assume that* $[H]$ *and* $\mathcal{G}$ *defined in* (2.29) *are equivalent. Then* $C(\xi,\, H)$ *is well-defined, and it is an open subset and bounded with respect to the variables* $x^{(1)},\, \ldots,\, x^{(m)}$.

Proof. it follows from the claim 1. of Lemma 2.16 and the definition of W_k that, for any $(g,\, v) \in H$, the function $g\left(|x^{(*)}|\right)$ is well-defined and continuous on the region $(x^{(1)},\, \ldots, x^{(m)}) \in W_1 \times \cdots \times W_m$. Hence $C(\xi,\, H)$ is an open subset. Boundness is a consequence of the claim 2. of the same lemma. □

Then we set

$$C(\xi) = \bigcup_H C(\xi,\, H), \tag{2.40}$$

where H runs through the family of finite subsets in $\mathcal{H}_\tau \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that $[H]$ and $\mathcal{G}$ are equivalent. Let $\pi : S_\chi \to M = M_1 \cap \cdots \cap M_\ell$ be the canonical projection.

Definition 2.18. *We say that an open subset $V \subset X$ is a germ of a multicone at $p \in S_\chi$ if there exist an open neighborhood Ω of $\pi(p)$ in X and $W \in C(\xi)$ satisfying*

$$(W \cap \Omega) \subset V. \tag{2.41}$$

Remark 2.19. *As the semigroup $\mathcal{G}$ is finitely generated, we can take generators of $\mathcal{G}$ as H. Then it is easy to see that $C(\xi, H)$ becomes a cofinal family of $C(\xi)$. Furthermore, since $\mathcal{G}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ are equivalent, we can obtain the same family of multicones if we replace $\mathcal{G}$ with $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ in the above definition.*

Remember $q := \#(J_Z(\xi) \cap \{1, \ldots, L\})$. We now give the explicit method to obtain a finite set F^q in $\mathcal{H}_\tau \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ for which $C(\xi, F^q)$ gives a cofinal family of $C(\xi)$.

Let F be a finite set in $\mathcal{H}_{\tau,\lambda} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, L\}$. We will consider an operation $\mathcal{L}_k$ on F which generates a finite subset in $\mathcal{H}_{\tau,\lambda} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$: The subset $\mathcal{L}_k(F) \subset \mathcal{H}_{\tau,\lambda} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ consists of the following elements:

F1. $(f,\, v)$ for $(f,\, v) \in F$ with $\nu_k(f) = 0$.

F2. $(f,\, 0)$ for $(f,\, v) \in F$ with $\nu_k(f) > 0$.

F3. $(f^a g^b,\, v^a w^b)$ where (f, v) and (g, w) in F with $\nu_k(f) > 0$ and $\nu_k(g) < 0$, and the natural numbers a and b are taken to be prime to each other with $a|\nu_k(f)| = b|\nu_k(g)|$.

The following lemma is an easy consequence of the above operations.

Lemma 2.20. *For any $(f, v) \in \mathcal{L}_k(F)$, we have $\nu_k(f) \geq 0$. Furthermore, for $(f, v) \in \mathcal{L}_k(F)$ with $v \neq 0$, we have $\nu_k(f) = 0$, i.e., f does not depend on τ_k.*

Let $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$. We also introduce the similar operation $\mathcal{L}_j^\lambda(F)$ with respect to the variable λ_j. The subset $\mathcal{L}_j^\lambda(F) \subset \mathcal{H}_{\tau,\lambda} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ consists of the following elements:

F1. $(f,\, v)$ for $(f,\, v) \in F$ with $\nu_j^\lambda(f) = 0$.

F3. $(f^a g^b,\, v^a w^b)$ where (f, v) and (g, w) in F with $\nu_j^\lambda(f) > 0$ and $\nu_j^\lambda(g) < 0$, and the natural numbers a and b are taken to be prime to each other with $a|\nu_j^\lambda(f)| = b|\nu_j^\lambda(g)|$.

Note that, in this case, there is no counterpart of the operation F2 in $\mathcal{L}_k$. Hence, unlike the operation $\mathcal{L}_k$, the variable λ_j is eliminated completely by the operation $\mathcal{L}_j^\lambda$.

Recall the finite subset $G \subset \mathcal{H}_{\tau,\lambda} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ defined by (2.24) or (2.25) when ξ is not normalized. Then, we apply the operation $\mathcal{L}_j^\lambda$'s $(L < j \leq \ell)$ successively to G, we obtain the finite subset F^0 whose elements are independent of the variables λ because an element of G is independent of the variables λ' and the operation $\mathcal{L}_j^\lambda$ eliminates the variable λ_j $(L < j \leq \ell)$, that is,

$$F^0 := (\mathcal{L}_\ell^\lambda \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{L}_{L+1}^\lambda)(G) \ \subset \mathcal{H}_\tau \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}. \tag{2.42}$$

Next we apply the operations $\mathcal{L}_{k_1}, \dots, \mathcal{L}_{k_q}$ successively to F^0, we get the subset $F^q \subset \mathcal{H}_\tau \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, i.e.,

$$F^q := (\mathcal{L}_{k_q} \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{L}_{k_1})(F^0) \ \subset \mathcal{H}_\tau \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \tag{2.43}$$

where $q = \#(J_Z(\xi) \cap \{1, 2, \dots, L\})$ and $J_Z(\xi) \cap \{1, 2, \dots, L\} = \{k_1, \dots, k_q\}$ with $1 \leq k_1 < \cdots < k_q \leq L$.

Remark 2.21. *For convenience, we also set*

$$F^{0,r} := (\mathcal{L}_r^\lambda \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{L}_{L+1}^\lambda)(G) \ \subset \mathcal{H}_{\tau,\lambda} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \qquad (L < r \leq \ell), \tag{2.44}$$

and

$$F^s := (\mathcal{L}_{k_s} \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{L}_{k_1})(F^0) \subset \mathcal{H}_\tau \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \qquad (1 \leq s \leq q). \tag{2.45}$$

Remark 2.22. *If μ_χ is non-degenerate, as $L = \ell$ holds, we get $F^0 = G$, i.e., an element of G is independent of the variables λ. Hence we have*

$$F^q := (\mathcal{L}_{k_q} \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{L}_{k_1})(G). \tag{2.46}$$

On the other hand, when $p = (x^{(0)}; \xi) \in S_\chi$ is outside fixed points, by Corollary 2.5, we can take coordinates blocks so that the conditions 1. and 2. of the corollary hold. Then, under such coordinates blocks, since $J_Z(\xi) \cap \{1, 2, \dots, L\}$ becomes empty, we have $q = 0$ which implies

$$F^q = F^0 = (\mathcal{L}^\lambda_\ell \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{L}^\lambda_{L+1})(G).$$

In particular, if μ_χ is non-degenerate and p is outside fixed points, under the above coordinates blocks, we have

$$F^q = F^0 = G.$$

The following lemma easily follows from the previous lemma.

Lemma 2.23. *For any $(f, v) \in F^q$ and $k \in J_Z(\xi)$, we have $\nu_k(f) \geq 0$. Furthermore, for $(f, v) \in F^q$ with $v \neq 0$ and $k \in J_Z(\xi)$, we have $\nu_k(f) = 0$.*

F^q is not a family of generators of $\mathcal{G}$ in general, however, it enjoys the following good property from a geometrical point of view.

Proposition 2.24.

1. *We have $[F^q] \subset \mathcal{G}$. We also have $\mathrm{Q}(F^s) = F^s$ for any $1 \leq s \leq q$ and $\mathrm{Q}(F^{0,r}) = F^{0,r}$ for $L < r \leq \ell$.*
2. *$[F^q]$ and $\mathcal{G}$ are equivalent. In particular, the radical of $[F^q]$ is $\mathcal{G}$. That is, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(f,\, v)^N \in [F^q]$ for any $(f,\, v) \in \mathcal{G}$.*

Proof. These claims can be proved by the induction on the number of operations $\mathcal{L}^\lambda_j$'s and $\mathcal{L}_{k_i}$'s. Since argument used in the inductive step on the number of the operations $\mathcal{L}^\lambda_j$'s is the same as that for the operations $\mathcal{L}_{k_i}$'s, for simplicity, we show the claims only for the case $L = \ell$, i.e., $F^0 = G$.

It is easy to see that, for a subset $A \subset \mathcal{H}_{\tau,\lambda} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, we have

$$(\mathrm{Q} \circ \mathcal{L}_k \circ \mathrm{Q})(A) = (\mathcal{L}_k \circ \mathrm{Q})(A).$$

In what follows, we denote by $A_{>\tilde{q}}$, $\mathcal{G}^{\tilde{q}}$ and etc. the corresponding objects when $q = \tilde{q}$.

Let us show the claim 1. by the induction on q. When $q = 0$, the claim clearly holds. Suppose that the claim is true for $q = \tilde{q}$, and we will show the claim when $q = \tilde{q} + 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} F^{\tilde{q}+1} &= \mathcal{L}_{k_{\tilde{q}+1}}(F^{\tilde{q}}) = (\mathcal{L}_{k_{\tilde{q}+1}} \circ \mathrm{Q})(F^{\tilde{q}}) = (\mathrm{Q} \circ \mathcal{L}_{k_{\tilde{q}+1}} \circ \mathrm{Q})(F^{\tilde{q}}) \\ &= (\mathrm{Q} \circ \mathcal{L}_{k_{\tilde{q}+1}})(F^{\tilde{q}}) = \mathrm{Q}(F^{\tilde{q}+1}), \end{aligned}$$

which show the first claim of 1. for $q = \tilde{q} + 1$. Since we have

$$F^{\tilde{q}+1} = \mathcal{L}_{k_{\tilde{q}+1}}(F^{\tilde{q}}) \subset \mathcal{L}_{k_{\tilde{q}+1}}(\mathcal{G}^{\tilde{q}})$$

by the induction hypothesis and since we can easily confirm $\mathcal{L}_{k_{\tilde{q}+1}}(\mathcal{G}^{\tilde{q}}) \subset \mathcal{G}^{\tilde{q}+1}$, we have obtained $[F^{\tilde{q}+1}] \subset \mathcal{G}^{\tilde{q}+1}$, which shows the second claim of 1. to be true for $q = \tilde{q} + 1$. Hence, by the induction, we have shown the claim 1.

Next we will show the claim 2. by the induction on $q \geq 0$. Assume first $q = 0$. In this case, $\mathcal{G} = [G] = [F^0]$ holds, and hence, the claim 2. is true.

Suppose that the claim 2. is true for $q = \tilde{q} - 1 \geq 0$. We will show the claim 2. for $q = \tilde{q}$. Let $(f,\, v) \in \mathcal{G}^{\tilde{q}}$ and assume $F^{\tilde{q}-1} = \{(h_r,\, v_r)\}_r$.

Let us first consider the case $v \neq 0$. In this case, we have $(f,\, v) \in [G]_{=\tilde{q}}$, and hence, $(f,\, v) \in \mathcal{G}^{\tilde{q}-1}$ also holds. It follows from induction hypothesis that there exists $N_{\tilde{q}-1} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{\alpha_r\}_r$ of non-negative integers such that

$$(f,\, v)^{N_{\tilde{q}-1}} = \prod_r (h_r,\, v_r)^{\alpha_r}.$$

Then $(f,\, v) \in [G]_{=\tilde{q}}$ implies

$$\sum_r \alpha_r \nu_{k_{\tilde{q}}}(h_r) = 0.$$

Now let us consider the following procedure: Choose an index r^* such that $|\alpha_{r^*} \nu_{k_{\tilde{q}}}(h_{r^*})|$ is minimum in the set of non-zero $|\alpha_r \nu_{k_{\tilde{q}}}(h_r)|$'s, and then, find an index r' and a positive rational number $\beta_{r'}$ such that

$$0 < \beta_{r'} \leq \alpha_{r'}, \quad \alpha_{r^*} \nu_{k_{\tilde{q}}}(h_{r^*}) + \beta_{r'} \nu_{k_{\tilde{q}}}(h_{r'}) = 0.$$

Note that such an index r' and $\beta_{r'}$ necessarily exist by the choice of r^*. By repeated applications of the procedure described above, we can find a finite number of $(g_r,\, w_r) \in F^{\tilde{q}}$ with $\nu_{k_{\tilde{q}}}(g_r) = 0$ and positive rational numbers β_r such that

$$(f,\, v)^{N_{\tilde{q}-1}} = \prod_r (g_r,\, w_r)^{\beta_r},$$

where each g_r is generated by the operations F1 or F3.

Next we consider the case $v = 0$. By induction hypothesis, there exist $N_{\tilde{q}-1} \in \mathbb{N}$ and a family $\{\alpha_r\}_r$ of non-negative integers such that we have either

$$(f,\, v)^{N_{\tilde{q}-1}} = \prod_r (h_r,\, v_r)^{\alpha_r} * (1, 0), \qquad \sum_r \alpha_r \nu_{k_{\tilde{q}}}(h_r) > 0$$

if $\nu_{k_i}(f) = 0$ for $1 \le i \le \tilde{q} - 1$ and $\nu_{k_{\tilde{q}}}(f) > 0$ hold, or

$$(f,\, v)^{N_{\tilde{q}-1}} = \prod_r (h_r,\, v_r)^{\alpha_r}, \qquad \sum_r \alpha_r \nu_{k_{\tilde{q}}}(h_r) \ge 0$$

otherwise. In both the above cases, by applying the same argument, we can find a finite number of $(g_r,\, w_r) \in F^{\tilde{q}}$ with $\nu_{k_{\tilde{q}}}(g_r) = 0$, $(\tilde{g}_s,\, 0) \in F^{\tilde{q}}$ with $\nu_{k_{\tilde{q}}}(\tilde{g}_s) > 0$, positive rational numbers β_r and $\tilde{\beta}_s$ such that

$$(f,\, v)^{N_{\tilde{q}-1}} = \prod_r (g_r,\, w_r)^{\beta_r} \prod_s (\tilde{g}_s,\, 0)^{\tilde{\beta}_s},$$

where each g_r is generated by the operation F1 or F3 and each $\tilde{g}_s$ is a consequence of the operation F2.

As a conclusion, for each $(f,\, v) \in \mathcal{G}^{\tilde{q}}$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(f,\, v)^N$ belongs to $[F^{\tilde{q}}]$. Furthermore, since $\mathcal{G}^{\tilde{q}}$ is finitely generated, we can take such an N uniformly. Therefore the claim 2. is true for $q = \tilde{q}$, and thus, it is true for all q. This completes the proof. $\square$

As an immediate corollary, we obtain:

Corollary 2.25. *$C(\xi,\, F^q)$ gives a cofinal family of $C(\xi)$.*

Example 2.26. *Now let us consider the following simple example. Let $m = 3$ and $\ell = 2$ where the action μ is defined by*

$$(x^{(0)},\, \lambda_1 x^{(1)},\, \lambda_2 x^{(2)},\, \lambda_1\lambda_2 x^{(3)}) \qquad \lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^2.$$

Hence we have

$$\varphi_1(\lambda) = \lambda_1,\ \varphi_2(\lambda) = \lambda_2,\ \varphi_3(\lambda) = \lambda_1\lambda_2$$

and

$$\varphi_1^{-1}(\tau) = \tau_1,\ \varphi_2^{-1}(\tau) = \tau_2.$$

Take $p = (0;\, 0, 0, \xi^{(3)}) \in S_\chi$ *with* $\xi^{(3)} \neq 0$. *Then the initial set* F^0 *is given by*

$$\left\{(\tau_1,\, 0),\ (\tau_2,\, 0),\ (\tau_3/(\tau_1\tau_2),\, |\xi^{(3)}|),\ ((\tau_1\tau_2)/\tau_3,\, 1/|\xi^{(3)}|)\right\}.$$

Therefore F^1 *is given by*

$$\{(\tau_1,\, 0),\ (\tau_2,\, 0),\ ((\tau_1\tau_2)/\tau_3,\, 0),\ (\tau_3/\tau_2,\, 0),\ (1, 1)\}.$$

Then F^2 *is*

$$\{(\tau_1,\, 0),\ (\tau_2,\, 0),\ ((\tau_1\tau_2)/\tau_3,\, 0),\ (\tau_3,\, 0),\ (1, 1)\}.$$

Hence the most important inequality of a multicone in this case is

$$0 - \epsilon < \frac{|x^{(1)}||x^{(2)}|}{|x^{(3)}|} < 0 + \epsilon \iff |x^{(1)}||x^{(2)}| < \epsilon |x^{(3)}|.$$

As a conclusion, a cofinal family of multicones is given by

$$\left\{ (x^{(0)},\, x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, x^{(3)});\ \begin{matrix} x^{(k)} \in W_3, \\ |x^{(1)}| < \epsilon,\, |x^{(2)}| < \epsilon, \\ |x^{(1)}||x^{(2)}| < \epsilon|x^{(3)}| \end{matrix} \right\},$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ *and* W_3 *is a proper convex cone containing the direction* $\xi^{(3)}$.

We have the following characterization of the multi-normal cone by using multicones thus defined.

Theorem 2.27. *Let* $p = (x^{(0)};\, \xi) \in S_\chi$ *and* Z *a subset in* X. *Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

i) $p \notin C_\chi(Z)$.

ii) *There exist a $V \in C(\xi)$ and an open neighborhood U of $\pi(p)$ in X such that $Z \cap V \cap U = \emptyset$.*

iii) *There exist a $V \in C(\xi,\, F^q)$ and an open neighborhood U of $\pi(p)$ in X such that $Z \cap V \cap U = \emptyset$.*

To prove the theorem, it suffices to show the following equivalence:

1. $p \in C_\chi(Z)$.
2. $Z \cap V \cap U$ is non-empty for any $V \in C(\xi)$ and any open neighborhood U of $\pi(p)$.
3. $Z \cap V \cap U$ is non-empty for any $V \in C(\xi,\, F^q)$ and any open neighborhood U of $\pi(p)$.

We will prove these equivalences step by step in several lemmas below. Clearly the implication from 2. to 3 holds. Hence we first show the implication from 1. to 2.

Lemma 2.28. *If $p \in C_\chi(Z)$, then $Z \cap V \cap U$ is non-empty for any $V \in C(\xi)$ and any open neighborhood U of $\pi(p)$.*

Proof. Let

$$p_i = (\tilde{x}_i, t_i) = (\tilde{x}_i^{(0)}, \tilde{x}_i^{(1)}, \dots, \tilde{x}_i^{(m)}, t_{1,i}, \dots, t_{\ell,i}) \in \widetilde{X} \qquad (i = 1, 2, \dots)$$

be a sequence satisfying that $\widetilde{p}(p_i) \in Z$ and

$$\tilde{x}_i^{(0)} \to x^{(0)}, \quad \tilde{x}_i^{(k)} \to \xi^{(k)} \ (k = 1, \dots, m), \quad t_{j,i} \to 0 \ (j = 1, \dots, \ell),$$

when $i \to \infty$. Set

$$x_i = (x_i^{(0)},\, x_i^{(1)},\, \dots,\, x_i^{(m)}) := \tilde{p}(p_i) \in Z \subset X.$$

By Proposition 2.11, it is enough to prove, for any $(f,\, v) \in (\mathcal{H}_\tau \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}) \cap [\,\widehat{G}\,]$,

$$f(|x_i^{(1)}|,\, \dots,\, |x_i^{(m)}|) \to v \qquad (i \to \infty). \tag{2.47}$$

Set $g_k := \tau_k/\varphi_k(\lambda)$ $(k = 1, 2, \ldots, m)$. Then, by definition of $\widehat{G}$, there exist $\alpha_k, \beta_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$f(\tau) = \prod_{1 \le k \le m} g_k(\tau, \lambda)^{\alpha_k} \prod_{1 \le j \le \ell} \lambda_j^{\beta_j},$$

where $\beta_j \ge 0$ and $\alpha_k \ge 0$ if $k \in J_Z(\xi)$. Now, by putting $\tau_k = |x_i^{(k)}|$ and $\lambda_j = t_{j,i}^{\sigma_A}$ into f, we have

$$f(|x_i^{(*)}|) = \prod_{1 \le k \le m} g_k(|x_i^{(*)}|, t_i^{\sigma_A})^{\alpha_k} \prod_{1 \le j \le \ell} t_{j,i}^{\sigma_A \beta_j},$$

where $t_i^{\sigma_A} := (t_{1,i}^{\sigma_A}, \ldots, t_{\ell,i}^{\sigma_A})$. Note that

$$g_k(|x_i^{(*)}|, t_i^{\sigma_A}) = \frac{\varphi_k(t_i)^{\sigma_A} |\tilde{x}_i^{(k)}|}{\varphi_k(t_i^{\sigma_A})} = |\tilde{x}_i^{(k)}| \to |\xi^{(k)}| \qquad (k = 1, 2, \ldots, m)$$

holds when $i \to \infty$.

If $v = 0$, then we have either $\beta_j > 0$ for some j or $\alpha_k > 0$ for some $k \in J_Z(\xi)$. Hence we get

$$f(|x_i^{(*)}|) = \prod_{1 \le k \le m} g_k(|x_i^{(*)}|, t_i^{\sigma_A})^{\alpha_k} \prod_{1 \le j \le \ell} t_{j,i}^{\sigma_A \beta_j} \to 0 = v.$$

If $v \ne 0$, then we have $\beta_j = 0$ and $\alpha_k = 0$ for any $k \in J_Z(\xi)$. Therefore we get

$$f(|x_i^{(*)}|) = \prod_{1 \le k \le m, k \notin J_Z(\xi)} g_k(|x_i^{(*)}|, t_i^{\sigma_A})^{\alpha_k} \to \prod_{1 \le k \le m, k \notin J_Z(\xi)} |\xi^{(k)}|^{\alpha_k}.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.13 that the last term is equal to v. This completes the proof. $\square$

Let us show the implication from 3. to 1. We need to prepare several lemmas.

Lemma 2.29. *Let $a_1, \ldots, a_m \in \mathbb{R}^\times$ be non-zero real numbers and $v_1, \ldots, v_m \in \mathbb{R}_{\ge 0}$ non-negative real numbers, and let $\{\kappa_{k,i}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ $(k = 1, \ldots, m)$ be m-sequences of positive real numbers. Then the following two conditions are equivalent.*

1. *There exists a sequence* $\{\epsilon_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ *of positive real numbers satisfying, when* $i \to \infty$*,*

   $$\epsilon_i \to 0, \qquad (\epsilon_i)^{-a_k}\kappa_{k,i} \to v_k \quad (k = 1, \dots, m).$$

2. *The* m*-sequences satisfy, when* $i \to \infty$*,*

   $$\begin{cases} \kappa_{p,i} \to 0 & (p \in O_+ \cup N_+)\,, \\ 1/\kappa_{p,i} \to 0 & (p \in N_-)\,, \\ (\kappa_{p,i})^{-a_q}(\kappa_{q,i})^{a_p} \to (v_{p,i})^{-a_q}(v_{q,i})^{a_p} & \begin{pmatrix} p \in O_+ \cup N_+ \ \textit{and} \\ q \in O_- \cup N_- \end{pmatrix}, \\ (\kappa_{p,i})^{|a_q|}(\kappa_{q,i})^{-|a_p|} \to (v_{p,i})^{|a_q|}(v_{q,i})^{-|a_p|} & \begin{pmatrix} p \in O_+ \cup N_+, q \in N_+ \ \textit{or} \\ p \in O_- \cup N_-, q \in N_- \end{pmatrix}, \end{cases}$$

   where we set $O_+ = \{k;\, v_k = 0,\, a_k > 0\}$*,* $O_- = \{k;\, v_k = 0,\, a_k < 0\}$*,* $N_+ = \{k;\, v_k > 0,\, a_k > 0\}$ *and* $N_- = \{k;\, v_k > 0,\, a_k < 0\}$*.*

Proof. The implication from 1. to 2. is trivial. Let us show the converse implication.

First assume that $N_+ \cup N_-$ is empty. By considering $(\kappa_{j,m})^{1/|a_j|}$, we may assume $a_j = \pm 1$. Determine two sequences of positive real numbers

$$c_m := \max_{p \in O_+} \kappa_{p,m}, \quad d_m := \max_{q \in O_-} \kappa_{q,m}.$$

Then, by the conditions, we have

$$1/c_m \to \infty, \quad d_m \prec 1/c_m.$$

Here $\alpha_m \prec \beta_m$ means $\alpha_m/\beta_m \to 0$ $(m \to \infty)$. Now we can find a sequence $\{\epsilon_m\}$ so that

$$\epsilon_m \to 0, \quad d_m \prec 1/\epsilon_m \prec 1/c_m,$$

which satisfies the required condition.

Now assume $N_+ \cup N_-$ to be non-empty. If N_+ is non-empty, we take some $p \in N_+$ and set $\epsilon_i := (\kappa_{p,i}/v_p)^{1/a_p}$. It is easy to see that 1. holds for $\{\epsilon_i\}$. The other case can be shown in the same way. □

Then we introduce the modified operation $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_k$ of $\mathcal{L}_k$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_j^\lambda$ of $\mathcal{L}_j^\lambda$, which generate conditions appearing in the above lemma. For a finite subset F in $\mathcal{H}_{\tau,\lambda} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, we define some subsets $F_{0,>}$, $F_{0,<}$, $F_{\times,>}$ and $F_{\times,<}$ of F by

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{0,>} &:= \{(f,v) \in F;\, v = 0,\, \nu_k(f) > 0\},\\
F_{0,<} &:= \{(f,v) \in F;\, v = 0,\, \nu_k(f) < 0\},\\
F_{\times,>} &:= \{(f,v) \in F;\, v > 0,\, \nu_k(f) > 0\},\\
F_{\times,<} &:= \{(f,v) \in F;\, v > 0,\, \nu_k(f) < 0\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, the set $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_k(F)$ consists of the following elements:

$\tilde{\mathrm{F}}1$. $(f,\, v)$ for $(f,\, v) \in F$ with $\nu_k(f) = 0$.

$\tilde{\mathrm{F}}2$. $(f,\, 0)$ where $(f,v) \in F_{0,>} \cup F_{\times,>}$ or $f = 1/g$ for some $(g,v) \in F_{\times,<}$.

$\tilde{\mathrm{F}}3$. $(f^a g^b,\, v^a w^b)$ where we take a combination of pairs (f,v) and (g,w) in sets with indices $>$ and $<$ respectively, that is, $(f,v) \in F_{0,>} \cup F_{\times,>}$ and $(g,w) \in F_{0,<} \cup F_{\times,<}$. And see below for $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$.

$\tilde{\mathrm{F}}4$. $(f^a g^{-b},\, v^a w^{-b})$ where a pair satisfies

- (a) $(f,v) \in F_{0,>} \cup F_{\times,>}$ and $(g,w) \in F_{\times,>}$.
- (b) $(f,v) \in F_{0,<} \cup F_{\times,<}$ and $(g,w) \in F_{\times,<}$.

Here the natural numbers a and b appearing in $\tilde{\mathrm{F}}3$ and $\tilde{\mathrm{F}}4$ are taken to be prime to each other with $a|\nu_k(f)| = b|\nu_k(g)|$.

The modified operation $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_j^\lambda$ is defined in the similar way: We first define subsets $F_{0,>}$, $F_{0,<}$, $F_{\times,>}$ and $F_{\times,<}$ of F by replacing $\nu_k(f)$ with $\nu_j^\lambda(f)$ in the above definitions of corresponding subsets for $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_k$. Then, the set $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_j^\lambda(F)$ consists of the following elements:

$\tilde{\mathrm{F}}1$. $(f,\, v)$ for $(f,\, v) \in F$ with $\nu_j^\lambda(f) = 0$.

$\tilde{\mathrm{F}}2$. $\left(\lambda_j^a\, f^b,\, 0\right)$ where $(f,v) \in F_{0,<} \cup F_{\times,<}$ or $f = 1/g$ for some $(g,v) \in F_{\times,>}$, and the natural numbers a and b are taken to be prime to each other with $|\nu_j^\lambda(f)| = a/b$.

$\tilde{\mathrm{F}}3$. $(f^a g^b,\, v^a w^b)$ where we take a combination of pairs (f,v) and (g,w) in sets with indices $>$ and $<$ respectively, that is, $(f,v) \in F_{0,>} \cup F_{\times,>}$ and $(g,w) \in F_{0,<} \cup F_{\times,<}$, and see below for $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$.

$\tilde{\mathrm{F}}4$. $(f^a g^{-b},\, v^a w^{-b})$ where a pair satisfies

(a) $(f,v) \in F_{0,>} \cup F_{\times,>}$ and $(g,w) \in F_{\times,>}$.

(b) $(f,v) \in F_{0,<} \cup F_{\times,<}$ and $(g,w) \in F_{\times,<}$.

Here the natural numbers a and b appearing in $\tilde{\mathrm{F}}3$ and $\tilde{\mathrm{F}}4$ are taken to be prime to each other with $a|\nu_j^\lambda(f)| = b|\nu_j^\lambda(g)|$.

Remark 2.30. *The above* $\tilde{\mathrm{F}}1$, $\tilde{\mathrm{F}}3$ *and* $\tilde{\mathrm{F}}4$ *are the same as those in* $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_k$*, where we just replace* $\nu_k(f)$ *and* $\nu_k(g)$ *with* $\nu_j^\lambda(f)$ *and* $\nu_j^\lambda(g)$ *in their definitions, respectively. While* $\tilde{\mathrm{F}}2$ *in* $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_j^\lambda$ *is different from the corresponding one in* $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_k$*.*

Then we can easily confirm the following lemma:

Lemma 2.31. *1. Assume* $F = \mathrm{Q}(F)$*. Then we have* $\mathcal{L}_k(F) = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_k(F)$*.*

2. Assume $F = \mathrm{Q}(F)$ *and* $(\lambda_j,\, 0) \in F$*. Then we have* $\mathcal{L}_j^\lambda(F) = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_j^\lambda(F)$*.*

It follows from the lemma and facts

$$G = \mathrm{Q}(G), \quad Q \circ \mathcal{L}_j^\lambda \circ Q = \mathcal{L}_j^\lambda \circ Q, \quad Q \circ \mathcal{L}_{k_i} \circ Q = \mathcal{L}_{k_i} \circ Q$$

and

$$Q \circ \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_j^\lambda \circ Q = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_j^\lambda \circ Q, \quad Q \circ \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{k_i} \circ Q = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{k_i} \circ Q$$

that we get

$$F^0 = (\mathcal{L}_\ell^\lambda \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{L}_{L+1}^\lambda)(G) = (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\ell^\lambda \circ \cdots \circ \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{L+1}^\lambda)(G)$$

and

$$F^q = (\mathcal{L}_{k_q} \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{L}_{k_1})(F^0) = (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{k_q} \circ \cdots \circ \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{k_1})(F^0).$$

As a conclusion, we may consider F^q to be generated by the modified operations $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_j^\lambda$'s $(L < j \leq m)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{k_i}$'s $(1 \leq i \leq q)$.

Proof. Now we are ready to show the implication from 3. to 1. We may assume $x^{(0)} = 0$. We can find a sequence in Z

$$x_i = (x_i^{(0)}, x_i^{(1)}, \dots, x_i^{(m)}) \in Z \qquad (i = 1, 2, \dots)$$

which satisfies, when $i \to \infty$,

1. $x_i \to 0$.
2. $x_i^{(k)}/|x_i^{(k)}| \to \xi^{(k)}/|\xi^{(k)}|$ for $k \notin J_Z(\xi)$.
3. For any $(f,\, v) \in F^q$,
$$f(|x_i^{(1)}|, \dots, |x_i^{(m)}|) \to v, \quad (i \to \infty).$$

By considering a subsequence, we may assume, for each $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, either $x_i^{(k)} \neq 0$ for all i or $x_i^{(k)} = 0$ for all i. Note that latter case occurs only for $k \in J_Z(\xi)$.

Set $\tau_{k,i} = |x_i^{(k)}|$ for $1 \le k \le m$. Now we define $\tilde{\tau}_{k,i}$ as follows: For $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ with $\tau_{k,i} \neq 0$ $(i = 1, 2, \dots)$, we set $\tilde{\tau}_{k,i} = \tau_{k,i}$. For $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ with $\tau_{k,i}$ being identically zero $(i = 1, 2, \dots)$, we take, as a $\{\tilde{\tau}_{k,i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, a sequence of positive real numbers satisfying $\tilde{\tau}_{k,i} \to 0$ $(i \to \infty)$ and

$$f(\tilde{\tau}_{1,i}, \dots, \tilde{\tau}_{m,i}) \to v, \quad (i \to \infty) \tag{2.48}$$

for any $(f,\, v) \in F^q$. Note that it is possible because such a k belongs to $J_Z(\xi)$ and we have $\nu_k(f) \ge 0$ for $k \in J_Z(\xi)$. Furthermore, if $\nu_k(f) > 0$ for some $k \in J_Z(\xi)$, then the corresponding v must be zero by Lemma 2.23. Therefore it suffices to take $\tilde{\tau}_{k,i}$ rapidly decreasing to 0 $(i \to \infty)$.

Recall that $J_Z(\xi) \cap \{1, 2, \dots, L\} = \{k_1, \dots, k_q\}$ $(1 \le k_1 < \dots < k_q \le L)$. Then, as F^q is generated by applying the operation $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{k_q}$ to F^{q-1}, by the conditions (2.48) and Lemma 2.29, we find a sequence $\{\epsilon_{k_q,i}\}$ of positive real numbers such that, when $i \to \infty$,

$$\epsilon_{k_q,i} \to 0, \quad f\left(\tilde{\tau}_{1,i},\, \dots,\, \overset{k_q\text{-th}}{\left(\tilde{\tau}_{k_q,i}/\epsilon_{k_q,i}\right)},\, \dots,\, \tilde{\tau}_{m,i}\right) \to v, \tag{2.49}$$

for any $(f,\, v) \in F^{q-1}$. Hence, thanks to the conditions (2.49), by applying the lemma again, we find a sequence $\{\epsilon_{k_{q-1},i}\}$ of positive real numbers such that $\epsilon_{k_{q-1},i} \to 0$ $(i \to \infty)$ and

$$f\left(\tilde{\tau}_{1,i},\, \dots,\, \overset{k_{q-1}\text{-th}}{\big(\tilde{\tau}_{k_{q-1},i}/\epsilon_{k_{q-1},i}\big)},\, \dots,\, \overset{k_q\text{-th}}{\big(\tilde{\tau}_{k_q,i}/\epsilon_{k_q,i}\big)},\, \dots,\, \tilde{\tau}_{m,i}\right) \to v \quad (i \to \infty)$$

for any $(f,\, v) \in F^{q-2}$. By repeated applications of the lemma in this way, we finally find the q-sequences $\{\epsilon_{k,i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ $(k \in J_Z(\xi) \cap \{1, 2, \dots, L\})$ of positive real numbers which satisfies, when $i \to \infty$,

$$\begin{array}{ll} \epsilon_{k,i} \to 0 & (k \in J_Z(\xi) \cap \{1, 2, \dots, L\}), \\ f(\hat{\tau}_{1,i},\, \dots,\, \hat{\tau}_{m,i}) \to v & (f,\, v) \in F^0, \end{array}$$

where $\hat{\tau}_{k,i}$ denotes $\tilde{\tau}_{k,i}/\epsilon_{k,i}$ if $k \in J_Z(\xi) \cap \{1, 2, \dots, L\}$ and $\hat{\tau}_{k,i} = \tilde{\tau}_{k,i}$ for other k. Set

$$\hat{\tau}_i = (\hat{\tau}_{1,i},\, \dots,\, \hat{\tau}_{m,i}) \text{ and } \hat{\tau}'_i = (\hat{\tau}_{1,i},\, \dots,\, \hat{\tau}_{L,i}).$$

Now applying the same argument to the variables $\lambda'' = (\lambda_{L+1}, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$ repeatedly, we can find sequences

$$\lambda''_i = (\lambda_{L+1,i},\, \dots, \lambda_{\ell,i}) \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots)$$

of positive real numbers such that, when $i \to \infty$,

$$\lambda''_i \to 0, \qquad f(\hat{\tau}_i,\, \lambda''_i) \to v$$

for any $(f,\, v) \in G$. Finally we define the sequence in $\widetilde{X}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{x}_i := \Big(x_i^{(0)},\, \Big(x_i^{(1)}/\hat{\tau}_{1,i}\Big),\, \dots,\, \big(x_i^{(L)}/\hat{\tau}_{L,i}\big),& \\ \big(x_i^{(L+1)}/\varphi_{L+1}(t_i)\big),\, \dots,\, \big(x_i^{(m)}/\varphi_m(t_i)\big),\, t_{1,i},\, \dots,\, t_{\ell,i}\Big) &\in \widetilde{X}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{array}{lll} t_{j,i} & := \varphi_j^{-1}(\hat{\tau}'_i, \lambda''_i)^{1/\sigma_A} & (1 \le j \le L), \\ t_{j,i} & := \lambda_{j,i}^{1/\sigma_A} & (L < j \le \ell). \end{array}$$

Let $\hat{p} = (0;\, \hat{\xi}^{(1)}, \ldots, \hat{\xi}^{(\ell)})$ be the normalized point of p. That is,

$$\hat{\xi}^{(k)} := \begin{cases} 0 & (k \in J_Z(\xi) \cap \{1, \ldots, L\}), \\ \dfrac{\xi^{(k)}}{|\xi^{(k)}|} & (1 \le k \le L,\, \xi^{(k)} \ne 0), \\ \dfrac{n_k(\xi)\xi^{(k)}}{|\xi^{(k)}|} & (k > L). \end{cases}$$

Note that p and $\hat{p}$ belong to the same orbit of $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$-actions on S_χ. Then we find $\widetilde{p}(\widetilde{x}_i) = x_i \in Z$ and $\widetilde{x}_i \to \hat{p}$ $(i \to \infty)$, which implies $\hat{p} \in C_\chi(Z)$. Since $C_\chi(Z)$ is an $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$-conic subset, we have finally obtained $p \in C_\chi(Z)$. This completes the proof. □

By the same argument as that in the first part of the proof of the theorem, we see that a multicone enjoys the following good property.

Corollary 2.32. *Let H be a finite subset in $\mathcal{H}_\tau \times \mathbb{R}_{\ge 0}$ for which $[H]$ and $\mathcal{G}$ are equivalent. Then every $V \in C(\xi,\, H)$ is stable under contraction induced by the action μ_j $(j = 1, \ldots, \ell)$. That is, $\mu_j(x,\, \lambda_j) \in V$ holds for any $x \in V$ and any $0 < \lambda_j \le 1$.*

Proof. Let $(f, v) \in H$ and $1 \le j_0 \le \ell$. For simplicity, we set $f(z) := f(|z^{(*)}|)$. To show the corollary, it suffices to prove the following claim:

1. If $v \ne 0$, then $f(\mu_{j_0}(z, \lambda_{j_0})) = f(z)$.
2. If $v = 0$, there exist a non-negative rational number κ such that $f(\mu_{j_0}(z, \lambda_{j_0})) = \lambda_{j_0}^{\kappa} f(z)$.

Since $[H]$ is equivalent to $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ also, by the definition, there exist $N \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\beta_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$ satisfying

$$f(\tau)^N = \prod_{1 \le k \le m} (\tau_k/\varphi(\lambda))^{\alpha_k} \prod_{1 \le j \le \ell} \lambda_j^{\beta_j}.$$

Put $\tau = |(\mu_{j_0}(z,\, \lambda_{j_0}))^{(*)}|$ and $\lambda = (1, \ldots, 1,\, \lambda_{j_0},\, 1, \ldots, 1)$ into the above equation, we have

$$f(\mu_{j_0}(z,\, \lambda_{j_0}))^N = \lambda_{j_0}^{\beta_{j_0}} f(z)^N.$$

By noticing that $v \ne 0$ implies $\beta_j = 0$ for any j, we have obtained the claim. □

Now assume that $p = (x^{(0)};\, \xi) \in S_\chi$ is outside fixed points and that the action μ_χ is non-degenerate. Then, by Corollary 2.5, we can take coordinates blocks of a local model so that the conditions 1. and 2. of the corollary hold. Furthermore, under this coordinates blocks, since $L = \ell$ and $q = 0$ hold, we have $F^q = G$. Hence we have obtained the following corollary: Let us recall the definition of $n_k(\xi)$ given in Remark 2.9 (note that $n_k(\xi)$ is just $|\xi^{(k)}|$ when ξ is normalized).

Corollary 2.33. *Under the situation described above, the following family of subsets gives a cofinal family of $C(\xi)$:*

$$\left\{ (x^{(0)},\, x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(m)});\ \begin{array}{ll} x^{(k)} \in W_k & (k = 1, \dots, m), \\ \varphi_k^{-1}(|x^{(*)}|') < \epsilon & (k \le \ell), \\ n_k(\xi) - \epsilon < \dfrac{|x^{(k)}|}{\varphi_k(\varphi^{-1}(|x^{(*)}|'))} < n_k(\xi) + \epsilon & (k > \ell) \end{array} \right\},$$

where $\epsilon > 0$, and W_k runs through open conic cones in $\mathbb{R}^{n_k} \setminus \{0\}$ containing the direction $\xi^{(k)}$ if $\xi^{(k)} \neq 0$ and it is $\mathbb{R}^{n_k}$ if $\xi^{(k)} = 0$.

2.4 A restriction condition

Let $A = (\alpha_{i,j})_{\substack{1\le i\le \ell \\ 1\le j\le m}}$ be an $\ell \times m$ matrix with entries of non-negative rationals. Set $L = \operatorname{rank} A$ $(1 \le L \le \min\{\ell, m\})$. Assume that the first $L \times L$ sub-matrix A' of A is invertible. Hereafter α_i denotes the i-th row of A for $1 \le i \le \ell$.

Lemma 2.34. *For $1 \le i \le \ell$, set $e^{\alpha_i} = (e^{\alpha_{i,1}}, \dots, e^{\alpha_{i,m}})$.*

(i) Let $1 \le i \le L$. We have $\log \varphi_j^{-1}(e^{\alpha_i})|_{\lambda''=1} \ge 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, L$.

(ii) Let $1 \le i \le \ell$. We have $\log \psi_k(e^{\alpha_i}) = 0$ for $k = L+1, \dots, m$.

Proof. Let $\beta_j = (\beta_{j,1}, \dots, \beta_{j,L})$, where $(\beta_{j,1}, \dots, \beta_{j,m})$ is the j-th column of A'^{-1} and $\beta_{j,k} = 0$, $k = L+1, \dots, m$.

(i) Let $\varphi_j^{-1}(\tau')$, $j = 1, \dots, L$. Then $\log \varphi_j^{-1}(e^{\alpha_i})|_{\lambda''=1}$ is nothing but the scalar product $\alpha_i \cdot \beta_j = \delta_{ij}$, where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker's delta.

(ii) First suppose that $1 \leq i \leq L$. Let $\psi_k(\tau')$, $k = L+1, \dots, m$. Then $\log \psi_k(e^{\alpha_i})$ is nothing but the scalar product $\alpha_i \cdot \left(e_k - \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{jk}\beta_j \right) = \alpha_{ik} - \alpha_{ik} = 0$. Moreover $\log(1/\psi_k(e^{\alpha_i})) = 0$ as well.

Now suppose that $L+1 \leq i \leq \ell$. Then $\alpha_i = \sum_{j=1}^L c_j \alpha_j$, so $\log \psi_k(e^{\alpha_i}) = \sum_{j=1}^L c_j \log \psi_k(e^{\alpha_j}) = 0$. Moreover $\log(1/\psi_k(e^{\alpha_i})) = 0$ as well.

□

Corollary 2.35. *Let $(f, v) \in \mathcal{G}$ and $1 \leq j \leq L$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\log f(e^{\alpha_j}) = 0 \quad \textit{if } f = \textstyle\prod_{k=L+1}^m \psi_k, \\ &\log f(e^{\alpha_j}) \geq 0 \quad \textit{otherwise.} \end{aligned} \tag{2.50}$$

In particular

$$\begin{aligned} v = 0 &\Rightarrow \log f(e^{\alpha_j}) \geq 0, \\ v \neq 0 &\Rightarrow \log f(e^{\alpha_j}) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.51}$$

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 2.34 and the fact that $[G]_=$ and $[G]_> * (1,0)$ generate $\mathcal{G}$.

□

Let us consider a $\ell \times m$ matrix A and a $(\ell+1) \times m$ matrix B obtained by adding to A a $(\ell+1)$-th row $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)$ ($\beta_i \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$). We will use $(\cdot)_A$ (resp. $(\cdot)_B$) to indicate elements (sets, family of sets) related to the normal deformation associated to A (resp. B). There are two possibilities: $\operatorname{rank} B = L$ or $\operatorname{rank} B = L+1$.

Let us consider the case $\operatorname{rank} B = L$. Set

$$b_j := \log \varphi_{jA}^{-1}(e^{\beta})|_{\lambda''=1} \qquad (j = 1, \dots, L). \tag{2.52}$$

Lemma 2.36. *Let us assume that*

$$v = 0 \Rightarrow \log f(e^{\beta}) \geq 0 \tag{2.53}$$

for $(f, v) \in \mathcal{G}_A$. Then $\mathcal{G}_A$ and $\mathcal{G}_B$ have the same radical.

Proof. For $j = 1, \dots, L$, we have

$$\tau_j = \varphi_{jB}(\lambda', \lambda'', \lambda_{\ell+1}) = \varphi_{jA}(\lambda', \lambda'') \cdot \lambda_{\ell+1}^{\beta_j}.$$

After a simple computation we obtain

$$\lambda_j = \varphi_{jA}^{-1}(\tau, \lambda'')\lambda_{\ell+1}^{-b_j} = \varphi_{jB}^{-1}(\tau, \lambda'', \lambda_{\ell+1}).$$

Hence, for $k \geq L+1$, we have

$$\psi_{kB}(\tau) = \psi_{kA}(\tau).$$

Set $J = \{1, \dots, L\}$, $K = \{L+1, \dots, m\}$ and $\tilde{J} = \{L+1, \dots, \ell\}$. If $v \neq 0$, then $f = \prod_{k \in K} \psi_k^{\delta_k}$ for some $\delta_k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and hence, $(f, v) \in \mathcal{G}_A$ if and only if $(f, v) \in \mathcal{G}_B$.

Assume now $v = 0$. Let $f = \prod_{j \in J} (\varphi_{jA}^{-1})^{a_j} \cdot \prod_{k \in K} (\psi_{kA})^{a_k}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\log f(e^\beta)|_{\lambda''=1} &= \sum_{j \in J} a_j \log \varphi_{jA}^{-1}(e^\beta)|_{\lambda''=1} + \sum_{k \in K} a_k \log \psi_{kA}(e^\beta) \\
&= \sum_{j \in J} a_j \log \varphi_{jA}^{-1}(e^\beta)|_{\lambda''=1} + \sum_{k \in K} a_k \log \psi_{kB}(e^\beta) \\
&= \sum_{j \in J} a_j b_j
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows since $\log \psi_{kB}(e^\beta) = 0$ by Lemma 2.34. Here we used the fact that $\operatorname{rank} A = \operatorname{rank} B$.

Now assume that $(f, 0) \in \mathcal{G}_A$. Set $b_f = \log f(e^\beta)|_{\lambda''=1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
f &= \prod_{j \in J} (\varphi_{jA}^{-1})^{a_j} \cdot \prod_{k \in K} (\psi_{kA})^{a_k} \cdot \prod_{j \in \tilde{J}} \lambda_j^{\delta_j} \\
&= \prod_{j \in J} (\varphi_{jB}^{-1})^{a_j} \cdot \lambda_{\ell+1}^{b_f} \cdot \prod_{k \in K} (\psi_{kB})^{a_k} \cdot \prod_{j \in \tilde{J}} \lambda_j^{\delta_j},
\end{aligned}$$

and $(f, 0) \in \mathcal{G}_B$ if $b_f \geq 0$. Conversely, assume that $(f, 0) \in \mathcal{G}_B$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
f &= \prod_{j \in J} (\varphi_{jB}^{-1})^{a_j} \cdot \lambda_{\ell+1}^{b_f} \cdot \prod_{k \in K} (\psi_{kB})^{a_k} \cdot \prod_{j \in \tilde{J}} \lambda_j^{\delta_j} \\
&= \prod_{j \in J} (\varphi_{jA}^{-1})^{a_j} \cdot \prod_{k \in K} (\psi_{kA})^{a_k} \cdot \prod_{j \in \tilde{J}} \lambda_j^{\delta_j},
\end{aligned}$$

where the term $\lambda_{\ell+1}^{b_f}$ appears since the degree in $\lambda_{\ell+1}$ must be 0. Then $(f, 0) \in \mathcal{G}_A$ and the result follows. $\square$

Corollary 2.37. *Suppose that* $\beta = \sum_{j=1}^{L} c_j \alpha_j$ *with* $c_j \geq 0$, $j = 1, \ldots, L$. *Then* $\mathcal{G}_A$ *and* $\mathcal{G}_B$ *have the same radical.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.34 we obtain $b_f := \log f(e^{\beta}) \geq 0$ for each $(f, v) \in \mathcal{G}_A$ and the result follows by Lemma 2.36. $\square$

Thanks to Proposition 2.24 it is enough to check the conditions on the elements of F_A^q, $q := \#\,(J_Z(\xi) \cap \{1, 2, \ldots, L\}) \geq 0$, and we have

Proposition 2.38. *Let us assume that* $\operatorname{rank} B = \operatorname{rank} A$ *and*

$$v = 0 \Rightarrow \log f(e^{\beta}) \geq 0 \tag{2.54}$$

for $(f, v) \in F_A^q$. *Then* $\mathcal{G}_A$ *and* $\mathcal{G}_B$ *have the same radical.*

From Proposition 2.38 we can deduce a condition for the restriction of multi-normal deformations. We omit the coordinate $x^{(0)}$ to lighten notations. In what follows, given $\xi = (\xi^{(1)}, \ldots, \xi^{(m)}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we will call $p_A \in S_A$, the point $p_A = (\xi^{(1)}, \ldots, \xi^{(m)}; 0 \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+\ell}$, and $p_B \in S_B$, the point $p_B = (\xi^{(1)}, \ldots, \xi^{(m)}; 0 \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+\ell+1}$.

Proposition 2.39. *Let* $p_A = (\xi^{(1)}, \ldots, \xi^{(m)}; 0, \ldots, 0) \in S_A$ *and assume that* $\operatorname{rank} A = \operatorname{rank} B$ *and*

$$v = 0 \Rightarrow \log f(e^{\beta}) \geq 0 \tag{2.55}$$

for $(f, v) \in F_A^q$. *Then* $p_B \in C_{\chi_B}(Z)$ *if and only if, for each* $V \in C(\xi, \mathcal{G}_A)$ *and each open neighborhood* U *of the origin, we have* $Z \cap V \cap U \neq \emptyset$ *(i.e., if and only if* $p_A \in C_{\chi_A}(Z)$*).*

Thanks to Corollary 2.37 we obtain a simpler sufficient condition. Recall $L := \operatorname{rank} A$.

Corollary 2.40. *Let* $p_A = (\xi^{(1)}, \ldots, \xi^{(m)}; 0, \ldots, 0) \in S_A$ *and assume that* $\beta = \sum_{j=1}^{L} c_j \alpha_j$ *with* $c_j \geq 0$, $j = 1, \ldots, L$. *Then* $p_B \in C_{\chi_B}(Z)$ *if and only if* $p_A \in C_{\chi_A}(Z)$.

Example 2.41. *In* $\mathbb{R}^3$ *let us consider the families* $\chi_A = \{M_1, M_2, M_3\}$, $\chi_B = \{M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4\}$ *with* $M_1 = \{x_1 = 0\}$, $M_2 = \{x_2 = x_3 = 0\}$, $M_3 = \{x_3 = 0\}$, $M_4 = \{0\}$. *If we consider the matrices*

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

the hypothesis of Corollary 2.40 is satisfied.

In the following example, since v of all the pairs $(f, v) \in F^q$ are zero, we simply write a pair by f instead of (f, v).

Example 2.42. *In* $\mathbb{R}^3$ *let us consider the families* $\chi_A = \{M_1, M_2, M_3\}$, $\chi_B = \{M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4\}$ *with* $M_1 = \{x_1 = x_3 = 0\}$, $M_2 = \{x_2 = x_3 = 0\}$, $M_3 = \{x_3 = 0\}$, $M_4 = \{0\}$. *Set* $\beta = (1, 1, 1)$. *Consider the matrices*

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

1. *Let* $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \neq 0$. *Then* $F_A^0 = \left\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \dfrac{\tau_3}{\tau_1 \tau_2}\right\}$. *We have* $\log e^{\beta_1 - \beta_2 - \beta_3} = 1 - 1 - 1 < 0$ *and* (2.54) *is not satisfied. Indeed one can check that* $F_B^0 = \left\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \dfrac{\tau_3}{\tau_1}, \dfrac{\tau_3}{\tau_2}\right\}$ *and* $\dfrac{\tau_3}{\tau_1 \tau_2} \notin \mathcal{G}_B$.
2. *Let* $\xi_3 = 0$, $\xi_1, \xi_2 \neq 0$. *Then* $F_A^1 = \left\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \dfrac{\tau_3}{\tau_1 \tau_2}\right\}$. *We have* $\log e^{\beta_1 - \beta_2 - \beta_3} = 1 - 1 - 1 < 0$ *and* (2.54) *is not satisfied. Indeed one can check that* $F_B^1 = \left\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \dfrac{\tau_3}{\tau_1}, \dfrac{\tau_3}{\tau_2}\right\}$ *and* $\dfrac{\tau_3}{\tau_1 \tau_2} \notin \mathcal{G}_B$.
3. *Let* $\xi_2 = 0$, $\xi_1, \xi_3 \neq 0$. *Then* $F_A^1 = \left\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \dfrac{\tau_3}{\tau_1}\right\}$ *and condition* (2.54) *is satisfied.*

4. Let $\xi_1 = 0$*,* $\xi_2, \xi_3 \neq 0$*. Then* $F_A^1 = \left\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \dfrac{\tau_3}{\tau_2}\right\}$ *and condition* (2.54) *is satisfied.*

Let us consider the case $\operatorname{rank} B = L + 1$. If $L < \ell$, we exchange the $(L+1)$-th line with the $(\ell+1)$-th line.

Let $\varphi_{jA}^{-1}(\tau, \lambda'')$, $j = 1, \ldots, L$ and $\psi_{kA}(\tau)$, $k = L+1, \ldots, m$ be the monomials defining $\mathcal{G}_A$. We are going to compute $\varphi_{jB}^{-1}(\tau, \lambda'')$, $j = 1, \ldots, L+1$ and $\psi_{kB}(\tau)$, $k = L+2, \ldots, m$ using $\varphi_{jA}^{-1}(\tau, \lambda'')$ and $\psi_{kA}(\tau)$. Set

$$b_j = \begin{cases} \log \varphi_{jA}^{-1}(e^{\beta})|_{\lambda''=1} & j = 1, \ldots, L, \\ \log \psi_{jA}(e^{\beta}) & j = L+1, \ldots, m. \end{cases}$$

Assume for simplicity that all the columns of B are non zero. We may also assume that $\exists\, k \in \{L{+}1, \ldots, m\}$ such that $b_k \neq 0$. Otherwise $\log \psi_{kA}(e^{\alpha_j}) = 0$ for $j = 1, \ldots, L+1$ by Lemma 2.34. This implies that the rank of B is the same as the rank of A. So, up to take a permutation of $\{1, \ldots, m\}$, we may assume that $b_{L+1} \neq 0$. If $b_{L+1} \neq 0$, then the first $(L+1) \times (L+1)$ sub-matrix B' of B is invertible. Otherwise $\operatorname{rank} B' = \operatorname{rank} A'$ and, as in the proof of Lemma 2.36, $\log \psi_{(L+1)B'}(e^{\beta}) = \log \psi_{(L+1)A'}(e^{\beta})$. We have

$$\log \psi_{(L+1)B'}(e^{\beta}) = \log \psi_{(L+1)A'}(e^{\beta}) = \log \psi_{(L+1)A}(e^{\beta}) = b_{L+1}$$

and $\log \psi_{(L+1)B'}(e^{\beta}) = 0$ by Lemma 2.34.

Let τ denote m-variables $(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_m)$. Consider the $(L+1)$-equations

$$\tau_1 = \varphi_{1B}(\lambda), \ldots, \tau_{L+1} = \varphi_{L+1B}(\lambda).$$

It can be also written as

$$\tau_1 = \varphi_{1A}(\lambda)\lambda_{L+1}^{\beta_1}, \ldots, \tau_{L+1} = \varphi_{L+1A}(\lambda)\lambda_{L+1}^{\beta_{L+1}}.$$

Then, by the assumption, the system of the equations can be solved for the variables $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{L+1})$. After a computation, we can find

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{jB}^{-1}(\tau, \lambda'') &= \frac{\varphi_{jA}^{-1}(\tau, \lambda'')}{(\psi_{L+1A}(\tau))^{\frac{b_j}{b_{L+1}}}} \quad j = 1, \ldots, L, \\
\varphi_{L+1B}^{-1}(\tau) &= (\psi_{L+1A}(\tau))^{\frac{1}{b_{L+1}}}, \\
\psi_{kB}(\tau) &= \frac{\psi_{kA}(\tau)}{(\psi_{L+1A}(\tau))^{\frac{b_k}{b_{L+1}}}} \quad k = L+2, \ldots, m,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{jA}^{-1}(\tau, \lambda'') &= \varphi_{jB}^{-1}(\tau, \lambda'') \cdot (\varphi_{L+1B}^{-1}(\tau))^{b_j} \quad j = 1, \ldots, L, \\
\psi_{L+1A}(\tau) &= (\varphi_{L+1B}^{-1}(\tau))^{b_{L+1}}, \\
\psi_{kA}(\tau) &= \psi_{kB}(\tau) \cdot (\varphi_{L+1B}^{-1}(\tau))^{b_k} \quad k = L+2, \ldots, m.
\end{aligned}$$

In what follows, we omit the variables τ, λ'' to lighten notations.

Lemma 2.43. *Let us assume that*

$$\begin{gathered}
v = 0 \Rightarrow \log f(e^{\beta}) \geq 0, \\
v \neq 0 \Rightarrow \log f(e^{\beta}) = 0, \\
\text{if } m \geq L+2, \quad b_k = 0 \quad \forall\, k \in \{L+2, \ldots, m\} \setminus J_Z(\xi)
\end{gathered} \tag{2.56}$$

for $(f, v) \in \mathcal{G}_A$. *Then* $\mathcal{G}_A$ *and* $\mathcal{G}_B$ *have the same radical.*

Proof. First remark that $b_{L+1} \neq 0$ and (2.56) imply $((\psi_{L+1A})^{a_{L+1}}, v) \in \mathcal{G}_A$, $a_{L+1} \neq 0$, only if $v = 0$. Since the variable λ'' does not play a role in this proof, we assume $\ell = L$.

(i) Let $(f_A, v) \in \mathcal{G}_A$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
f_A &= \prod_{j \in J} (\varphi_{jA}^{-1})^{a_j} \cdot (\psi_{\ell+1A})^{a_{\ell+1}} \cdot \prod_{k \in K} (\psi_{kA})^{a_k} \\
&= \prod_{j \in J} (\varphi_{jB}^{-1})^{a_j} \cdot (\varphi_{\ell+1B}^{-1})^{c} \cdot \prod_{k \in K} (\psi_{kB})^{a_k},
\end{aligned}$$

$J \subseteq \{1, \ldots, \ell\}$, $K \subseteq \{\ell+2, \ldots, m\}$ and

$$c = \sum_{j \in J} a_j b_j + a_{\ell+1} b_{\ell+1} + \sum_{k \in K} a_k b_k = \log f_A(e^\beta).$$

- Suppose that $v = 0$. It follows from (2.56) that $c \geq 0$.
  - Suppose that $c > 0$. Then $(f_A, 0) \in \mathcal{G}_B$ since $((\varphi_{\ell+1B}^{-1})^c, 0) \in [G_B]$ and $(\varphi_{\ell+1B}^{-1}, v) \in G_B$ only if $v = 0$.
  - Suppose that $c = 0$. Then there are two possibilities:
    - $a_j \neq 0$, $\exists\, j \in J$ or $a_k \neq 0$, $\exists\, k \in K \cap J_Z(\xi)$. In this case $(f_A, 0) \in \mathcal{G}_B$ since $((\varphi_{jB}^{-1})^{a_j}, 0), ((\psi_{kB})^{a_k}, 0) \in [G_B]$ and $(\varphi_{jB}^{-1}, v), (\psi_{kB}, v) \in G_B$ only if $v = 0$.
    - $a_j = 0, \forall\, j \in J$ and $a_k = 0, \forall\, k \in K \cap J_Z(\xi)$. We may assume $K \cap J_Z(\xi) = \emptyset$ and by (2.56) we have

      $$f_A = \prod_{k \in K} \frac{(\psi_{kA})^{a_k}}{(\psi_{\ell+1A})^{\frac{a_k b_k}{b_{\ell+1}}}} = \prod_{k \in K} (\psi_{kA})^{a_k} = \prod_{k \in K} (\psi_{kB})^{a_k}.$$

      This is because by (2.56) we have $b_k = 0$, $k \in K$. We have $(f_A, v) \in [G_A]_> * [(1,0)]$. Hence $(f_A, 0) \in [G_B]_> * [(1,0)]$.

- Suppose that $v \neq 0$. Then $(f_A, v) \in [G_A]_=$. It follows from (2.56) that $c = 0$. Moreover $a_j = 0$, $j \in J$ and $a_k = 0$, $k \in K \cap J_Z(\xi)$ since $(\varphi_{jA}^{-1}, v), (\psi_{kA}, v) \in G_A$ only if $v = 0$. Then

  $$f_A = \prod_{k \in K \setminus J_Z(\xi)} \frac{(\psi_{kA})^{a_k}}{(\psi_{\ell+1A})^{\frac{a_k b_k}{b_{\ell+1}}}} = \prod_{k \in K \setminus J_Z(\xi)} (\psi_{kA})^{a_k} = \prod_{k \in K \setminus J_Z(\xi)} (\psi_{kB})^{a_k}.$$

  This is because by (2.56) we have $b_k = 0$ if $k \notin J_Z(\xi)$. Then $(f_A, v) \in [G_A]_=$ implies $(f_A, v) \in [G_B]_=$.

(ii) Let $(f_B, v) \in \mathcal{G}_B$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_B &= \prod_{j \in J} (\varphi_{jB}^{-1})^{a_j} \cdot (\varphi_{\ell+1B}^{-1})^{a_{\ell+1}} \cdot \prod_{k \in K} (\psi_{kB})^{a_k} \\ &= \prod_{j \in J} (\varphi_{jA}^{-1})^{a_j} \cdot (\psi_{\ell+1A})^{c} \cdot \prod_{k \in K} (\psi_{kA})^{a_k}, \end{aligned}$$

$J \subseteq \{1, \ldots, \ell\}$, $K \subseteq \{\ell+2, \ldots, m\}$ and

$$c = \frac{a_{\ell+1} - \sum_{j \in J} a_j b_j - \sum_{k \in K} a_k b_k}{b_{\ell+1}}.$$

- Suppose that $v = 0$. Then $((f_B)^{|b_{\ell+1}|}, w) \in \mathcal{G}_A$ for some $w \geq 0$. In fact, it clearly holds if $\xi^{(\ell+1)} \neq 0$. Otherwise, as $(f_B, v) \in \mathcal{G}_B$ implies $\nu_{\ell+1}(f_B) \geq 0$ when $\xi^{(\ell+1)} = 0$, we conclude $c \geq 0$ since we see $c = \nu_{\ell+1}(f_B)$ by comparing the degree of the variable $\tau_{\ell+1}$.
  - Suppose $a_j \neq 0$, $\exists\, j \in J$ or $a_k \neq 0$, $\exists\, k \in K \cap J_Z(\xi)$. In this case $((f_B)^{|b_{\ell+1}|}, 0) \in \mathcal{G}_A$ since $((\varphi_{jA}^{-1})^{a_j}, 0), ((\psi_{kA})^{a_k}, 0) \in [G_A]$ and $(\varphi_{jA}^{-1}, v), (\psi_{kA}, v) \in G_A$ only if $v = 0$.
  - Suppose that $a_j = 0$, $\forall\, j \in J$ and $a_k = 0$, $\forall\, k \in K \cap J_Z(\xi)$. We may assume $K \cap J_Z(\xi) = \emptyset$. Let us argue by contradiction and suppose that $w \neq 0$. Then $((f_B)^{|b_{\ell+1}|}, w) \in [G_A]_=$. Corollary 2.35 implies that $a_{\ell+1} = \log f_B(e^\beta) = 0$ and by (2.56) we have

    $$f_B = \prod_{k \in K} (\psi_{kB})^{a_k} = \prod_{k \in K} \frac{(\psi_{kA})^{a_k}}{(\psi_{\ell+1A})^{\frac{a_k b_k}{b_{\ell+1}}}} = \prod_{k \in K} (\psi_{kA})^{a_k}.$$

    This implies that $((f_B)^{|b_{\ell+1}|}, w) \in [G_B]_=$, a contradiction. Hence we have $w = 0$ and $((f_B)^{|b_{\ell+1}|}, 0) \in \mathcal{G}_A$ follows.
- Suppose that $v \neq 0$. Then $(f_B, v) \in [G_B]_=$. In this case $a_j = 0$, $j \in J \cup \{\ell+1\}$ and $a_k = 0$, $k \in K \cap J_Z(\xi)$ since $(\varphi_{jB}^{-1}, v), (\psi_{kB}, v) \in G_B$ only if $v = 0$. Then

  $$f_B = \prod_{k \in K \setminus J_Z(\xi)} (\psi_{kB})^{a_k} = \prod_{k \in K \setminus J_Z(\xi)} \frac{(\psi_{kA})^{a_k}}{(\psi_{\ell+1A})^{\frac{a_k b_k}{b_{\ell+1}}}} = \prod_{k \in K \setminus J_Z(\xi)} (\psi_{kA})^{a_k}.$$

  This is because by (2.56) we have $b_k = 0$ if $k \notin J_Z(\xi)$. Then $(f_B, v) \in [G_B]_=$ implies $(f_B, v) \in [G_A]_=$.

□

Thanks to Proposition 2.24 it is enough to check the conditions on the elements of F_A^q, $q := \#\left(J_Z(\xi) \cap \{1, 2, \ldots, L\}\right) \geq 0$, and we have

Proposition 2.44. *Let us assume that*

$$\begin{gathered} v = 0 \Rightarrow \log f(e^{\beta}) \geq 0, \\ v \neq 0 \Rightarrow \log f(e^{\beta}) = 0, \\ \text{if } m \geq L+2, \quad b_k = 0 \quad \forall\, k \in \{L+2, \dots, m\} \setminus J_Z(\xi) \end{gathered} \tag{2.57}$$

for $(f, v) \in F_A^q$. *Then* $\mathcal{G}_A$ *and* $\mathcal{G}_B$ *have the same radical.*

From Proposition 2.44 we can deduce a condition for the restriction of multi-normal deformations. We omit the coordinate $x^{(0)}$ to lighten notations. In what follows, given $\xi = (\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(m)}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we will call $p_A \in S_A$, the point $p_A = (\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(m)}; 0 \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+\ell}$, and $p_B \in S_B$, the point $p_B = (\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(m)}; 0 \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+\ell+1}$.

Proposition 2.45. *Let* $p_A = (\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(m)}; 0, \dots, 0) \in S_A$. *Assume that* $\operatorname{rank} A + 1 = \operatorname{rank} B$ *and*

$$\begin{gathered} v = 0 \Rightarrow \log f(e^{\beta}) \geq 0, \\ v \neq 0 \Rightarrow \log f(e^{\beta}) = 0, \\ \text{if } m \geq L+2, \quad b_k = 0 \quad \forall\, k \in \{L+2, \dots, m\} \setminus J_Z(\xi) \end{gathered} \tag{2.58}$$

for $(f, v) \in F_A^q$. *Then* $p_B \in C_{\chi_B}(Z)$ *if and only if, for each* $V \in C(\xi, \mathcal{G}_A)$ *and each open neighborhood* U *of the origin, we have* $Z \cap V \cap U \neq \emptyset$ *(i.e., if and only if* $p_A \in C_{\chi_A}(Z)$*).*

When χ_B is of normal type, i.e., $m = \ell + 1 = L + 1$, Proposition 2.45 can be reformulated as follows.

Corollary 2.46. *Let* $m = \ell + 1$. *Let* $p_A = (\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(\ell+1)}; 0, \dots, 0) \in S_A$. *Assume that* $m = \operatorname{rank} B = \operatorname{rank} A + 1$ *and*

$$\begin{gathered} v = 0 \Rightarrow \log f(e^{\beta}) \geq 0, \\ ((\psi_{\ell+1 A})^{a_{\ell+1}}, v) \in \mathcal{G}_A \Rightarrow v = 0 \end{gathered} \tag{2.59}$$

for $(f, v) \in F_A^q$, $a_{\ell+1} \neq 0$. *Then* $p_B \in C_{\chi_B}(Z)$ *if and only if, for each* $V \in C(\xi, \mathcal{G}_A)$ *and each open neighborhood* U *of the origin, we have* $Z \cap V \cap U \neq \emptyset$ *(i.e., if and only if* $p_A \in C_{\chi_A}(Z)$*).*

Corollary 2.46 is a generalization of Corollary 4.3 of [6]. Consider the family $\chi_B = \{M_1, \dots, M_{\ell+1}\}$ satisfying condition H2 in [6], i.e.

H2 either $I_j \subset I_k$, $I_k \subset I_j$ or $I_j \cap I_k = \emptyset$ holds for $j \neq k$.

Consider a sub-family $\chi_A := \{M_{j_1}, \dots, M_{j_k}\}$ of χ_B. We denote by S_{χ_B} the zero section $\underset{X, 1 \leq j \leq \ell+1}{\times} T_{M_j} \iota_{\chi_B}(M_j)$ for the family χ_B. We also introduce the set

$$S_{\chi_B/\chi_A} := \left(\underset{X, 1 \leq \alpha \leq k}{\times} T_{M_{j_\alpha}} \iota_{\chi_B}(M_{j_\alpha}) \right) \underset{X}{\times} M, \tag{2.60}$$

where $M = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\ell+1} M_j$. We emphasize that, in the above definition, we use ι_{χ_B} (not ι_{χ_A}). Then we have the natural embedding

$$S_{\chi_B} \hookleftarrow S_{\chi_B/\chi_A} \hookrightarrow S_{\chi_A}.$$

Corollary 2.47. *Assume that χ_B satisfies the condition H2. Let $k \leq \ell$ and $\{j_1, \dots, j_k\}$ be a subset of $\{1, 2, \dots, \ell+1\}$. Set $\chi_A = \{M_{j_1}, \dots, M_{j_k}\}$. Let Z be a subset of X. Then we have*

$$C_{\chi_B}(Z) \cap S_{\chi_B/\chi_A} = C_{\chi_A}(Z) \cap S_{\chi_B/\chi_A}.$$

Proof. It is enough to prove the result for $\sharp\chi_A = \ell$ and then apply it repeatedly. We can assume without loss of generality that $\chi_A = \{M_1, \dots, M_\ell\}$. We use the coordinates $x = (x^{(0)}, x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(\ell+1)})$ defined as follows: $x^{(j)} = (x_i)_{i \in \widehat{I}_j}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{I}_0 &= \{1, \dots, \ell+1\} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell+1} I_i, \\ \widehat{I}_j &= I_j \setminus \bigcup_{I_i \subsetneq I_j} I_i, \quad j = 1, \dots, \ell+1. \end{aligned}$$

Since the coordinate $x^{(0)}$ is irrelevant in the rest of the proof, we will assume $\widehat{I}_0 = \emptyset$. Then A is a $\ell \times (\ell+1)$ matrix with entries $\alpha_{i,j}$, $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, $1 \leq j \leq \ell+1$, B is a $(\ell+1) \times (\ell+1)$ matrix obtained adding the line $(\alpha_{\ell+1,1}, \dots, \alpha_{\ell+1,\ell+1})$. Up to take a permutation of the coordinates we may assume that the first ℓ columns of A are linearly independent. The entries of the matrices are given by $\alpha_{ij} = 1$ if $\widehat{I_j} \subseteq I_i$, $\alpha_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. The restriction maps $S_{\chi_B/\chi_A} \to S_{\chi_A}$, $S_{\chi_B/\chi_A} \to S_{\chi_B}$ are given by $\xi^{(\ell+1)} = 0$.

Thanks to the condition H2 it is easy to compute the inverse matrix B^{-1}, whose columns define the monomials φ_{jB}^{-1}, $j = 1, \ldots, \ell+1$ which are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{jB}^{-1}(\tau) &= \tau_j \text{ if } I_j \text{ is maximal,} \\ \varphi_{jB}^{-1}(\tau) &= \frac{\tau_j}{\tau_{k_j}} \text{ otherwise, where } I_{k_j} = \bigcap_{I_k \supsetneqq I_j} I_k. \end{aligned}$$

Remark that to define φ_{jB}^{-1}, $j = 1, \ldots, \ell+1$ we are working with the family of indices I_j, $j = 1, \ldots, \ell+1$. Thanks to the condition H2 it is also easy to compute the inverse matrix A'^{-1} of A', the matrix defined by the first ℓ columns of A, whose columns define the monomials φ_{jA}^{-1}, $j = 1, \ldots, \ell$ which are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{jA}^{-1}(\tau) &= \tau_j \text{ if } I_j \text{ is maximal,} \\ \varphi_{jA}^{-1}(\tau) &= \frac{\tau_j}{\tau_{k_j}} \text{ otherwise, where } I_{k_j} = \bigcap_{I_k \supsetneqq I_j} I_k. \end{aligned}$$

Remark that to define φ_{jA}^{-1}, $j = 1, \ldots, \ell$ we are working with the family of indices I_j, $j = 1, \ldots, \ell$. Then one can also compute the monomial $\psi_{\ell+1A}$, which is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\ell+1A}(\tau) &= \tau_{\ell+1} \text{ if } I_{\ell+1} \text{ is maximal,} \\ \psi_{\ell+1A}(\tau) &= \frac{\tau_{\ell+1}}{\tau_{k_{\ell+1}}} \text{ otherwise, where } I_{k_{\ell+1}} = \bigcap_{I_k \supsetneqq I_{\ell+1}} I_k. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that $\psi_{\ell+1A}(e^{\beta}) = 1$ and $\varphi_{jA}^{-1}(e^{\beta}) \in \{0, 1\}$. Hence the conditions (2.59) are satisfied and the result follows from Corollary 2.46. ☐

Example 2.48. *Let us consider some examples in $\mathbb{R}^3$ of Corollary 2.47.*

1. *(Majima) Let $X = \mathbb{R}^3$ with coordinates (x_1, x_2, x_3) and let $\chi_A = \{M_1, M_2\}$, $\chi_B = \{M_1, M_2, M_3\}$ with $M_i = \{x_i = 0\}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Then*

$F_A^0 = F_B^0 = Q(\{(\tau_1, 0), (\tau_2, 0), (\tau_3, |\xi_3|)\})$. If we consider $\xi_3 = 0$ and the matrices

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

condition (2.57) *is satisfied.*

2. *(Takeuchi) Let $X = \mathbb{R}^3$ with coordinates (x_1, x_2, x_3). There are several configurations. In the subsequent examples, all the couples (f, v) are with $v = 0$, and we write it by f instead of (f, v) for short.*

   (a) *let $\chi_A = \{M_1, M_2\}$, $\chi_B = \{M_1, M_2, M_3\}$ with $M_1 = \{0\}$, $M_2 = \{x_2 = x_3 = 0\}$, $M_3 = \{x_3 = 0\}$. Let $\xi_3 = 0$, $\xi_1, \xi_2 \neq 0$. Then $F_A^1 = \left\{\tau_1, \dfrac{\tau_2}{\tau_1}, \dfrac{\tau_3}{\tau_2}\right\}$. If we consider the matrices*

   $$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

   condition (2.57) *is satisfied.*

   (b) *let $\chi_A = \{M_1, M_2\}$, $\chi_B = \{M_1, M_2, M_3\}$ with $M_1 = \{x_2 = x_3 = 0\}$, $M_2 = \{x_2 = 0\}$, $M_3 = \{0\}$. Let $\xi_3 = 0$, $\xi_1, \xi_2 \neq 0$. Then $F_A^1 = \left\{\tau_1, \dfrac{\tau_2}{\tau_1}, \tau_3\right\}$. If we consider the matrices*

   $$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

   condition (2.57) *is satisfied.*

   (c) *let $\chi_A = \{M_1, M_2\}$, $\chi_B = \{M_1, M_2, M_3\}$ with $M_1 = \{0\}$, $M_2 = \{x_2 = 0\}$, $M_3 = \{x_2 = x_3 = 0\}$. Let $\xi_3 = 0$, $\xi_1, \xi_2 \neq 0$. Then $F_A^1 = \left\{\tau_1, \dfrac{\tau_2}{\tau_1}, \dfrac{\tau_3}{\tau_1}\right\}$. If we consider the matrices*

   $$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

condition (2.57) *is satisfied.*

3. *(Mixed) Let* $X = \mathbb{R}^3$ *with coordinates* (x_1, x_2, x_3)*. There are several configurations.*

   (a) *let* $\chi_A = \{M_1, M_2\}$*,* $\chi_B = \{M_1, M_2, M_3\}$ *with* $M_1 = \{0\}$*,* $M_2 = \{x_2 = 0\}$*,* $M_3 = \{x_3 = 0\}$*. Let* $\xi_3 = 0$*,* $\xi_1, \xi_2 \neq 0$*. Then* $F_A^1 = \left\{\tau_1, \frac{\tau_2}{\tau_1}, \frac{\tau_3}{\tau_1}\right\}$*. If we consider the matrices*

   $$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

   condition (2.57) *is satisfied.*

   (b) *let* $\chi_A = \{M_1, M_2\}$*,* $\chi_B = \{M_1, M_2, M_3\}$ *with* $M_1 = \{x_1 = 0\}$*,* $M_2 = \{x_2 = 0\}$*,* $M_3 = \{0\}$*. Let* $\xi_3 = 0$*,* $\xi_1, \xi_2 \neq 0$*. Then* $F_A^1 = \{\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3\}$*. If we consider the matrices*

   $$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

   condition (2.57) *is satisfied.*

Example 2.49. *In* $\mathbb{R}^3$ *let us consider the family* $\chi_A = \{M_1, M_2\}$*, with* $M_1 = \{x_1 = x_2 = 0\}$*,* $M_2 = \{x_2 = x_3 = 0\}$*. The matrix* A *is*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $\varphi_{1A}^{-1}(\tau) = \tau_1$*,* $\varphi_{2A}^{-1}(\tau) = \frac{\tau_2}{\tau_1}$*,* $\varphi_{3A}^{-1}(\tau) = \frac{\tau_1\tau_3}{\tau_2}$*. We have*

$$F_A^0 = \left\{(\tau_1, 0), \left(\frac{\tau_2}{\tau_1}, 0\right), \left(\frac{\tau_1\tau_3}{\tau_2}, |\xi_3|\right), \left(\frac{\tau_2}{\tau_1\tau_3}, \frac{1}{|\xi_3|}\right)\right\}.$$

In the following, all the couples (f, v) *are with* $v = 0$*, so we omit* v *for short.*

- *If* $\xi_1 = 0$*,* $\xi_2, \xi_3 \neq 0$*,* $F_A^1 = \left\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \frac{\tau_1\tau_3}{\tau_2}, \frac{\tau_2}{\tau_3}\right\}$*.*

- *If* $\xi_2 = 0,\ \xi_1, \xi_3 \neq 0,\ F_A^1 = \left\{\tau_1, \dfrac{\tau_2}{\tau_1}, \tau_3, \dfrac{\tau_2}{\tau_1\tau_3}\right\}$.
- *If* $\xi_3 = 0,\ \xi_1, \xi_2 \neq 0,\ F_A^1 = \left\{\tau_1, \dfrac{\tau_2}{\tau_1}, \dfrac{\tau_1\tau_3}{\tau_2}\right\}$.
- *If* $\xi_2 \neq 0,\ \xi_1, \xi_3 = 0,\ F_A^2 = \left\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \dfrac{\tau_1\tau_3}{\tau_2}\right\}$.

We study the restriction when we consider $\chi_B = \{M_1, M_2, M_3\}$ *obtained by adding a manifold* M_3 *to* χ_A*, i.e. adding a line* $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ *to* A*.*

- *Let* $M_3 = \{x_1 = 0\}$*. We consider the matrix* B *obtained by adding the line* $\alpha = (1, 0, 0)$ *to* A*.*
  - *If* $\xi_1 = 0$ *condition* (2.57) *is satisfied.*
  - *If* $\xi_2 = 0,\ \xi_1, \xi_3 \neq 0,$ *then* $\log e^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1} = 0 - 1 < 0$ *and* (2.57) *is not satisfied. Indeed one can check that* $\dfrac{\tau_2}{\tau_1} \notin \mathcal{G}_B$*.*
  - *If* $\xi_3 = 0,\ \xi_1, \xi_2 \neq 0,$ *then* $\log e^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1} = 0 - 1 < 0$ *and* (2.57) *is not satisfied. Indeed one can check that* $\dfrac{\tau_2}{\tau_1} \notin \mathcal{G}_B$*.*
- *Let* $M_3 = \{x_2 = 0\}$*. We consider the matrix* B *obtained by adding the line* $\alpha = (0, 1, 0)$ *to* A*.*
  - *If* $\xi_1 = 0,\ \xi_2, \xi_3 \neq 0,$ *then* $\log e^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 - \alpha_2} = 0 + 0 - 1 < 0$ *and* (2.57) *is not satisfied. Indeed one can check that* $\dfrac{\tau_1\tau_3}{\tau_2} \notin \mathcal{G}_B$*.*
  - *If* $\xi_2 = 0$ *condition* (2.57) *is satisfied.*
  - *If* $\xi_3 = 0,\ \xi_1, \xi_2 \neq 0,$ *then* $\log e^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 - \alpha_2} = 0 + 0 - 1 < 0$ *and* (2.57) *is not satisfied. Indeed one can check that* $\dfrac{\tau_1\tau_3}{\tau_2} \notin \mathcal{G}_B$*.*
- *Let* $M_3 = \{x_3 = 0\}$*. We consider the matrix* B *obtained by adding the line* $\alpha = (0, 0, 1)$ *to* A*.*
  - *If* $\xi_1 = 0,\ \xi_2, \xi_3 \neq 0,$ *then* $\log e^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_3} = 0 - 1 < 0$ *and* (2.57) *is not satisfied. Indeed one can check that* $\dfrac{\tau_2}{\tau_3} \notin \mathcal{G}_B$*.*
  - *If* $\xi_2 = 0,\ \xi_1, \xi_3 \neq 0,$ *then* $\log e^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_3} = 0 - 1 < 0$ *and* (2.57) *is not satisfied. Indeed one can check that* $\dfrac{\tau_2}{\tau_3} \notin \mathcal{G}_B$*.*

  - *If* $\xi_3 = 0$ *condition* (2.57) *is satisfied.*

- *Let* $M_3 = \{x_1 = x_3 = 0\}$. *We consider the matrix* B *obtained by adding the line* $\alpha = (1, 0, 1)$ *to* A.

  - *If* $\xi_1 = 0$, $\xi_2, \xi_3 \neq 0$, *then* $\log e^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_3} = 0 - 1 < 0$ *and* (2.57) *is not satisfied. Indeed one can check that* $\frac{\tau_2}{\tau_3} \notin \mathcal{G}_B$.
  - *If* $\xi_2 = 0$, $\xi_1, \xi_3 \neq 0$, *then* $\log e^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_3} = 0 - 1 - 1 < 0$ *and* (2.57) *is not satisfied. Indeed one can check that* $\frac{\tau_2}{\tau_1 \tau_3} \notin \mathcal{G}_B$.
  - *If* $\xi_3 = 0$, $\xi_1, \xi_2 \neq 0$, *then* $\log e^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1} = 0 - 1 < 0$ *and* (2.57) *is not satisfied. Indeed one can check that* $\frac{\tau_2}{\tau_1} \notin \mathcal{G}_B$.
  - *If* $\xi_1 = \xi_3 = 0$ *condition* (2.57) *is satisfied.*

- *Let* $M_3 = \{x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = 0\}$. *We consider the matrix* B *obtained by adding the line* $\alpha = (1, 1, 1)$ *to* A.

  - *If* $\xi_1 = 0$ *condition* (2.57) *is satisfied.*
  - *If* $\xi_2 = 0$, $\xi_1, \xi_3 \neq 0$, *then* $\log e^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_3} = 1 - 1 - 1 < 0$ *and* (2.57) *is not satisfied. Indeed one can check that* $\frac{\tau_2}{\tau_1 \tau_3} \notin \mathcal{G}_B$.
  - *If* $\xi_3 = 0$ *condition* (2.57) *is satisfied.*

Example 2.50. *In* $\mathbb{R}^4$, *let us consider the family* $\chi_A = \{M_1, M_2\}$, *with* $M_1 = \{x_1 = x_2 = x_4 = 0\}$, $M_2 = \{x_2 = x_3 = 0\}$. *The matrix* A *is*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

If $\xi_3 = 0$, $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_4 \neq 0$, *we have*

$$F_A^1 = \left\{ (\tau_1, 0), \left(\frac{\tau_2}{\tau_1}, 0 \right), \left(\frac{\tau_1 \tau_3}{\tau_2}, 0 \right), \left(\frac{\tau_4}{\tau_1}, |\xi_4| \right), \left(\frac{\tau_1}{\tau_4}, \frac{1}{|\xi_4|} \right) \right\}.$$

We study the restriction when we consider $\chi_B = \{M_1, M_2, M_3\}$ *obtained by adding a manifold* M_3 *to* χ_A, *i.e. adding a line* $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)$ *to* A.

- *Let* $M_3 = \{x_2 = 0\}$. *We consider the matrix* B *obtained by adding the line* $\alpha = (0, 1, 0, 0)$ *to* A. *Then* $\log e^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 - \alpha_2} = 0 + 0 - 1 < 0$ *and* (2.57) *is not satisfied. Indeed one can check that* $\left(\frac{\tau_1 \tau_3}{\tau_2}, 0 \right) \notin \mathcal{G}_B$.

- *Let* $M_3 = \{x_2 = x_4 = 0\}$. *We consider the matrix* B *obtained by adding the line* $\alpha = (0, 1, 0, 1)$ *to* A. *Then* $\log e^{\alpha_1 - \alpha_4} = 0 - 1 < 0$ *and* (2.57) *is not satisfied. Indeed one can check that* $\left(\dfrac{\tau_1}{\tau_4}, \dfrac{1}{|\xi_4|}\right) \notin \mathcal{G}_B$.
- *Let* $M_3 = \{x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = 0\}$. *We consider the matrix* B *obtained by adding the line* $\alpha = (1, 1, 1, 0)$ *to* A. *Then* $\log e^{\alpha_1 - \alpha_4} = 1 - 0 > 0$ *and* (2.57) *is not satisfied. Indeed one can check that* $\left(\dfrac{\tau_1}{\tau_4}, 0\right) \in \mathcal{G}_B$.
- *Let* $M_3 = \{x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = x_4 = 0\}$. *We consider the matrix* B *obtained by adding the line* $\alpha = (1, 1, 1, 1)$ *to* A. *Then* (2.57) *is satisfied.*

3 Multi-asymptotic expansions

In this section we extend the notion of asymptotic expansion associated to χ developed in [6]. We refer to [11] for the classical theory of strongly asymptotically developable functions.

3.1 Geometry of multi-asymptotic expansions

Let $X = \mathbb{C}^n$ with coordinates $(z) = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$, and let $\chi = \{Z_j\}_{j=1}^{\ell}$ be a family of closed complex submanifolds in X. We divide complex coordinates (z) into $(m+1)$-coordinates blocks

$$(z^{(0)},\, z^{(1)},\, \dots, z^{(m)}), \tag{3.1}$$

for which each Z_j is assumed to be linearized, that is, there exists the subset K_j in $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ such that each Z_j is defined by the equations $z^{(k)} = 0$ with $k \in K_j$, i.e.,

$$Z_j = \left\{ z^{(k)} = 0 \ (k \in K_j) \right\} \qquad (j = 1, 2, \dots, \ell). \tag{3.2}$$

Let us consider a matrix $A_\chi = (a_{jk})$ of size $\ell \times m$ whose entries are non-negative rational numbers. Set $L := \operatorname{Rank} A_\chi$. We always assume the condition (2.1), that is,

(3.3) the first $L \times L$ submatrix in A_χ is invertible.

As in Subsection 2.2, we define the associated m-monomials of $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$ by

$$\varphi_k(\lambda) = \lambda_1^{a_{1k}} \lambda_2^{a_{2k}} \cdots \lambda_\ell^{a_{\ell k}} \quad (k = 1, \dots, m).$$

We also define the action $\mu_j : X \times \mathbb{R}^+ \to X$ $(j = 1, \dots, \ell)$ by

$$\mu_j(z,\, t) = (z^{(0)},\, t^{a_{j1}} z^{(1)}, t^{a_{j2}} z^{(2)},\, \dots,\, t^{a_{jm}} z^{(m)}) \tag{3.4}$$

and the action $\mu : X \times (\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell \to X$ by

$$\mu(z,\, \lambda) = (z^{(0)},\, \varphi_1(\lambda) z^{(1)}, \varphi_2(\lambda) z^{(2)},\, \dots,\, \varphi_m(\lambda) z^{(m)}). \tag{3.5}$$

For these actions, we assume Condition A2. introduced in Subsection 1.4, that is,

(3.6) each Z_j coincides with the set of fixed points of the action μ_j on X.

Note that this condition is equivalently saying that A_χ satisfies the condition

$$a_{jk} \neq 0 \text{ if and only if } k \in K_j \qquad (j = 1, 2, \dots, \ell). \tag{3.7}$$

According to the system of coordinates $(z^{(0)},\, \dots,\, z^{(m)})$, the space X can be identified with

$$\mathbb{C}^{n_0}_{z^{(0)}} \times \mathbb{C}^{n_1}_{z^{(1)}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}^{n_m}_{z^{(m)}},$$

where n_k denotes the number of coordinate variables in the coordinates block $z^{(k)}$.

Remark 3.1. *Through the section, for a complex vector $a = (a_1,\, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{C}^k$, its norm is defined by*

$$|a| := \max\{|a_1|,\, |a_2|,\, \dots, |a_k|\}. \tag{3.8}$$

Let p be a point $(z^{(0)};\, \zeta) = (0;\, \zeta^{(1)}, \dots, \zeta^{(m)}) \in S_\chi$ and set

$$J_Z(\zeta) = \{k \in \{1, \dots, m\};\, \zeta^{(k)} = 0\} \tag{3.9}$$

as usual. Note that we consider the problem near the origin, i.e., $z^{(0)} = 0$. Further, for the point $p \in S_\chi$, we assume the condition (2.3), that is,

$$\text{if the } k\text{-th column of } A_\chi \text{ is a zero vector, then } \zeta^{(k)} = 0. \tag{3.10}$$

We denote by $V(\zeta)$ the set of $\mathbb{R}^+$-cones in the form

$$\mathbb{C}^{n_0} \times V_1 \times \cdots \times V_m \subset X, \tag{3.11}$$

where each V_k satisfies the following conditions:

- If $\zeta^{(k)} \neq 0$, then V_k is an open convex proper cone in $\mathbb{C}^{n_k}$ containing the point $\zeta^{(k)}$.
- If $\zeta^{(k)} = 0$, then $V_k = \mathbb{C}^{n_k}$.

In what follows, we constantly use notions introduced in Section 2. Remember that the semigroup $\mathcal{G}$ was defined in Subsection 2.2, and that F^q (resp. G) is the finite subset of $\mathcal{H}_\tau \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}_{\tau,\lambda} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$) defined in the same subsection, where we adopt the definition of G given in Remark 2.9. That is,

$$F^0 := (\mathcal{L}^\lambda_\ell \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{L}^\lambda_{L+1})(G),$$
$$F^q := (\mathcal{L}_{k_q} \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{L}_{k_1})(F^0),$$

where $\{k_1, \ldots, k_q\} = J_Z(\zeta) \cap \{1, 2, \ldots, L\}$ $(1 \leq k_1 < \cdots < k_q \leq L)$. Note that, by Proposition 2.24, the radical of $[F^q]$ is $\mathcal{G}$.

Remark 3.2. *The most important and interesting cases are those where the corresponding action μ_χ is non-degenerate and p is outside the fixed points. In this case, by adopting the coordinates blocks described in Corollary 2.5, we have $q = 0$ and $L = \operatorname{Rank} A_\chi = \ell$, and hence,*

$$F^q = F^0 = G. \tag{3.12}$$

Set

$$|z^{(*)}| = (|z^{(1)}|, \ldots, |z^{(L)}|, \ldots, |z^{(m)}|) \tag{3.13}$$

and

$$|z^{(*)}|' = (|z^{(1)}|, \ldots, |z^{(L)}|). \tag{3.14}$$

Let H be a finite subset in $\mathcal{H}_\tau \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ satisfying that $[H]$ and $\mathcal{G}$ defined in (2.29) are equivalent, and let $\{\epsilon\}$ be the set of positive real numbers consisting of $\epsilon_0 > 0$, $\epsilon_{f,+} > 0$ and $\epsilon_{f,-} > 0$ for each $(f,\, v) \in H$. Then, for $V \in V(\zeta)$, we define the open subset

$$S_H(V,\, \{\epsilon\}) := \left\{ z \in X;\ \begin{array}{c} z \in V,\ |z^{(0)}| < \epsilon_0, \\ v - \epsilon_{f,-} < f\left(|z^{(*)}|\right) < v + \epsilon_{f,+} \\ \text{for any } (f,\, v) \in H. \end{array} \right\}, \tag{3.15}$$

which is often called a *multicone* or *multisector*. Since $[H]$ and $\mathcal{G}$ are equivalent and $(\tau_k^N,\, 0) \in \mathcal{G}$ $(k = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ holds for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$, any open neighborhood of the origin contains the set $S_H(V,\, \{\epsilon\})$ if the positive real numbers in $\{\epsilon\}$ are sufficiently small.

Furthermore, for finite subsets H and H' in $\mathcal{H}_\tau \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that both the $[H]$ and $[H']$ are equivalent, the families $\{S_H(V,\, \{\epsilon\})\}_{\{\epsilon\}}$ and $\{S_{H'}(V,\, \{\epsilon'\})\}_{\{\epsilon'\}}$ are equivalent with respect to inclusion of sets. That is, for any $S_H(V,\, \{\epsilon\})$ (resp. $S_{H'}(V,\, \{\epsilon'\})$), there exists $\{\epsilon'\}$ (resp. $\{\epsilon\}$) such that

$$S_H(V, \{\epsilon\}) \subset S_{H'}(V,\, \{\epsilon'\}) \quad \big(\text{resp. } \ S_{H'}(V, \{\epsilon'\}) \subset S_H(V,\, \{\epsilon\})\big)$$

holds.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{G}'$ be a semigroup in $\mathcal{H}_\tau \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ which is equivalent to $\mathcal{G}$. Then, the family $\{S_H(V, \{\epsilon\})\}_{H, \{\epsilon\}}$ and the one $\{S_{H'}(V,\, \{\epsilon'\})\}_{H', \{\epsilon'\}}$ are exactly same where the former H runs through the finite subset of $\mathcal{G}$ with $[H]$ and $\mathcal{G}$ being equivalent and the latter H' runs through the finite subset of $\mathcal{G}'$ with $[H']$ and $\mathcal{G}'$ being equivalent. That is, for any $S_H(V,\, \{\epsilon\})$ (resp. $S_{H'}(V,\, \{\epsilon'\})$), there exists a finite subset $H' \subset \mathcal{G}'$ (resp. $H \subset \mathcal{G}$) and $\{\epsilon'\}$ (resp. $\{\epsilon\}$) such that $S_H(V, \{\epsilon\}) = S_{H'}(V, \{\epsilon'\})$ holds.*

Proof. Let H be a finite subset in $\mathcal{G}$ satisfying that $[H]$ and $\mathcal{G}$ are equivalent. As $\mathcal{G}'$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{G}$, there exists a natural number N such that $\langle H \rangle_N \subset \mathcal{G}'$ holds, where $\langle H \rangle_N$ is the finite subset $\{(f,\, v)^N;\ (f,\, v) \in H\}$. Note that $[\langle H \rangle_N]$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{G}'$.

On the other hand, it follows from the definition that, for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{\epsilon\}$, there exists a suitable $\{\epsilon'\}$ for which we have

$$S_H(V,\, \{\epsilon\}) = S_{\langle H \rangle_N}(V,\, \{\epsilon'\}).$$

Hence the claim of the lemma follows from this. $\square$

Remark 3.4. *When $Q(H) = H$ holds, for any $(f,\, v) \in H$ with $v \neq 0$, we have $(1/f,\, 1/v) \in H$. Hence, by suitably choosing $\{\epsilon\}$ again, the multicone defined by* (3.15) *coincides with the subset*

$$\left\{ z \in X;\ \begin{array}{l} z \in V,\ |z^{(0)}| < \epsilon_0, \\ f\left(|z^{(*)}|\right) < v + \epsilon_{f,+} \ \text{for any}\ (f,\, v) \in H. \end{array} \right\}. \tag{3.16}$$

Remark 3.5. *When $H = F^q \subset \mathcal{G}$ and all the positive real numbers in $\{\epsilon\}$ are the same $\epsilon > 0$, we write $S(V,\, \epsilon)$ instead of $S_H(V,\, \{\epsilon\})$.*

Example 3.6. *Let $X = \mathbb{C}^n$ with coordinates blocks $(z^{(0)},\, z^{(1)},\, z^{(2)},\, z^{(3)})$. The submanifolds Z_j $(j = 1, 2, 3)$ are defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} Z_1 &= \{z^{(2)} = z^{(3)} = 0\}, \\ Z_2 &= \{z^{(1)} = z^{(3)} = 0\}, \\ Z_3 &= \{z^{(3)} = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider the 3×3 matrix A_χ associated with the family $\chi = \{Z_1,\, Z_2,\, Z_3\}$. Then clearly we have $\operatorname{Rank} A_\chi = 3$ and χ is of normal type. In this case, the polynomials $\varphi_j(\lambda)$ is given by

$$\varphi_1(\lambda) = \lambda_2,\ \varphi_2(\lambda) = \lambda_1,\ \varphi_3(\lambda) = \lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3,$$

and hence, we have

$$\varphi_1^{-1}(\tau) = \tau_1,\ \varphi_2^{-1}(\tau) = \tau_2,\ \varphi_3^{-1}(\tau) = \frac{\tau_3}{\tau_1\tau_2}.$$

Let $p = (0;\, \zeta) = (0;\, \zeta^{(1)}, \zeta^{(2)}, \zeta^{(3)}) \in S_\chi$ be a point outside fixed points. Since G is given by

$$\{(\varphi_1^{-1},\, 0),\, (\varphi_2^{-1},\, 0),\, (\varphi_3^{-1},\, 0)\}$$

and $F^q = G$ holds in this case, the cone $S(V, \epsilon)$ is defined by

$$\left\{ (z^{(0)},\, z^{(1)},\, z^{(2)},\, z^{(3)}) \in X;\ \begin{array}{l} z^{(k)} \in V_k\, (k = 1, 2, 3),\ |z^{(j)}| < \epsilon\ (j = 0, 1, 2, 3) \\ |z^{(3)}| < \epsilon |z^{(1)}||z^{(2)}| \end{array} \right\},$$

where V_j $(j = 1, 2, 3)$ is a proper open cone in $\mathbb{C}^{n_j}$ containing the vector $\zeta^{(j)}$.

Example 3.7. *Let $X = \mathbb{C}^n$ with coordinates blocks $(z^{(0)},\, z^{(1)},\, z^{(2)},\, z^{(3)},\, z^{(4)})$. The submanifolds Z_j $(j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ are defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} Z_1 &= \{z^{(1)} = z^{(4)} = 0\}, \\ Z_2 &= \{z^{(2)} = z^{(4)} = 0\}, \\ Z_3 &= \{z^{(3)} = z^{(4)} = 0\}, \\ Z_4 &= \{z^{(1)} = z^{(2)} = z^{(3)} = z^{(4)} = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider the 4×4 matrix A_χ associated with the family $\chi = \{Z_1,\, Z_2,\, Z_3,\, Z_4\}$. Then $\operatorname{Rank} A_\chi = 4$ holds and χ is of normal type. In this case, the polynomials $\varphi_j(\lambda)$ is given by

$$\varphi_1(\lambda) = \lambda_1\lambda_4,\ \varphi_2(\lambda) = \lambda_2\lambda_4,\ \varphi_3(\lambda) = \lambda_3\lambda_4,\ \varphi_4(\lambda) = \lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4,$$

and hence

$$\varphi_1^{-1}(\tau) = \sqrt{\frac{\tau_1\tau_4}{\tau_2\tau_3}},\ \varphi_2^{-1}(\tau) = \sqrt{\frac{\tau_2\tau_4}{\tau_1\tau_3}},\ \varphi_3^{-1}(\tau) = \sqrt{\frac{\tau_3\tau_4}{\tau_1\tau_2}},\ \varphi_4^{-1}(\tau) = \sqrt{\frac{\tau_1\tau_2\tau_3}{\tau_4}}.$$

Let $p = (0;\, \zeta) = (0;\, \zeta^{(1)}, \zeta^{(2)}, \zeta^{(3)}, \zeta^{(4)}) \in S_\chi$ be a point outside fixed points. By the same reasoning as that in the previous example, the cone $S(V, \epsilon)$ is defined by

$$\left\{ (z^{(0)},\, z^{(1)},\, z^{(2)},\, z^{(3)},\, z^{(4)}) \in X;\ \begin{array}{l} z^{(k)} \in V_k \ (k = 1, 2, 3, 4),\ |z^{(0)}| < \epsilon \\ |z^{(3)}||z^{(4)}| < \epsilon^2 |z^{(1)}||z^{(2)}| \\ |z^{(2)}||z^{(4)}| < \epsilon^2 |z^{(1)}||z^{(3)}| \\ |z^{(1)}||z^{(4)}| < \epsilon^2 |z^{(2)}||z^{(3)}| \\ |z^{(1)}||z^{(2)}||z^{(3)}| < \epsilon^2 |z^{(4)}| \end{array} \right\},$$

where V_j $(j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ is a proper open cone in $\mathbb{C}^{n_j}$ containing the vector $\zeta^{(j)}$.

Lemma 3.8. *Assume the positive real numbers in $\{\epsilon\}$ to be sufficiently small. Then $S_H(V,\, \{\epsilon\})$ is 1-regular, that is, there exists a constant $C > 0$ satisfying that, for any points p and q in $S_H(V,\, \{\epsilon\})$, there exists a rectifiable curve in $S_H(V,\, \{\epsilon\})$ which joins p and q and whose length is less than or equal to $C|p - q|$.*

Proof. We first show the fact that the subset D in $\mathbb{R}^m$ defined by

$$\left\{ (\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m; \begin{array}{l} \tau_k > 0 \ (k = 1, \ldots, m), \\ v - \epsilon_{f,-} < f(\tau) < v + \epsilon_{f,+} \\ \text{for any } (f, v) \in H. \end{array} \right\}$$

is 1-regular. Take points

$$a = (a_1, \ldots, a_m) \in D$$

and

$$b = (b_1, \ldots, b_m) \in D.$$

Consider the closed curve parameterized by θ $(0 \le \theta \le 1)$

$$(a_1^\theta b_1^{(1-\theta)}, \ldots, a_m^\theta b_m^{(1-\theta)}).$$

Since $f \in H$ is a monomial of the τ variables, the curve is contained in D. Furthermore, as $a_k^\theta b_k^{(1-\theta)}$ is a monotonic function of θ, the length of the curve is dominated by

$$\sum_{k=1}^m |a_k - b_k| \le m|a - b|.$$

Hence D is 1-regular. Now define

$$Y = \{z \in S_H(V, \{\epsilon\}); |z^{(1)}||z^{(2)}| \cdots |z^{(m)}| = 0\}.$$

Since $S_H(V, \{\epsilon\}) \setminus Y$ is dense in $S_H(V, \{\epsilon\})$, we may assume p and q in the lemma to be outside Y. Let

$$p = (\hat{z}^{(0)}, \hat{z}^{(1)}, \ldots, \hat{z}^{(m)}) \in S_H(V, \{\epsilon\}) \setminus Y$$

and

$$q = (\hat{w}^{(0)}, \hat{w}^{(1)}, \ldots, \hat{w}^{(m)}) \in S_H(V, \{\epsilon\}) \setminus Y.$$

Then we take points

$$p_1 = (\hat{w}^{(0)}, \hat{z}^{(1)}, \ldots, \hat{z}^{(m)}) \in S_H(V, \epsilon) \setminus Y$$

and

$$p_2 = \left(\hat{w}^{(0)},\ \frac{|\hat{w}^{(1)}|}{|\hat{z}^{(1)}|}\hat{z}^{(1)},\ \ldots,\ \frac{|\hat{w}^{(m)}|}{|\hat{z}^{(m)}|}\hat{z}^{(m)}\right) \in S_H(V,\ \{\epsilon\}) \setminus Y.$$

We join these points successively: First p and p_1 are joined by the straight segment. Let P be the product of the spherical surfaces defined by

$$\{(z^{(0)},\ z^{(1)},\ \ldots,\ z^{(m)});\ |z^{(k)}| = |\hat{w}^{(k)}|\ \ (k = 1, \ldots, m)\}.$$

Then the point p_2 and q are connected by the shortest arc in the surface P. Since D is 1-regular, there exists a curve $(\tau_1(\theta), \ldots, \tau_m(\theta))$ $(0 \le \theta \le 1)$ in D which joins the points $a = (|z^{(1)}|, \ldots, |z^{(m)}|)$ and $b = (|w^{(1)}|, \ldots, |w^{(m)}|)$ and whose length is bounded by $m|a - b|$. Then p_1 and p_2 are jointed by the closed curve $(0 \le \theta \le 1)$

$$\left(\hat{w}^{(0)},\ \frac{\tau_1(\theta)}{|\hat{z}^{(1)}|}\hat{z}^{(1)},\ \ldots,\ \frac{\tau_m(\theta)}{|\hat{z}^{(m)}|}\hat{z}^{(m)}\right).$$

Clearly these curves are contained in $S_H(V,\ \{\epsilon\})$ and the total length of these curves is bounded by a constant multiple of $|p - q|$. □

By the same method as above, we can also prove the following corollary:

Corollary 3.9. *Assume the positive real numbers in* $\{\epsilon\}$ *to be sufficiently small. Then, for any point* $p \in X$*, there exists a family* $\{U_\kappa\}_{\kappa>0}$ *of open subanalytic neighborhoods of* p *such that* $U_\kappa \cap S_H(V,\ \{\epsilon\})$ *is contractible. In particular,* $S_H(V,\ \{\epsilon\})$ *is locally cohomologically trivial.*

Proof. We may assume I_0 to be empty. Then every point has a fundamental open neighborhood in the form

$$\{(z^{(1)},\ \ldots, z^{(m)});\ a_k < |z^{(k)}| < b_k,\ z^{(k)} \in T_k\ (k = 1, \ldots, m)\},$$

where a_k, b_k and T_k satisfy the one of the following conditions:

1. $0 < a_k < b_k$ and T_k is an open convex proper cone in $\mathbb{C}^{n_k}_{z^{(k)}}$.
2. $a_k < 0 < b_k$ and $T_k = \mathbb{C}^{n_k}_{z^{(k)}}$.

Let U be such an open subset.

We first suppose $\zeta^{(k)} \neq 0$ for any $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and $U \cap S_H(V, \{\epsilon\})$ is non-empty. Take a point q in $U \cap S_H(V, \{\epsilon\})$ and fix it. Then, by the same argument as that for the proof of the previous lemma, every point $p \in U \cap S_H(V, \{\epsilon\})$ can be joined with q by an explicitly specified path in $U \cap S_H(V, \{\epsilon\})$. Hence the family of these paths give a contraction map.

Now let us consider the general case. Set

$$K_Z := \{k \in \{1, \dots, m\};\, \zeta^{(k)} = 0,\ a_k < 0\}.$$

For any $p = (z_*^{(1)}, \dots, z_*^{(m)}) \in U \cap S_H(V, \{\epsilon\})$, we determine the point $\tilde{p} = (\tilde{z}_*^{(1)}, \dots, \tilde{z}_*^{(m)})$ by $\tilde{z}_*^{(k)} = 0$ if $k \in K_Z$ and $\tilde{z}_*^{(k)} = z_*^{(k)}$ if $k \notin K_Z$. Then the straight segment joining p and $\tilde{p}$ is completely contained in $U \cap S_H(V, \{\epsilon\})$ because, for $(f(\tau), v) \in H$, the variable τ_k with $k \in K_Z$ appears only in the numerator of f, and further, $v = 0$ when τ_k appears in the numerator of f. Therefore we first change every point p in $U \cap S_H(V, \{\epsilon\})$ to $\tilde{p}$, and then, apply the previous argument to the only coordinates $z^{(k)}$ ($k \notin K_Z$), i.e., $z^{(k)}$ with $k \in K_Z$ is fixed to be the origin in $\mathbb{C}^{n_k}$. $\square$

Hereafter we always assume that the positive real numbers in $\{\epsilon\}$ are sufficiently small so that the above lemma and corollary hold for $S_H(V, \{\epsilon\})$.

We denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\ell$ the set of all the subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$ except for the empty set. For any $J \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\ell$, we set

$$K_J := \bigcup_{j \in J} K_j, \qquad Z_J := \bigcap_{j \in J} Z_j. \tag{3.17}$$

For any subset $K \subset \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, we denote by $z^{(K)}$ the set of the coordinates blocks $z^{(k)}$'s with $k \in K$. We also set

$$\complement K := \{0, 1, \dots, m\} \setminus K.$$

Note that the coordinates of Z_J are given by $z^{(\complement K_J)}$. Let π_J denote the canonical projection from X to Z_J defined by $z \to z^{(\complement K_J)}$.

Lemma 3.10. *Let $V \in V(\zeta)$, and let $\{\epsilon\}$ be the set of positive real numbers. Then we have the followings:*

1. *For a* $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$ *with* $0 < \lambda_j \leq 1$ $(j = 1, 2, \dots, \ell)$*, we have*

$$\mu(S_H(V, \{\epsilon\}), \lambda) \subset S_H(V, \{\epsilon\}).$$

2. *For a* $J \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\ell$*, we have*

$$\overline{\pi_J(S_H(V, \{\epsilon\}))} = Z_J \cap \overline{S_H(V, \{\epsilon\})}$$

Proof. The first part is already proved in Section 2, Corollary 2.32.

Let us show the second claim: Set $S := S_H(V, \{\epsilon\})$ for short. Clearly

$$\overline{\pi_J(S)} \supset \pi_J\left(\overline{S}\right) \supset \overline{S} \cap Z_J$$

hold. Now we will show the converse inclusion. For $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$ and $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_r\}$ $(j_1 < \cdots < j_r)$, set $\lambda_J := (\lambda_{j_1}, \dots, \lambda_{j_r})$ and define $\mu_J : X \times (\mathbb{R}^+)^{\# J} \to X$ by

$$\mu_J(z, \lambda_J) = \mu_{j_1}(\mu_{j_2}(\dots(\mu_{j_r}(z, \lambda_{j_r}), \dots), \lambda_{j_2}), \lambda_{j_1}).$$

Set

$$\tilde{\mu}_J(z, t) = \mu_J(z, t, \dots, t) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Suppose $z_\infty \in \overline{\pi_J(S)}$. Then we can find a sequence $\{z_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ such that $z_m \in S$ and $\pi_J(z_m) \to z_\infty$. Since $\pi_J(z_m) = \tilde{\mu}_J(z_m, 0)$ holds, the sequence $\tilde{z}_m := \tilde{\mu}_J(z_m, 1/m)$ tends to z_∞. By the claim 1. we have $\tilde{z}_m \in S$, from which $z_\infty \in \overline{S}$ follows. This completes the proof. □

For a $J \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\ell$ and for an $S := S_H(V, \{\epsilon\})$, we set $S_J := \pi_J(S)$, and by the above lemma, we have

$$\overline{S_J} = \overline{S} \cap Z_J. \tag{3.18}$$

S_J enjoy several good properties as those in S. Let $\mu|_{Z_J}$ (resp. $\mu_j|_{Z_J}$) be the restriction of the action μ (resp. μ_j) to Z_J.

Proposition 3.11. *Assume* $Q(H) = H$*. Then, for* $S := S_H(V, \{\epsilon\})$ *and* $J \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\ell$*, the subset* S_J *is a multicone in* Z_J *with respect the action* $\mu|_{Z_J}$*. In particular, we have:*

1. *S_J is stable under contraction by the action $\mu_j|_{Z_J}$, that is, $\mu_j|_{Z_J}(z,t) \in S_J$ if $z \in S_J$ and $0 < t \leq 1$.*

2. *S_J is 1-regular and locally cohomologically trivial if $\{\epsilon\}$ consists of sufficiently small positive numbers.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 2.11 that $\mathcal{G}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ are equivalent. Hence, by Lemma 3.3, we can assume that $H \subset \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ and $[H]$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ are equivalent. Furthermore, since $Q(H) = H$ holds, we also assume that $S_H(V, \{\epsilon\})$ is defined by the special form (3.16). Suppose that K_J is non-empty and

$$K_J = \{m' + 1,\, m' + 2,\, \ldots,\, m\}$$

for some $0 \leq m' < m$. Set

$$\tau_J = (\tau_1,\, \ldots,\, \tau_{m'})$$

and denote by $\mathcal{H}_{\tau_J}$ the set of monomials of rational powers of the variables τ_J with coefficients 1.

Recall that $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ is given by

$$(\mathcal{H}_\tau \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}) \bigcap [\,\widehat{G}\,],$$

where $\widehat{G}$ is

$$Q\left(\left(\frac{\tau_1}{\varphi_1(\lambda)},\, |\zeta^{(1)}|\right),\, \cdots,\, \left(\frac{\tau_m}{\varphi_m(\lambda)},\, |\zeta^{(m)}|\right),\, (\lambda_1,\, 0),\, \cdots,\, (\lambda_\ell,\, 0)\right).$$

The corresponding semigroup $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_J$ with respect to the action $\mu|_{Z_J}$ in Z_J is given by

$$(\mathcal{H}_{\tau_J} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}) \bigcap [\,\widehat{G}_J\,],$$

where $\widehat{G}_J$ is

$$Q\left(\left(\frac{\tau_1}{\varphi_1(\lambda)},\, |\zeta^{(1)}|\right),\, \cdots,\, \left(\frac{\tau_{m'}}{\varphi_{m'}(\lambda)},\, |\zeta^{(m')}|\right),\, (\lambda_1,\, 0),\, \cdots,\, (\lambda_\ell,\, 0)\right).$$

By noticing the fact that the variable τ_k appears only in the term $\tau_k/\varphi_k(\lambda)$, we can easily see

$$(\mathcal{H}_{\tau_J} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}) \bigcap \widehat{\mathcal{G}} = \widehat{\mathcal{G}}_J.$$

Recall the operation $\mathcal{L}_k$ defined in Subsection 2.3. Let us define the slightly modified operation $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_k$ which consists of the only F1 and F3 in the definition of $\mathcal{L}_k$. Then, as the same reasoning as that for the claim 2. in Proposition 2.24, the finite subset defined by

$$H_J := (\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_m \circ \cdots \circ \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{m'+1})(H)$$

is the radical of $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_J$. Now, by repeated application of Lemma 3.12 below, we can conclude that $S_J = \pi_J(S)$ is a multicone $S_{H_J}(\pi_J(V), \{\epsilon'\})$ in Z_J with suitably chosen $\{\epsilon'\}$. This completes the proof. ☐

Before stating the lemma, we need some preparations: Let T be the product space $T_1 \times T_2 \times \cdots \times T_m$ with the coordinates $\tau = (\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_m)$ where each T_k is either $\mathbb{R}^+$ or $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Set $T' := T_2 \times \cdots \times T_m$ with the coordinates $\tau' = (\tau_2, \ldots, \tau_m)$ and $\pi_1 : T \to T'$ is the canonical projection $\tau \to \tau'$.

Let $\{g_s\}_{s \in \Lambda}$ be a finite subset in $\mathcal{H}_\tau$. We assume that each g_s is well defined on T, that is, $\nu_k(g_s) \geq 0$ for any $1 \leq k \leq m$ with $T_k = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Let $\{a_s\}_{s \in \Lambda}$ be a set of positive real numbers and define the subset

$$W := \{\tau \in T;\, g_s(\tau) < a_s \ (s \in \Lambda)\}.$$

Then, under this situation, we have the lemma below:

Lemma 3.12. *The subset $\pi_1(W) \subset T'$ is defined by the following family of inequalities:*

$$g_s < a_s \tag{3.19}$$

for any g_s $(s \in \Lambda)$ with $\nu_1(g_s) = 0$ and

$$g_s^a g_{s'}^b < a_s^a a_{s'}^b \tag{3.20}$$

for any pair g_s and $g_{s'}$ $(s, s' \in \Lambda)$ with $\nu_1(g_s) < 0$ and $\nu_1(g_{s'}) > 0$, and natural numbers a and b are taken to be prime to each other with $a|\nu_1(g_s)| = b|\nu_1(g_{s'})|$.

Remark 3.13. *The inequalities* (3.19) *and* (3.20) *are counterparts of F1 and F3 of the operation $\mathcal{L}_1$, respectively.*

Proof. If $T_1 = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, then the lemma trivially holds. Hence we assume $T_1 = \mathbb{R}^+$ and, for simplicity, we may also assume $\nu_1(g_s) \neq 0$ for any $s \in \Lambda$. In this case, $\tau' \in \pi_1(W)$ if and only if we have

$$\max_{s\in\Lambda,\,\nu_1(g_s)<0} \operatorname{sol}(g_s, a_s)(\tau') \;<\; \min_{s\in\Lambda,\,\nu_1(g_s)>0} \operatorname{sol}(g_s, a_s)(\tau')$$

where $\operatorname{sol}(g_s, a_s)(\tau')$ is the solution of the equation $g_s(\tau_1,\, \tau') = a_s$ when we regarded it as an equation of τ_1. The latter condition is clearly equivalent to (3.20) for any pair g_s and $g_{s'}$ $(s, s' \in \Lambda)$ with $\nu_1(g_s) < 0$ and $\nu_1(g_{s'}) > 0$. ☐

Remark 3.14. *Some $\mu_j|_{Z_J}$ may be the identity action on Z_J. Since the geometrical situation remains unchanged if the corresponding deformation parameter t_j is removed. Hence we may consider the situation where such an action is ignored and the corresponding deformation parameter is removed. Furthermore, if there is a pair μ_j and $\mu_{j'}$ $(j \neq j')$ which gives the same induced actions $\mu_j|_{Z_J} = \mu_{j'}|_{Z_J}$, we can also eliminate such a duplication, for example, by removing $\mu_j|_{Z_J}$ and the corresponding deformation parameter t_j.*

For a multicone, we can introduce the notion of a proper sub-multicone as follows: Recall that, for $V = \mathbb{C}^{n_0} \times V_1 \times \cdots \times V_m$ and $V' = \mathbb{C}^{n_0} \times V_1' \times \cdots \times V_m'$ in $V(\zeta)$, we say that V is *properly contained* in V' if $\overline{V_k} \setminus \{0\} \subset V_k'$ holds for any $k = 1, 2, \ldots, m$.

Definition 3.15. *We say that $S = S_H(V, \{\epsilon\})$ is properly contained in $S' = S_H(V', \{\epsilon'\})$ if V is properly contained in V' and if the positive real number in $\{\epsilon\}$ is strictly smaller than the corresponding one in $\{\epsilon'\}$, i.e., $\epsilon_0 < \epsilon_0'$, $\epsilon_{f,-} < \epsilon_{f,-}'$ and $\epsilon_{f,+} < \epsilon_{f,+}'$ hold for any $(f, v) \in H$.*

3.2 Asymptotic polynomials

Let $J \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\ell$. We denote by $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^J$ the subset of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\ell$

$$\{(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\ell;\ \lambda_j = 0\ (j \notin J)\} \tag{3.21}$$

which is sometimes identified with $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\#J}$ also. Recall that the $(\ell \times m)$-matrix $A_\chi = (a_{jk})$ $(a_{jk} \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0})$ defines the action μ on X. Let σ_A be a positive rational number so that $a_{jk} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all the a_{jk} have no common divisors, and let us consider the action on X whose associated matrix is $\sigma_A A_\chi$ which is denoted by $\mu_{\sigma_A A_\chi}$ hereafter. Note that $\mu_{\sigma_A A_\chi}(z, \lambda) = \mu(z,\, \lambda^{\sigma_A})$ holds.

Then we define, for $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^J_{\geq 0}$,

$$T_{J,\beta}\left(z^{(K_J)}\right) := \frac{1}{\beta!}\exp(-\mu_{\sigma_A A_\chi}(z,\, \lambda))\frac{\partial^\beta}{\partial \lambda^\beta}\exp(\mu_{\sigma_A A_\chi}(z,\, \lambda))\Big|_{\lambda = \check{e}_J}, \tag{3.22}$$

where $\check{e}_J \in \mathbb{C}^\ell$ denotes the point $(e_1, \dots, e_\ell)$ with $e_j = 1$ if $j \notin J$ and $e_j = 0$ if $j \in J$. Let $N = (n_1, \dots, n_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell_{\geq 0}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^J_{\geq 0}$. Then we write $\beta <_J N$ if and only if $\beta_j < n_j$ holds for any $j \in J$.

Now we define

$$T^{<N}_J\left(z^{(K_J)}\right) := \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^J_{\geq 0},\, \beta <_J N} T_{J,\beta}\left(z^{(K_J)}\right). \tag{3.23}$$

Here, if the set $\{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^J_{\geq 0};\, \beta <_J N\}$ of indices is empty, we set $T^{<N}_J\left(z^{(K_J)}\right) := 0$ as usual convention.

For a multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$, we denote by $\alpha^{(k)}$ ($k = 0, \dots, m$) the part of a multi-index α which corresponds to the coordinates block $z^{(k)}$ and call it an *index block*. That is, $\alpha^{(k)}$ consists of α_i's with $z_i \in z^{(k)}$, where $z_i \in z^{(k)}$ means that the coordinate variable z_i belongs to the coordinates block $z^{(k)}$. We denote by $|\alpha^{(k)}|$ the length of an index block $\alpha^{(k)}$, i.e.,

$$|\alpha^{(k)}| = \sum_{z_i \in z^{(k)}} \alpha_i. \tag{3.24}$$

In subsequent arguments, $(\alpha_1,\, \dots,\, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$ is often written in the form $(\alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(m)})$ of index blocks. For a subset $K \subset \{1,\, 2,\, \dots,\, m\}$, we also define the subset of $\mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$ by

$$\mathbb{Z}^{(K)}_{\geq 0} := \left\{(\alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(m)}) \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0};\, \alpha^{(k)} = 0\ (k \notin K)\right\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}. \tag{3.25}$$

Lemma 3.16. *For an $N = (n_1, \dots, n_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell_{\geq 0}$, we have*

$$T^{<N}_J\left(z^{(K_J)}\right) = \sum_{\alpha \in A_J(N)} \frac{1}{\alpha!} z^\alpha, \tag{3.26}$$

where $A_J(N) \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{(K_J)}$ $(\subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n})$ *is defined by*

$$A_J(N) = \left\{ \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{(K_J)};\ \sum_{k \in K_J} a_{jk}|\alpha^{(k)}| < n_j/\sigma_A \ \textit{for any } j \in J \right\}. \tag{3.27}$$

Proof. For $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{J}$ and for any $k \in K_J$ and any z_i in $z^{(k)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} T_{J,\beta}\left(z^{(K_J)}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}\left(\frac{1}{\beta!} \exp(-\mu_{\sigma_A A_\chi}(z,\,\lambda)) \partial_\lambda^{\beta} \exp(\mu_{\sigma_A A_\chi}(z,\,\lambda)) \Big|_{\lambda = \check{e}_J} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{\beta!} \exp(-\mu_{\sigma_A A_\chi}(z,\,\lambda)) \partial_\lambda^{\beta} (\varphi_k(\lambda)^{\sigma_A} \exp(\mu_{\sigma_A A_\chi}(z,\,\lambda))) \Big|_{\lambda = \check{e}_J} \\
&= \frac{1}{(\beta\, -_J\, \sigma_A A_\chi^{*,k})!} \exp(-\mu_{\sigma_A A_\chi}(z,\,\lambda)) \partial_\lambda^{\beta\, -_J\, \sigma_A A_\chi^{*,k}} \exp(\mu_{\sigma_A A_\chi}(z,\,\lambda)) \Big|_{\lambda = \check{e}_J} \\
&= T_{J,\left(\beta\, -_J\, \sigma_A A_\chi^{*,k}\right)}\left(z^{(K_J)}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Here $A_\chi^{*,k}$ denotes the k-th column vector of the matrix A_χ and we regarded $\sigma_A A_\chi^{*,k}$ as a multi-index in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\ell}$. Furthermore the operation $\alpha\, -_J\, \beta$ means that subtraction is performed only in j-th components with $j \in J$, that is, for $\alpha = (\alpha_j)$, $\beta = (\beta_j)$ and $\gamma = (\gamma_j)$, the equation $\gamma = \alpha\, -_J\, \beta$ holds if and only if $\gamma_j = \alpha_j - \beta_j$ for $j \in J$ and $\gamma_j = \alpha_j$ for $j \notin J$. We also note that, when some entry of the vector $\beta\, -_J\, \sigma_A A_\chi^{*,k}$ becomes negative, we set $T_{J,\left(\beta\, -_J\, \sigma_A A_\chi^{*,k}\right)} = 0$ as usual convention. Therefore the argument goes in the same way as Lemma 7.6 in [6]. However, for reader's convenience, we repeat its argument here: Let us show the lemma by induction on $n = n_1 + \cdots + n_\ell$. If $n = 0$, as both sides of the equation (3.26) are zero by definition, the lemma is true.

Now we prove the lemma for a general $n > 0$. Let us consider the system of partial differential equations of an unknown function $u(z^{(K_J)})$ defined by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} u = T_J^{<N -_J \sigma_A A_\chi^{*,k}} \quad (k \in K_J,\ z_i \text{ in } z^{(k)}). \tag{3.28}$$

Then, by the induction hypothesis, the right hand side of the above equation is given by

$$T_J^{<N -_J \sigma_A A_\chi^{*,k}} = \sum_{\alpha \in A_J(N -_J \sigma_A A_\chi^{*,k})} \frac{1}{\alpha!} z^{\alpha}.$$

Then we can confirm that both $u = T_J^{<N}(z^{(K_J)})$ and $u = \sum_{\alpha \in A_J(N)} \frac{1}{\alpha!} z^\alpha$ satisfy the same equation (3.28). Note that the solution of (3.28) is uniquely determined if the initial value of u at $z^{(K_J)} = 0$ is given. It is easy to see that $T_J^{<N}(0) = 1$ if $A_J(N) \neq \emptyset$ and $T_J^{<N}(0) = 0$ if $A_J(N) = \emptyset$. Hence we have obtained (3.26) for n. This completes the proof. $\square$

Definition 3.17. *Let* $S := S_H(V, \{\epsilon\})$ *with* $V \in V(\zeta)$ *and* $\{\epsilon\}$ *of positive real numbers. We say that* $\{F_J\}_{J \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\ell}$ *is a total family of coefficients of multi-asymptotic expansion along* χ *on* S *if each* F_J *consists of a family* $\{f_{J,\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{(K_J)}}$ *of holomorphic functions on* S_J*.*

Let Γ be a finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{(K_J)}$ and $p = \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} c_\alpha z^\alpha$ a polynomial of the variables $z^{(K_J)}$. We define

$$\tau_{F,J}(p)(z) := \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} c_\alpha f_{J,\alpha}\left(z^{(\complement K_J)}\right) z^\alpha.$$

Then we set

$$T_{J,\beta}(F;\, z) := \tau_{F,J}(T_{J,\beta}), \tag{3.29}$$

$$T_J^{<N}(F;\, z) := \sum_{\beta <_J N} T_{J,\beta}(F;\, z), \tag{3.30}$$

and

$$\mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z) := \sum_{J \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\ell} (-1)^{(\#J+1)} T_J^{<N}(F;\, z). \tag{3.31}$$

Let $f(z)$ be a holomorphic function on $\overline{S}$, and let us define the family $\{f_J\}_{J \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\ell}$ by

$$f_J = \left\{ f_{J,\alpha}\left(z^{(\complement K_J)}\right) := \left.\frac{\partial^\alpha f}{\partial z^\alpha}\right|_{Z_J} \right\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{(K_J)}} \tag{3.32}$$

for each $J \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\ell$.

Lemma 3.18. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} T_J^{<N}(f_J;\, z) &= \sum_{\alpha \in A_J(N)} \frac{f_{J,\,\alpha}\left(z^{(\complement K_J)}\right)}{\alpha!} z^{\alpha} \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^J,\, \beta <_J N} \frac{1}{\beta!} \frac{\partial^{\beta}}{\partial \lambda^{\beta}} f(\mu_{\sigma_A A_\chi}(z,\, \lambda))\bigg|_{\lambda = \check{e}_J}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

Proof. The lemma can be proved in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 3.16. Set

$$\widetilde{T}_J^{<N}(f;\, z) := \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^J,\, \beta <_J N} \frac{1}{\beta!} \frac{\partial^{\beta}}{\partial \lambda^{\beta}} f(\mu_{\sigma_A A_\chi}(z,\, \lambda))\bigg|_{\lambda = \check{e}_J},$$

where, if the set $\{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^J;\, \beta <_J N\}$ is empty, we set $\widetilde{T}_J^{<N}(f;\, z) := 0$ as usual.

Let $k \in K_J$ and z_i in $z^{(k)}$. For $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^J$, by the same computation as in the proof of Lemma 3.16, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \left(\frac{1}{\beta!} \partial_\lambda^{\beta} f(\mu_{\sigma_A A_\chi}(z,\, \lambda))\bigg|_{\lambda = \check{e}_J} \right) \\ &\qquad = \frac{1}{(\beta -_J \sigma_A A_\chi^{*,k})!} \left(\partial_\lambda^{\beta -_J \sigma_A A_\chi^{*,k}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_i}(\mu_{\sigma_A A_\chi}(z,\, \lambda)) \right)\bigg|_{\lambda = \check{e}_J}, \end{aligned}$$

where we set $\partial_\lambda^{\beta -_J \sigma_A A_\chi^{*,k}} g = 0$ if some entries of $\beta -_J \sigma_A A_\chi^{*,k}$ take negative values, from which we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \widetilde{T}_J^{<N}(f;\, z) = \widetilde{T}_J^{<N -_J \sigma_A A_\chi^{*,k}} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_i};\, z \right).$$

By direct computations, we also have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} T_J^{<N}(f_J;\, z) = T_J^{<N -_J \sigma_A A_\chi^{*,k}} \left(\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_i} \right)_J;\, z \right)$$

and

$$\widetilde{T}_J^{<N}(f;\, z)\Big|_{Z_J} = T_J^{<N}(f_J;\, z)\big|_{Z_J} = \begin{cases} f|_{Z_J} & \text{if } \{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^J;\, \beta <_J N\} \neq \emptyset, \\ \\ 0 & \text{if } \{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^J;\, \beta <_J N\} = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

Therefore the claim $T_J^{<N} = \widetilde{T}_J^{<N}$ can be shown by the induction on the length of N. □

3.3 A family of level functions of multi-asymptotic expansions along χ

Let $(0;\,\zeta) = (0;\,\zeta^{(1)}, \ldots, \zeta^{(m)}) \in S_\chi$. Hereafter we always assume

$$p \text{ is outside fixed points.} \tag{3.34}$$

Hence, by Corollary 2.5, we may assume

$$|\zeta^{(k)}| \neq 0 \text{ for } 1 \le k \le L. \tag{3.35}$$

In this subsection, we introduce level functions which are needed to give an estimate of the remainder term of an asymptotic expansion. When the associated action μ_χ is non-degenerate, the level functions $\rho_{\Lambda,j}$'s are nothing but φ_j^{-1}'s. Hence the readers who are interested only in a non-degenerate case may skip this subsection.

Through this subsection, we use the same notations as those introduced in Subsection 2.2. For $f(\tau,\,\lambda) \in \mathcal{H}_{\tau,\lambda}$ with $a := \nu_j^\lambda(f) < 0$, we set

$$\mathrm{sol}_j^\lambda(f)(\tau, \lambda) := \lambda_j\, f^{1/|a|}, \tag{3.36}$$

that is, we have $f(\tau,\lambda) = 1$ by putting $\lambda_j = \mathrm{sol}_j^\lambda(f)$ into f. Note that, by definition, $\mathrm{sol}_j^\lambda(f)$ is independent of the variable λ_j. Recall that, for $L < j \le \ell$, we set

$$F^{0,j} := (\mathcal{L}_j^\lambda \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{L}_{L+1}^\lambda)(G)$$

and, for convenience, we also set

$$F^{0,L} = G.$$

Now we define

$$\rho_{j+1}(\tau', \lambda'') := \max_{(f,\,v)\in F_<^{0,j}} \mathrm{sol}_{j+1}^\lambda(f)(\tau',\,\lambda'') \qquad (L \le j < \ell), \tag{3.37}$$

where we set

$$F_<^{0,j} := \{(f, v) \in F^{0,j};\, \nu_{j+1}^\lambda(f) < 0\} \qquad (L \le j < \ell).$$

Note that, when $F_<^{0,j}$ is an empty set, we set $\rho_{j+1}(\tau',\,\lambda'') = 1$.

Lemma 3.19. *Let $L \leq j < \ell$. If μ_{j+1} is not the identity action on X, then $F^{0,j}_<$ is non-empty.*

Proof. By the assumption, the $(j+1)$-th row is non-zero. Hence there exists $1 \leq k \leq L$ such that $a_{j+1,k} \neq 0$. In fact, if $a_{j+1,k} = 0$ holds for any $1 \leq k \leq L$, since $(j+1)$-th row is non-zero, we have $\operatorname{Rank} A_\chi > L$, which contradicts $\operatorname{Rank} A_\chi = L$. As

$$\tau_k = \varphi_k(\varphi^{-1}(\tau', \lambda''), \lambda'')$$

holds, we get, for some $w \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and some integer N,

$$\left(\left(\tau_k/\lambda_{j+1}^{a_{j+1,k}}\right)^N, w\right) \in T := \{(f, v) \in [G];\, \nu^\lambda_{L+1}(f) = \cdots = \nu^\lambda_j(f) = 0\}.$$

By the same reasoning as that in the proof of Proposition 2.24, the radical of $[F^{0,j}]$ coincides with T, which implies $F^{0,j}_< \neq \emptyset$. ☐

Remark 3.20. *As $\psi_k(\tau)$ $(L < k \leq m)$ does not contain the variables λ, for $(f, v) \in F^{0,j}_<$, f is independent of the variables τ''. Furthermore, since it follows from the definition of $F^{0,j}$ that f contains the only variables τ' and $\lambda_{j+1}, \ldots, \lambda_\ell$, ρ_j is a function of the variables τ' and $\lambda_{j+1}, \ldots, \lambda_\ell$. That is,*

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{L+1}(\tau', \lambda'') &= \rho_{L+1}(\tau', \lambda_{L+2}, \lambda_{L+3}, \ldots, \lambda_\ell), \\
\rho_{L+2}(\tau', \lambda'') &= \rho_{L+2}(\tau', \lambda_{L+3}, \ldots, \lambda_\ell), \\
&\cdots \\
\rho_{\ell-1}(\tau', \lambda'') &= \rho_{\ell-1}(\tau', \lambda_\ell), \\
\rho_\ell(\tau', \lambda'') &= \rho_\ell(\tau').
\end{aligned}$$

Now we define the closed subset $\Lambda \subset (\mathbb{R}^+)^{m+\ell}$ by

$$\left\{(\tau, \lambda) \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^{m+\ell};\, \lambda_j = \rho_j(\tau', \lambda'') \qquad (L < j \leq \ell)\right\}. \tag{3.38}$$

Let $\pi_{\tau,\lambda'} : (\mathbb{R}^+)^{m+\ell} \to (\mathbb{R}^+)^{m+L}$ be the canonical projection by $(\tau, \lambda', \lambda'') \to (\tau, \lambda')$. Then, by the above remark, $\pi_{\tau,\lambda'}|_\Lambda$ gives an isomorphism between Λ and $(\mathbb{R}^+)^{m+L}$. Hence, for any $f \in \mathcal{H}_{\tau,\lambda}$, the restriction $f|_\Lambda$ can be regarded as a function of the variables τ and λ'. In particular, if f is independent of the variables λ', then $f|_\Lambda$ becomes a function of τ.

Remark 3.21. *The inverse of* $\pi_{\tau,\lambda'}|_\Lambda$ *is concretely given by the following procedures: First define*

$$\lambda_\ell = \rho_\ell|_\Lambda(\tau') = \rho_\ell(\tau'). \tag{3.39}$$

Then we eliminate the variable λ_ℓ *in* $\rho_{\ell-1}(\tau', \lambda_\ell)$ *by* (3.39) *and define*

$$\lambda_{\ell-1} = \rho_{\ell-1}|_\Lambda(\tau') = \rho_{\ell-1}(\tau',\, \rho_\ell(\tau')). \tag{3.40}$$

Again we eliminate the variables $\lambda_{\ell-1}$ *and* λ_ℓ *in* $\rho_{\ell-2}(\tau',\, \lambda_{\ell-1},\, \lambda_\ell)$ *by* (3.39) *and* (3.40)*, we define*

$$\lambda_{\ell-2} = \rho_{\ell-2}|_\Lambda(\tau') = \rho_{\ell-2}(\tau',\, \rho_{\ell-1}|_\Lambda(\tau'),\, \rho_\ell|_\Lambda(\tau')).$$

We successively apply the same procedures to ρ_j*'s, we finally obtain*

$$\lambda_{L+1} = \rho_{L+1}|_\Lambda(\tau') = \rho_{L+1}(\tau',\, \rho_{L+2}|_\Lambda(\tau'),\, \dots,\, \rho_\ell|_\Lambda(\tau')).$$

Then, the inverse is given by the correspondence

$$(\tau,\, \lambda') \to (\tau,\, \lambda'\ \lambda'') = (\tau,\, \lambda',\, \rho_{L+1}|_\Lambda(\tau'),\, \dots,\, \rho_\ell|_\Lambda(\tau')).$$

In what follows, we also denote by $\rho_{\Lambda,j}$ the restriction of ρ_j to Λ, i.e.,

$$\rho_{\Lambda,\, j}(\tau') := \rho_j|_\Lambda(\tau') \qquad (L < j \le \ell).$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} \rho''(\tau', \lambda'') &:= (\rho_{L+1}(\tau', \lambda''),\, \dots,\, \rho_\ell(\tau', \lambda'')), \\ \rho''_\Lambda(\tau') &:= (\rho_{\Lambda,L+1}(\tau'),\, \dots,\, \rho_{\Lambda,\ell}(\tau')). \end{aligned} \tag{3.41}$$

Now we also set

$$\begin{aligned} \rho'(\tau', \lambda'') &:= \varphi^{-1}(\tau',\, \lambda'') \\ \rho'_\Lambda(\tau') &:= \varphi^{-1}|_\Lambda(\tau') = \varphi^{-1}(\tau',\, \rho''_\Lambda(\tau')). \end{aligned} \tag{3.42}$$

We often write $\varphi^{-1}_{\Lambda,j}$ instead of $\varphi_j^{-1}|_\Lambda$ hereafter. Finally we define

$$\rho = (\rho',\, \rho'') \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_\Lambda = (\rho'_\Lambda,\, \rho''_\Lambda).$$

We here emphasize that ρ_Λ are functions of the variables τ', i.e., they are independent of the variables τ''.

Remark 3.22. *When μ_χ is non-degenerate, since $\ell = L$ holds, in particular, no λ'' and ρ'' appear in this case, we have*

$$\rho = \rho_\Lambda = \varphi^{-1}(\tau').$$

Lemma 3.23. *We have*

$$\tau_k = \varphi_k(\rho_\Lambda(\tau')) \qquad (1 \le k \le L).$$

Proof. As we have

$$\varphi_j^{-1}|_\Lambda = \rho_{\Lambda,j} \ \ (1 \le j \le L), \quad \lambda_j|_\Lambda = \rho_{\Lambda,j} \ \ (L < j \le \ell),$$

the equality is obtained by the restriction of the following usual one to the subset Λ:

$$\tau_k = \varphi_k(\varphi^{-1}, \lambda'') \qquad (1 \le k \le L).$$

□

Example 3.24. *Let us consider X with coordinates blocks $(z^{(0)}, z^{(1)}, z^{(2)}, z^{(3)})$ and 3-closed submanifolds*

$$Z_1 = \{z^{(1)} = z^{(3)} = 0\}, \quad Z_2 = \{z^{(2)} = z^{(3)} = 0\}, \quad Z_3 = \{z^{(3)} = 0\}.$$

In this case, we have $m = \ell = 3$. Let A_χ be the matrix associated with the family $\chi = \{Z_1, Z_2, Z_3\}$. Clearly $L = \operatorname{Rank} A_\chi = 3$, and thus, χ is of normal type.

Then

$$\varphi_1(\lambda) = \lambda_1, \quad \varphi_2(\lambda) = \lambda_2, \quad \varphi_3(\lambda) = \lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3,$$

and

$$\varphi_1^{-1}(\tau) = \tau_1, \quad \varphi_2^{-1}(\tau) = \tau_2, \quad \varphi_3^{-1}(\tau) = \tau_3/(\tau_1\tau_2).$$

Because of $\ell = L = 3$, we get

$$F^q = G = \{(\varphi_1^{-1}, 0), (\varphi_2^{-1}, 0), (\varphi_3^{-1}, 0)\}.$$

As χ is of normal type, by the above remark, we have

$$\rho_1(\tau) = \tau_1, \quad \rho_2(\tau) = \tau_2, \quad \rho_3(\tau) = \tau_3/(\tau_1\tau_2).$$

Example 3.25. *Let us consider X with coordinates blocks $(z^{(0)},\, z^{(1)},\, z^{(2)},\, z^{(3)})$ and 4-closed submanifolds*

$$\begin{aligned}&Z_1 = \{z^{(1)} = z^{(3)} = 0\}, \quad Z_2 = \{z^{(2)} = z^{(3)} = 0\}, \quad Z_3 = \{z^{(3)} = 0\},\\ &Z_4 = \{z^{(1)} = z^{(2)} = z^{(3)} = 0\}.\end{aligned}$$

In this case, we have $m = 3$ and $\ell = 4$. Let A_χ be the 4×3 matrix associated with the family $\chi = \{Z_1,\, Z_2,\, Z_3,\, Z_4\}$. Clearly $L = \operatorname{Rank} A_\chi = 3$, and thus, χ is of transitive type. Then

$$\varphi_1(\lambda) = \lambda_1\lambda_4, \quad \varphi_2(\lambda) = \lambda_2\lambda_4, \quad \varphi_3(\lambda) = \lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4,$$

and

$$\varphi_1^{-1}(\tau,\, \lambda_4) = \tau_1/\lambda_4, \quad \varphi_2^{-1}(\tau,\, \lambda_4) = \tau_2/\lambda_4, \quad \varphi_3^{-1}(\tau,\, \lambda_4) = \tau_3\lambda_4/(\tau_1\tau_2).$$

By the definition, we have

$$F^{0,3} = G = \{(\varphi_1^{-1},\, 0),\, (\varphi_2^{-1},\, 0),\, (\varphi_3^{-1},\, 0),\, (\lambda_4,\, 0)\}.$$

By applying the operation $\mathcal{L}_4^\lambda$ to $F^{0,3}$, we obtain

$$F^q = F^{0,4} = \{(\tau_1,\, 0),\, (\tau_2,\, 0),\, (\tau_3/\tau_2,\, 0),\, (\tau_3/\tau_1,\, 0)\}.$$

Since we easily see

$$F^{0,3}_< = \{(\tau_1/\lambda_4,\, 0),\, (\tau_2/\lambda_4,\, 0)\},$$

we get

$$\rho_4 = \max\{\tau_1, \tau_2\}$$

and

$$\Lambda = \{\lambda_4 = \rho_4(\tau)\}.$$

Hence we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\rho_{\Lambda,1}(\tau) = \tau_1/\max\{\tau_1,\, \tau_2\}, \quad \rho_{\Lambda,2}(\tau) = \tau_2/\max\{\tau_1,\, \tau_2\},\\ &\rho_{\Lambda,3}(\tau) = \tau_3\max\{\tau_1,\, \tau_2\}/(\tau_1\tau_2), \quad \rho_{\Lambda,4}(\tau) = \max\{\tau_1,\, \tau_2\}.\end{aligned}$$

Example 3.26. *Let us consider X with coordinates blocks $(z^{(0)},\, z^{(1)},\, z^{(2)},\, z^{(3)})$ and 5-closed submanifolds*

$$\begin{aligned} &Z_1 = \{z^{(1)} = 0\}, \quad Z_2 = \{z^{(2)} = 0\}, \quad Z_3 = \{z^{(3)} = 0\}, \\ &Z_4 = \{z^{(1)} = z^{(3)} = 0\}, \quad Z_5 = \{z^{(2)} = z^{(3)} = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

In this case, we have $m = 3$ and $\ell = 5$. Let A_χ be the 5×3 matrix associated with the family $\chi = \{Z_1,\, Z_2,\, Z_3,\, Z_4,\, Z_5\}$. Clearly $L = \operatorname{Rank} A_\chi = 3$, and thus, χ is of transitive type. Then

$$\varphi_1(\lambda) = \lambda_1\lambda_4, \quad \varphi_2(\lambda) = \lambda_2\lambda_5, \quad \varphi_3(\lambda) = \lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5,$$

and

$$\varphi_1^{-1}(\tau,\, \lambda'') = \tau_1/\lambda_4, \quad \varphi_2^{-1}(\tau,\, \lambda'') = \tau_2/\lambda_5, \quad \varphi_3^{-1}(\tau,\, \lambda'') = \tau_3/\lambda_4\lambda_5,$$

where $\lambda'' = (\lambda_4,\, \lambda_5)$. By the definition, we have

$$F^{0,3} = G = \{(\varphi_1^{-1},\, 0),\, (\varphi_2^{-1},\, 0),\, (\varphi_3^{-1},\, 0),\, (\lambda_4,\, 0),\, (\lambda_5,\, 0)\}.$$

By applying the operation $\mathcal{L}_4^\lambda$ to $F^{0,3}$, we obtain

$$F^{0,4} = \{(\tau_1,\, 0),\, (\tau_2/\lambda_5,\, 0),\, (\tau_3/\lambda_5,\, 0),\, (\lambda_5,\, 0)\},$$

and, by applying the operation $\mathcal{L}_5^\lambda$ to $F^{0,4}$, we get

$$F^q = F^{0,5} = \{(\tau_1,\, 0),\, (\tau_2,\, 0),\, (\tau_3,\, 0)\}.$$

Since we see

$$F_<^{0,3} = \{(\tau_1/\lambda_4,\, 0),\, (\tau_3/\lambda_4\lambda_5,\, 0)\},$$

and thus,

$$\rho_4(\tau,\, \lambda_5) = \max\{\tau_1,\, \tau_3/\lambda_5\}.$$

In the same way, we have

$$F_<^{0,4} = \{(\tau_2/\lambda_5,\, 0), (\tau_3/\lambda_5,\, 0)\}, \quad \textit{and thus,} \quad \rho_5(\tau) = \max\{\tau_2,\, \tau_3\}.$$

Hence we have

$$\Lambda = \{\lambda_4 = \rho_4(\tau, \lambda_5),\, \lambda_5 = \rho_5(\tau)\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho_{\Lambda,1}(\tau) = \tau_1/\max\{\tau_1,\, \tau_3/\max\{\tau_2,\, \tau_3\}\}, \quad \rho_{\Lambda,2}(\tau) = \tau_2/\max\{\tau_2,\, \tau_3\},\\
&\rho_{\Lambda,3}(\tau) = \tau_3/\max\{\tau_1\max\{\tau_2,\, \tau_3\},\, \tau_3\},\\
&\rho_{\Lambda,4}(\tau) = \max\{\tau_1,\, \tau_3/\max\{\tau_2,\, \tau_3\}\},\\
&\rho_{\Lambda,5}(\tau) = \max\{\tau_2,\, \tau_3\}.
\end{aligned}$$

For families of the level functions obtained so far, each action μ_j becomes strict in the sense of Subsection 3.5. However the following family gives a non-strict action.

Example 3.27. *Let us consider X with coordinates blocks $(z^{(0)},\, z^{(1)},\, z^{(2)},\, z^{(3)})$ and 5-closed submanifolds*

$$\begin{aligned}
&Z_1 = \{z^{(1)} = 0\}, \quad Z_2 = \{z^{(2)} = 0\}, \quad Z_3 = \{z^{(1)} = z^{(2)} = z^{(3)} = 0\},\\
&Z_4 = \{z^{(1)} = z^{(2)} = 0\}, \quad Z_5 = \{z^{(2)} = z^{(3)} = 0\}.
\end{aligned}$$

In this case, we have $m = 3$ and $\ell = 5$. Let A_χ be the 5×3 matrix associated with the family $\chi = \{Z_1,\, Z_2,\, Z_3,\, Z_4,\, Z_5\}$. Clearly $L = \operatorname{Rank} A_\chi = 3$, and thus, χ is of transitive type. Then

$$\varphi_1(\lambda) = \lambda_1\lambda_3\lambda_4, \quad \varphi_2(\lambda) = \lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5, \quad \varphi_3(\lambda) = \lambda_3\lambda_5,$$

and

$$\varphi_1^{-1}(\tau,\, \lambda'') = \tau_1\lambda_5/(\tau_3\lambda_4), \quad \varphi_2^{-1}(\tau,\, \lambda'') = \tau_2/(\tau_3\lambda_4), \quad \varphi_3^{-1}(\tau,\, \lambda'') = \tau_3/\lambda_5,$$

where $\lambda'' = (\lambda_4,\, \lambda_5)$. By the definition, we have

$$F^{0,3} = G = \{(\varphi_1^{-1},\, 0),\, (\varphi_2^{-1},\, 0),\, (\varphi_3^{-1},\, 0),\, (\lambda_4,\, 0),\, (\lambda_5,\, 0)\}.$$

By applying the operation $\mathcal{L}_4^\lambda$ to $F^{0,3}$, we obtain

$$F^{0,4} = \{(\tau_2/\tau_3,\, 0),\, (\tau_1\lambda_5/\tau_3,\, 0),\, (\tau_3/\lambda_5,\, 0),\, (\lambda_5,\, 0)\},$$

and, by applying the operation $\mathcal{L}_5^\lambda$ to $F^{0,4}$, we get

$$F^q = F^{0,5} = \{(\tau_2/\tau_3,\, 0),\, (\tau_3,\, 0),\, (\tau_1,\, 0)\}.$$

Since we see

$$F^{0,3}_{<} = \{(\tau_2/(\tau_3\lambda_4),\, 0),\, (\tau_1\lambda_5/(\tau_3\lambda_4),\, 0)\},$$

and thus,

$$\rho_4(\tau,\, \lambda_5) = \max\{\tau_2/\tau_3,\, \tau_1\lambda_5/\tau_3\}.$$

In the same way, we have

$$F^{0,4}_{<} = \{(\tau_3/\lambda_5,\, 0)\}, \quad \textit{and thus,} \quad \rho_5(\tau) = \tau_3.$$

Hence we have

$$\Lambda = \{\lambda_4 = \rho_4(\tau, \lambda_5),\, \lambda_5 = \rho_5(\tau)\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho_{\Lambda,1}(\tau) = 1/\max\{1,\, \tau_2/(\tau_1\tau_3)\}, \quad \rho_{\Lambda,2}(\tau) = 1/\max\{1,\, \tau_1\tau_3/\tau_2\},\\
&\rho_{\Lambda,3}(\tau) = 1, \quad \rho_{\Lambda,4}(\tau) = \max\{\tau_1,\, \tau_2/\tau_3\}, \quad \rho_{\Lambda,5}(\tau) = \tau_3.
\end{aligned}$$

The following proposition plays a key role in the theory of multi-asymptotic expansions.

Proposition 3.28. *Let S be a subset in $(\mathbb{R}^+)^m$ with coordinates $\tau = (\tau', \tau'')$. Assume that, for any $(f,\, v) \in F^q = F^{0,\ell}$, $f(\tau)$ is bounded on S. Then we have:*

1. *For any $(f,\, v) \in G = F^{0,L}$, $f|_\Lambda(\tau)$ is bounded on S. That is, there exists a constant $C > 0$ for which we have*

   $$\rho_{\Lambda,j}(\tau') = \varphi^{-1}_{\Lambda,j}(\tau') \le C \quad (1 \le j \le L,\, \tau \in S), \tag{3.43}$$

   $$\begin{aligned} &\psi_k(\tau) \le C && (L < k \le m,\, \tau \in S),\\ &C^{-1} \le \psi_k(\tau) && (L < k \le m,\, k \notin J_Z(\zeta),\, \tau \in S)\end{aligned} \tag{3.44}$$

   and

   $$\rho_{\Lambda,j}(\tau') = \lambda_j|_\Lambda \le C \qquad (L < j \le \ell,\, \tau \in S). \tag{3.45}$$

2. *There exist $C > 0$ and $N > 0$ such that*

$$C^{-1}t^{-N}\rho_{\Lambda,j}(\tau') \leq \rho_{\Lambda,j}\left(\tau_1, \ldots, \overset{k\text{-}th}{t\tau_k}, \ldots, \tau_L\right) \leq Ct^N\rho_{\Lambda,j}(\tau') \tag{3.46}$$
$$(t \geq 1,\ \tau' \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^L)$$

hold for any $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ and $1 \leq k \leq L$.

Proof. We may assume that all the actions μ_j $(j = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell)$ are different from the identity action on X. We prove the following claim by induction on $j = \ell, \ldots, L$:

For any $(f,\, v) \in F^{0,j}$, $f|_\Lambda(\tau)$ is bounded on S.

When $j = \ell$, the claim follows from the assumption.

Now assume that the claim is true for $j+1$ and we will prove the claim for j. We consider the following 3 cases: Let $(f,\, v) \in F^{0,j}$ with $\nu^\lambda_{j+1}(f) = 0$. In this case, $(f,\, v)$ belongs to $F^{0,j+1}$ also, and thus, $f|_\Lambda(\tau)$ is bounded on S by the induction hypothesis.

Let $(f,\, v) \in F^{0,j}$ with $\nu^\lambda_{j+1}(f) < 0$. By the definition of ρ_{j+1}, we have

$$\mathrm{sol}^\lambda_{j+1}(f)|_\Lambda(\tau') \leq \rho_{\Lambda,j+1}(\tau').$$

By noticing $\nu^\lambda_{j+1}(f) < 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} f|_\Lambda(\tau') &= f(\tau',\, \rho_{\Lambda,j+1}(\tau'),\, \rho_{\Lambda,j+2}(\tau'),\, \ldots,\, \rho_{\Lambda,\ell}(\tau')) \\ &\leq f(\tau',\, \mathrm{sol}^\lambda_{j+1}(f)|_\Lambda(\tau'),\, \rho_{\Lambda,j+2}(\tau'),\, \ldots,\, \rho_{\Lambda,\ell}(\tau')) \\ &= f(\tau',\, \mathrm{sol}^\lambda_{j+1}(f),\, \lambda_{j+2},\, \ldots,\, \lambda_\ell)\big|_\Lambda = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have obtained the boundness of $f|_\Lambda(\tau')$.

Let $(f,\, v) \in F^{0,j}$ with $\nu^\lambda_{j+1}(f) > 0$. Since $F^{0,j}_<$ is non-empty by Lemma 3.19, for each fixed $\tau \in S$, we can find $(g_\tau, v_\tau) \in F^{0,j}$ with $\nu^\lambda_{j+1}(g_\tau) < 0$ such that

$$\mathrm{sol}^\lambda_{j+1}(g_\tau)|_\Lambda(\tau') = \rho_{\Lambda,j+1}(\tau'). \tag{3.47}$$

For such a g_τ, we see, by F3 of the operation $\mathcal{L}^\lambda_{j+1}$, we have

$$h := g_\tau^{\nu^\lambda_{j+1}(f)} f^{|\nu^\lambda_{j+1}(g_\tau)|} \in F^{0,j+1}.$$

Since $h|_\Lambda(\tau')$ is bounded on S by the induction hypothesis and since $g_\tau|_\Lambda(\tau') = 1$ holds by (3.47), we obtain

$$h|_\Lambda(\tau') = (f|_\Lambda(\tau'))^{|\nu^\lambda_{j+1}(g_\tau)|},$$

which implies the boundness of $f|_\Lambda(\tau')$ on S.

Hence we can show the claim for j, and thus, the claim is true for $j = L$ which gives the claim 1. of the proposition.

The claim 2. of the proposition easily follows from the fact that $\rho_{\Lambda,j}(\tau)$ is obtained by successive compositions of functions defined by maximal of several monomials as shown in Remark 3.21. $\square$

3.4 Definition of multi-asymptotic expansions along χ and their basic properties

We first define the notion of multi-asymptotical developability on a multi-sector S along χ using level functions $\rho_{\Lambda,j}(\tau')$ $(j = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell)$ introduced in the previous subsection. As in the previous subsection, we continue to assume that

$$p = (z^{(0)}; \zeta) \in S_\chi \text{ is outside the fixed points.} \tag{3.48}$$

Note that, through the rest of subsetctions in Section 3, we continue to assume the point p to be outside the fixed points.

Remark 3.29. *We here give a short summary for a major case where the associated action μ_χ is non-degenerate, which is the most important and interesting case: Let ℓ be the number of manifolds in χ, and let $m+1$ be the number of coordinates blocks ($z^{(0)}$, $z^{(1)}$, ..., $z^{(m)}$).*

Then, by the non-degenerate condition, we have $L = \operatorname{Rank} A_\chi = \ell \le m$ and

$$F^q = F^{0,\ell} = G,$$

where G is the finite subset of $\mathcal{H}_{\tau,\lambda} \times \mathbb{R}_{\ge 0}$ defined in Remark 2.9 of Subsection 2.2.

The level functions of this case are given by

$$\rho_{\Lambda,j}(\tau) = \varphi_j^{-1}(\tau') \qquad (1 \le j \le \ell),$$

for which Proposition 3.28 in the previous subsection clearly holds because of $F^q = G$.

Let H be a finite subset in $\mathcal{H}_\tau \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that $[H]$ and $\mathcal{G}$ defined in (2.29) are equivalent, and let $V \in V(\zeta)$ and $\{\epsilon\}$ a set of positive real numbers. Let f be a holomorphic function on $S := S_H(V, \{\epsilon\})$ and $\{F_J\}_{J \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\ell}$ a total family of coefficients of multi-asymptotic expansion along χ on S. Here $S_H(V, \{\epsilon\})$ was defined in (3.15) and the definition of a total family of coefficients was given in Definition 3.17.

Remark 3.30. *In what follows, to make the notation light, we set*

$$\rho_{\Lambda,j}(z) := \rho_{\Lambda,j}(|z^{(*)}|') \quad \textit{and} \quad \rho_\Lambda(z) := \rho_\Lambda(|z^{(*)}|')$$

for $z \in S_H(V, \{\epsilon\})$.

Recall that σ_A is a positive rational number so that $a_{jk} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all the a_{jk} have no common divisors.

Definition 3.31. *We say that f is multi-asymptotically developable to $F = \{F_J\}$ along χ on S if and only if for any cone $S' = S_H(V', \{\epsilon'\})$ properly contained in S and for any $N = (n_1, \ldots, n_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell_{\geq 0}$, there exists a constant $C_{S',N}$ such that*

$$\left| f(z) - \mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z) \right| \leq C_{S',N} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq \ell} \rho_{\Lambda,j}(z)^{n_j/\sigma_A} \qquad (z \in S'). \tag{3.49}$$

Then the most fundamental result is:

Proposition 3.32. *If $f(z)$ is multi-asymptotically developable along χ on S, then any derivative of f is also multi-asymptotically developable along χ on S.*

For $z_i \in z^{(0)}$, it easily follows from the definition that $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial z_i} f$ becomes multi-asymptotically developable.

Let $k \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ and z_i in $z^{(k)}$. It suffices to show that $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial z_i} f$ is also multi-asymptotically developable along χ on S. Assume that f is multi-asymptotically developable to the total family $F = \{F_J\}_{J \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\ell}$ of coefficients with $F_J = \{f_{J,\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{(K_J)}_{\geq 0}}$. The following lemma can be easily proved in the same way as that of Lemma 7.12 in [6].

Lemma 3.33. *Let* $N = (n_1, \dots, n_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\ell}$. *Set* $N^+ = N + \sigma_A \, A_\chi^{*,k}$ *where* $A_\chi^{*,k}$ *denotes the* k*-th column of the matrix* A_χ *and define the total family* $F' = \{F'_J\}$ *by*

$$F'_J := \left\{ \frac{\partial f_{J,\alpha}}{\partial z_i} \right\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{(K_J)}} \qquad \text{for } J \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\ell \text{ with } k \notin K_J$$

and

$$F'_J := \{ f_{J,\alpha+e_i} \}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{(K_J)}} \qquad \text{for } J \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\ell \text{ with } k \in K_J,$$

where e_i *is the unit vector in* $\mathbb{Z}^n$ *with the* i*-th element being* 1. *Then we have*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \operatorname{App}^{<N^+}(F;\, z) = \operatorname{App}^{<N}(F';\, z).$$

Proof. Set $N^+ = (n'_1, \dots, n'_\ell)$. For J with $k \notin K_J$, as the polynomial $T_J^{<N}$ does not contain the variable z_i and $n'_j = n_j$ for $j \in J$ by the condition (3.7), we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} T_J^{<N_+}(F;\, z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \tau_{F,J}\left(T_J^{<N_+}\right) = \tau_{F',J}\left(T_J^{<N_+}\right) = T_J^{<N}(F';\, z).$$

Let us consider the case $k \in K_J$. Then, by the equality given at the beginning of the proof for Lemma 3.16, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} T_J^{<N_+} = T_J^{<N}.$$

For a polynomial $p(z^{(K_J)})$ of the variables $z^{(K_J)}$, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \tau_{F,J}(p) = \tau_{F',J}\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial z_i}\right).$$

Hence we have obtained

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} T_J^{<N_+}(F;\, z) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \tau_{F,J}(T_J^{<N_+}) = \tau_{F',J}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} T_J^{<N_+}\right) \\ &= \tau_{F',J}\left(T_J^{<N}\right) = T_J^{<N}(F';\, z). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

We also need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.34. *For* $(f, v) \in F^q = F^{0,\ell}$, $f(|z^{(*)}|)$ *is bounded on* $S_H(V, \{\epsilon\})$.

Proof. This is a consequence of the fact that $[H]$, $[F^q]$ and $\mathcal{G}$ are all equivalent. ☐

Now we will prove the proposition.

Proof. Take $S'' = S_H(V'', \{\epsilon''\})$ so that $S \supset\supset S'' \supset\supset S'$ where $S \supset\supset S''$ means that S'' is properly contained in S. By the lemma, we have

$$\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} f - \mathrm{App}^{<N}(F'; z)\right| = \left|\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}\Big(f - \mathrm{App}^{<N^+}(F; z)\Big)\right|.$$

On S'', we get

$$\left|g := f - \mathrm{App}^{<N^+}(F; z)\right| \le C \prod_{1 \le j \le \ell} \rho_{\Lambda,j}(z)^{n_j/\sigma_A + a_{jk}}.$$

We first note that the condition (3.46) is equivalently saying that existence of $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C > 0$ such that, for $1 \le j \le \ell$ and $1 \le r \le m$,

$$\begin{gathered} Ct^N \rho_{\Lambda,j}(\tau') \le \rho_j(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{r-1}, t\tau_r, \tau_{r+1}, \dots \tau_L) \le C^{-1} t^{-N} \rho_{\Lambda,j}(\tau') \\ (0 < t \le 1,\ \tau' \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^L). \end{gathered} \tag{3.50}$$

Let us evaluate the remainder term g on S'. First consider the case $k \notin J_Z(\zeta)$. Then we can find a sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$B(z) := z + \Big\{w \in \mathbb{C}^n;\ |w_i| \le \epsilon |z^{(k)}|,\ w_r = 0\ (r \ne i)\Big\} \subset S''$$

holds for any $z \in S'$, which is a consequence of the following easy lemma.

Lemma 3.35. *Let* $Y = \mathbb{C}^m$. *Set, for* $\delta > 0$,

$$D(\delta) := \{z \in Y;\ |z| < \delta\},$$

where $|z|$ *denotes* $\max\{|z_1|, \dots, |z_m|\}$ *for* $z = (z_1, \dots, z_m) \in Y$.

1. *We have, for* $z \in Y$ *and* $w \in z + D(\epsilon|z|)$,

$$(1 - \epsilon)|z| < |w| < (1 + \epsilon)|z|. \tag{3.51}$$

2. Let $V' \subset V$ be open cones in Y with $\overline{V'} \setminus \{0\} \subset V$. Then there exists $\kappa > 0$ such that

$$z + D(\kappa|z|) \subset V \qquad (z \in V'). \tag{3.52}$$

By noticing

$$B(z) \subset \left\{ w \in \mathbb{C}^n;\ (1-\epsilon)|z^{(k)}| \leq |w^{(k)}| \leq (1+\epsilon)|z^{(k)}|,\ w^{(j)} = z^{(j)}\ (j \neq k) \right\},$$

it follows from (3.46) and (3.50) that there exists a constant $C > 0$ satisfying that, for any $z \in S'$,

$$\prod_{1 \leq j \leq \ell} \rho_{\Lambda,j}(w)^{n_j/\sigma_A + a_{jk}} \leq C \prod_{1 \leq j \leq \ell} \rho_{\Lambda,j}(z)^{n_j/\sigma_A + a_{jk}} \qquad (w \in B(z)).$$

Let γ be the circle in $\mathbb{C}$ of center z_i and radius $\epsilon|z^{(k)}|$ with the anti-clockwise direction. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial g}{\partial z_i}(z) \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_\gamma \frac{g(z_1, \ldots, z_{i-1}, t, z_{i+1}, \ldots, z_n)}{(t - z_i)^2} dt \right| \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\epsilon|z^{(k)}|} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq \ell} \rho_{\Lambda,j}(z)^{n_j/\sigma_A + a_{jk}} \qquad (z \in S'). \end{aligned}$$

If $1 \leq k \leq L$, then we have, by Lemma 3.23,

$$|z^{(k)}| = \varphi_k(\rho_\Lambda(z)) = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq \ell} \rho_{\Lambda,j}(z)^{a_{jk}}.$$

If $k > L$, then it follows from (3.44) that we have

$$C|z^{(k)}| \geq \varphi_k(\rho_\Lambda(z)) = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq \ell} \rho_{\Lambda,j}(z)^{a_{jk}}.$$

Hence we have obtained, for some $C' > 0$,

$$\left| \frac{\partial g}{\partial z_i}(z) \right| \leq C' \prod_{1 \leq j \leq \ell} \rho_{\Lambda,j}(z)^{n_j/\sigma_A} \quad (z \in S'),$$

which concludes the claim of the proposition when $k \notin J_Z(\zeta)$.

Now let us consider the case $k \in J_Z(\zeta)$. Since p is outside fixed points, we have $k > L$. Note that ρ_Λ does not depend on the variables τ'' and only $\psi_k(\tau)$ contains the variable τ_k. Set for $\epsilon > 0$ and $z \in S'$,

$$B(z) := \{w \in \mathbb{C}^n;\ |w_i| = |z_i| + \epsilon h(z),\ w_r = z_r\ (r \neq i)\},$$

where $h(z) := \varphi_k(\rho_\Lambda(z))$. Note that $h(z)$ is independent of the variables $z^{(k)}$.

Lemma 3.36. *If $\epsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small, then we have $B(z) \subset S''$ for any $z \in S'$.*

Proof. Since ψ_k does not contain the variables λ, it belongs to F^q. Note that, in F^q, only ψ_k contains the variable τ_k. Note also that, as $k \in J_Z(\zeta)$, there is no $(f, v) \in H$ with $\nu_k(f) < 0$. Let $(f, v) \in H$ with $\alpha := \nu_k(f) > 0$, and thus, we can set $f = \tau_k^\alpha / g(\tau)$ with $\nu_k(g) = 0$. Then, as the radical of $[F^q]$ is $\mathcal{G}$, there exist $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $r \in [F^q]$ with $\nu_k(r) = 0$ such that

$$f(\tau) = \tau_k^\alpha / g(\tau) = \psi_k(\tau)^\beta r(\tau)^\gamma.$$

Then, by comparing the order of the variable τ_k in both sides, we have $\alpha = \beta$, and hence, we obtain

$$r(\tau)^{\gamma/\alpha} g(\tau)^{1/\alpha} = \varphi_k(\varphi^{-1}(\tau', \lambda''), \lambda'').$$

Since $r(|z^{(*)}|)$ is bounded on S, by restricting the above equality to Λ, we can find $C > 0$ such that

$$C g(|z^{(*)}|)^{1/\alpha} \geq \varphi_k(\rho_\Lambda(z)) = h(z) \qquad (z \in S).$$

By noticing that $f(|z^{(*)}|) < \epsilon_{f,+}$ determines the region in the z_i-space

$$\left\{ z_i \in \mathbb{C};\ |z_i| < (\epsilon_{f,+})^{1/\alpha} g(|z^{(*)}|)^{1/\alpha} \right\},$$

we conclude $B(z) \subset S''$ for any $z \in S'$ by taking ϵ sufficiently small. $\square$

We continue the proof. As $\rho_\Lambda(\tau)$ does not depend on the variables τ'', we have

$$\prod_{1 \leq j \leq \ell} \rho_{\Lambda,j}(w)^{n_j/\sigma_A + a_{jk}} = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq \ell} \rho_{\Lambda,j}(z)^{n_j/\sigma_A + a_{jk}} \qquad (w \in B(z)).$$

Let γ be the closed circle in $\mathbb{C}$ of center the origin and radius $|z^{(k)}| + \epsilon h(z)$ with the anti-clockwise direction. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\frac{\partial g}{\partial z_i}(z)\right| &= \left|\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\int_\gamma \frac{g(z_1, \ldots, z_{i-1}, t, z_{i+1}, \ldots, z_n)}{(t-z_i)^2}dt\right| \\ &\leq \frac{C'(|z^{(k)}| + \epsilon h(z))}{(\epsilon h(z))^2} \prod_{1\leq j\leq \ell} \rho_{\Lambda,j}(z)^{n_j/\sigma_A + a_{jk}} \quad (z \in S').\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (3.44), we get

$$\left|\frac{\partial g}{\partial z_i}(z)\right| \leq \frac{C'(\epsilon + C)}{\epsilon^2 \varphi_k(\rho_\Lambda(z))} \prod_{1\leq j\leq \ell} \rho_{\Lambda,j}(z)^{n_j/\sigma_A + a_{jk}} \quad (z \in S').$$

Therefore we obtained the desired estimate in this case, and the proof of the proposition has been completed. □

Theorem 3.37. *Let $V \in V(\zeta)$ and $\{\epsilon\}$ be the set of positive real numbers. Let f be a holomorphic function on $S = S_H(V, \{\epsilon\})$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

1. *f is multi-asymptotically developable along χ on S.*
2. *For any multicone $S' := S_H(V', \{\epsilon'\})$ properly contained in S and for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$, $\left|\dfrac{\partial^\alpha f}{\partial z^\alpha}\right|$ is bounded on S'.*
3. *For any multicone $S' := S_H(V', \{\epsilon'\})$ properly contained in S, the holomorphic function $f|_{S'}$ on S' can be extended to a $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-function on $X_\mathbb{R}$ ($X_\mathbb{R}$ denotes the underlying real analytic manifold of X).*

Proof. We first show 1. implies 2. it follows from Definition 3.31 with $N = (0, \ldots, 0)$ that f is bounded on S'. Since each higher derivative of f is still multi-asymptotically developable thanks to Proposition 3.32, $\dfrac{\partial^\alpha f}{\partial z^\alpha}$ is also bounded for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$ on S'.

As S' is 1-regular by Lemma 3.8 and as f is holomorphic (i.e. $\dfrac{\partial^\alpha f}{\partial \bar{z}^\alpha} = 0$), the claim 3. follows from 2. by the result of Whitney in [19]. Clearly 3. implies 2. Hence the claim 2. and 3. are equivalent.

Now we will show 3. implies 1. Assume that f satisfies 3. In particular, any derivative of f extends to $\overline{S'}$ and is bounded on $\overline{S'}$. It follows from Lemma 3.10 that for $z \in S'$ and $0 \leq \lambda_j \leq 1$ ($j \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$), we get $\mu(z, \lambda) \in \overline{S'}$, which also implies $\mu_{\sigma_A A_\chi}(z, \lambda) = \mu(z, \lambda^{\sigma_A}) \in \overline{S'}$. Therefore, for $N = (n_1, \dots, n_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\ell}_{\geq 0}$,

$$\varphi_N(f; z) := \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty \frac{\partial^N f(\mu_{\sigma_A A_\chi}(z, \lambda))}{\partial \lambda^N} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq \ell} K_{n_j - 1}(1 - \lambda_j) d\lambda_1 \dots d\lambda_\ell$$

where

$$K_n(t) := \begin{cases} \delta(t) & (n = -1), \\ \dfrac{t_+^n}{n!} & (n \geq 0) \end{cases}$$

is well-defined on S'. Here δ denotes the Dirac delta function and $t_+ = t$ if $t \geq 0$, $t_+ = 0$ if $t < 0$. Then, by integration by parts, we have

$$\varphi_N(f; z) = f(z) - \sum_{J \in \hat{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)} (-1)^{\# J + 1} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^J, \beta <_J N} \frac{1}{\beta!} \partial^\beta_{\lambda, J} f(\mu_{\sigma_A A_\chi}(z, \lambda)) \Big|_{\lambda = \check{e}_J}.$$

By taking Lemma 3.18 into account, we will evaluate the remainder term $\varphi_N(f; z)$. Let us consider a coordinate transformation of λ defined by

$$\tilde{\lambda}_j = (\rho_{\Lambda, j}(z))^{1/\sigma_A} \lambda_j \qquad (j \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}).$$

Note that $\rho_{\Lambda, j}(z)$ is different from 0. Then, by Lemma 3.23 and the fact that $\varphi_k(\varphi^{-1}(\tau', \lambda''), \lambda'')$ ($L < k \leq m$) is independent of the variables λ'' due to Lemma 2.8, we have

$$\varphi_k(\lambda^{\sigma_A}) = \frac{\varphi_k(\tilde{\lambda})^{\sigma_A}}{|z^{(k)}|} \qquad (1 \leq k \leq L)$$

and

$$\varphi_k(\lambda^{\sigma_A}) = \varphi_k(\tilde{\lambda})^{\sigma_A} \frac{\psi_k(|z^{(*)}|)}{|z^{(k)}|} \qquad (L < k \leq m).$$

Hence we get, by noticing $\mu_{\sigma_A A_\chi}(z,\, \lambda) = \mu(z,\, \lambda^{\sigma_A})$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\partial^N}{\partial\lambda^N} f(\mu_{\sigma_A A_\chi}(z,\lambda))\\ &\quad= \prod_{1\le j\le \ell} \rho_{\Lambda,j}(z)^{n_j/\sigma_A} \frac{\partial^N}{\partial\tilde{\lambda}^N} f\biggl(z^{(0)},\, \varphi_1(\tilde{\lambda})^{\sigma_A}\frac{z^{(1)}}{|z^{(1)}|},\, \dots,\, \varphi_L(\tilde{\lambda})^{\sigma_A}\frac{z^{(L)}}{|z^{(L)}|},\\ &\qquad\quad \varphi_{L+1}(\tilde{\lambda})^{\sigma_A}\psi_{L+1}(|z^{(*)}|)\frac{z^{(L+1)}}{|z^{(L+1)}|},\, \dots,\, \varphi_m(\tilde{\lambda})^{\sigma_A}\psi_m(|z^{(*)}|)\frac{z^{(\ell)}}{|z^{(\ell)}|}\biggr).\end{aligned}$$

It follows from the condition (3.43) and (3.45) that $\tilde{\lambda}_j$ is bounded if $0 \le \lambda \le 1$ and $z \in S'$. Each $\psi_k(|z^{(*)}|)$ is also bounded on $z \in S'$ by the conditions (3.44). Hence there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{\lambda\in[0,1]^\ell} \left| \frac{\partial^N f(\mu_{\sigma_A A_\chi}(z,\lambda))}{\partial\lambda^N} \right| \le C \prod_{1\le j\le\ell} \rho_{\Lambda,j}(z)^{n_j/\sigma_A} \quad (z\in S').$$

This gives the desired estimate of the remainder term. The proof has been completed. □

Assume f is multi-asymptotically developable along χ on $S := S_H(V,\, \{\epsilon\})$. Then, for any multicone S' properly contained in S and for any multi-index α, it follows from the theorem that $\dfrac{\partial^\alpha f}{\partial z^\alpha}$ can uniquely extend to $\overline{S'}$, and then, by restricting the extension of f to $\pi_J(S') \subset \overline{S'}$, we have the holomorphic function on $\pi_J(S')$. Since the union of $\pi_J(S')$'s where S' runs through multicones properly contained in S is S_J, the family of these holomorphic functions determines the holomorphic function on S_J and we denote it by $\left.\dfrac{\partial^\alpha f}{\partial z^\alpha}\right|_{Z_J}$. Now, as an immediate consequence of the above proof, we have:

Corollary 3.38. *If f is multi-asymptotically developable along χ on S, then it is multi-asymptotically developable to the particular $F = \{F_J\}$ where F_J is defined by*

$$F_J = \left\{ f_{J,\alpha} = \left.\frac{\partial^\alpha f}{\partial z^\alpha}\right|_{Z_J} \right\}_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}^{(K_J)}}. \tag{3.53}$$

Note that, in general, a total family of coefficients of multi-asymptotic expansion is not uniquely determined by f. We study details on this problem in the subsequent subsections.

3.5 Uniqueness of coefficients I

Let $S := S_H(V, \{\epsilon\})$ and $\rho_\Lambda = (\rho_{\Lambda,1}, \ldots, \rho_{\Lambda,\ell})$ be a family of level functions of χ. Recall that, for $J, J' \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\ell$, the action μ_J is the one generated by the actions μ_j's ($j \in J$) and $\mu_J|_{Z_{J'}}$ denotes the restriction of the action μ_J to the submanifold $Z_{J'}$.

Definition 3.39. *We say that the action μ_j is strict on S with respect to the family ρ_Λ of level functions if there exists an open dense subset $\widetilde{S}$ of S such that*

$$\lim_{t\to 0+0} \rho_{\Lambda,j}(\mu_j(z, t)) = 0 \qquad (z \in \widetilde{S}).$$

Furthermore, for $J \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\ell$, the action μ_J (resp. μ) is said to be strict if each μ_j with $j \in J$ (resp. any j) is strict on S with respect to the family of level functions.

Example 3.40. *Let us see Examples 3.25 and 3.26 given in Subsection 3.3. Here all the actions μ_j are strict with respect to the level functions ρ_Λ. Note that the action μ is transitive and degenerate. On the other hand, in Example 3.27, the action μ_3 is not strict with respect to the level functions ρ_Λ of this example.*

We have the following lemma for the strictness of actions.

Lemma 3.41. *We have:*

1. *If the action μ is non-degenerate, each action μ_j ($1 \le j \le \ell$) is strict with respect to ρ_Λ.*
2. *μ_ℓ is always strict with respect to ρ_Λ.*

Proof. The claim 1. follows from the equality

$$\rho_{\Lambda,j}(\mu_j(z, t)) = t\, \rho_{\Lambda,j}(z).$$

Now we prove the second claim. We follow notations used in Section 3.3. We first show that, for any f in $(f, v) \in F^{0,j}_{<}$,

$$f(\mu_\ell(z, t), t\lambda'') = f(z, \lambda'')$$

holds for any $t > 0$, where $f(z, \lambda'')$ denotes $f(|z^{(*)}|', \lambda'')$ for simplicity and

$$t\lambda'' = (\lambda_{L+1}, \dots, \lambda_{\ell-1}, t\lambda_\ell).$$

Since ψ_k does not contain the variables λ'', any f with $(f, v) \in F_<^{0,j}$ is a product of a rational power of $\varphi_j^{-1}(\tau', \lambda'')$'s. Hence it suffices to show the above claim for $f = \varphi_j^{-1}(\tau', \lambda'')$. Then, we have, by definitions,

$$\tau' = \varphi'(\lambda', \lambda''), \quad \mu_\ell(\tau', t) = \varphi'(\lambda', t\lambda''),$$

from which the claim

$$(\,\lambda' =\,)\, \varphi_j^{-1}(z, \lambda'') = \varphi_j^{-1}(\mu_\ell(z, t), t\lambda'').$$

follows.

Let $(f, v) \in F_<^{0,\ell-1}$. Then, by noticing that $\mathrm{sol}_\ell^\lambda(f)$ is a function of the variable τ' only, we have

$$\mathrm{sol}_\ell^\lambda(f)(\mu_\ell(z, t)) = t\lambda_\ell f(\mu_\ell(z, t), t\lambda'')^{1/|a|} = t\lambda_\ell f(z, \lambda'')^{1/|a|}$$

with $a := \nu_\ell^\lambda(f) < 0$. Hence we have obtained

$$\mathrm{sol}_\ell^\lambda(f)(\mu_\ell(z, t)) \to 0 \quad (t \to 0+0),$$

which immediately implies $\rho_{\Lambda,\ell}(\mu_\ell(z, t)) \to 0$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Let $F = \{F_J\}_{J \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\ell}$ with $F_J = \{f_{J,\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{(K_J)}}$ be a total family of coefficients of multi-asymptotic expansion along χ on S, and f a holomorphic function on S which is strongly asymptotically developable to F along χ on S. Under this situation, we have:

Theorem 3.42. *Let $\widetilde{J}$ be the subset of $\{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$ which consists of an index $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$ such that μ_j is strict on S with respect to the family ρ_Λ of level functions. Then we have the following.*

1. *For any non-empty subset J of $\{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{(K_J)}$, any (higher) derivative of $f_{J,\alpha}$ is bounded on S'_J for every $S' = S_H(V', \{\epsilon'\})$ properly contained in S.*

2. For any non-empty subset J of $\widetilde{J}$, the family $F_J = \{f_{J,\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{(K_J)}}$ is uniquely determined as follows.

$$f_{J,\alpha} = \left.\frac{\partial^\alpha f}{\partial z^\alpha}\right|_{Z_J} \qquad (\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{(K_J)}).$$

Proof. We only prove the claim 2. because the proof of 1. goes in the same way as that of 2. It suffices to show the claim on S' properly contained in S. Hence, from the beginning, we may assume that f can be extended to $\overline{S}$ as a C^∞ function. Furthermore, by Proposition 3.32, it suffices to show the claim for $\alpha = 0$, i.e., $f_{J,0} = f|_{Z_J}$ for any non-empty subset J of $\widetilde{J}$.

We will show the claim by induction of the number of elements in J. Assume $J = \{k\}$, i.e. $\#J = 1$. Let $N = (n_1, \ldots, n_\ell)$ with $n_j = 0$ $(j \neq k)$ and $n_k = 1$, and consider the asymptotic expansion for this N. Then we have

$$|f(z) - f_k(\pi_J(z))| \leq C\rho_{\Lambda,k}(z)^{1/\sigma_A}.$$

Let $z' \in S_J$ and take $\hat{z}$ with $\pi_J(\hat{z}) = z'$. We may assume $\hat{z} \in \widetilde{S}$. Otherwise we take an sequence $\{\hat{z}_r\}_{r=1}^\infty$ of $\widetilde{S}$ with $\hat{z}_r \to \hat{z}$ $(r \to \infty)$ and apply the following argument to each point $\pi_J(\hat{z}_r)$. Then, by taking $r \to \infty$, we finally obtain the result at z'.

By putting $z = \mu_k(\hat{z}, \lambda) \in S$ into the asymptotic expansion and by letting λ to the zero, we have

$$\rho_{\Lambda,k}(\mu_k(\hat{z},\, \lambda)) \to 0$$

as μ_k is strict. Hence we obtain $f(z') = f_k(z')$.

Assume that the theorem were true for $\#J = \kappa \geq 1$. We will show the theorem for $\#J = \kappa + 1$. Take $j_0 \in J$ and fix it. We denote by $\widetilde{S}$ the exceptional set with respect to the action μ_{j_0} which appears in the definition. Let $z' \in S_J$ and take $\hat{z}$ with $\pi_J(\hat{z}) = z'$. Let $\{\lambda_r\}_{r=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of real numbers in $(0, 1]$ which tends to 0 $(r \to \infty)$. Set $\hat{z}_r = \mu_J(\hat{z}, \lambda_r)$. If $\hat{z}_r \notin \widetilde{S}$, we replace $\hat{z}_r$ with a point $\hat{z}'_r$ in $\widetilde{S}$ sufficiently close to the $\hat{z}_r$ with $|\hat{z}_r - \hat{z}'_r| \leq 1/r$. Then we determine a sequence $\{\delta_r\}_{r=1}^\infty$ of real numbers in $(0, 1]$ so that

$$\rho_{\Lambda,j_0}(\mu_{j_0}(\hat{z}_r,\, \delta_r)) \leq 1/r \qquad r = 1, 2, \ldots.$$

This is possible because μ_{j_0} is strict. Set $N = (n_1, \ldots, n_\ell)$ with $n_j = 1$ if $j \in J$ and $n_j = 0$ if $j \notin J$, and consider the asymptotic expansion for this N. Then we obtain

$$\left| f(z) - \sum_{J'} (-1)^{\# J'+1} f_{J'}(\pi_{J'}(z)) - (-1)^{\# J+1} f_J(z) \right| \le C \prod_{j\in J} \rho_{\Lambda,j}(z)^{1/\sigma_A},$$

where the first sum is taken over all the subsets J' in J except for J itself and the empty set. Set

$$z_r = \mu_{j_0}(\hat{z}_r,\, \delta_r) \in S.$$

By putting z_r into the expansion and by letting $r \to \infty$, the right-hand side of the expansion tends to 0, and $f(z)$ and $f_{J'}(\pi_{J'}(z))$ in the left-hand side tends to $f(z')$ by the induction hypothesis. Note that each $f_{J'}$ ($J' \neq J$) extends to $\overline{S_{J'}}$ as a C^∞ function because f extends to $\overline{S}$ by the assumption and $\overline{S_{J'}} = Z_{J'} \cap \overline{S}$ holds by Lemma 3.10. Hence we have $f(z') = f_J(z')$, which completes the proof. $\square$

Let $J \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\ell$ and $S := S_H(V, \{\epsilon\})$ be a multicone, and let $\{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}^{(K_J)}}$ be a family of holomorphic functions on S_J. Note that S_J is also a multicone in Z_J with respect to the action $\mu|_{Z_J}$ by Proposition 3.11. We assume: For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}^{(K_J)}$, $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}^{(\complement K_J)}$ and for any multicone $S'_J \subset Z_J$ properly contained in S_J,

$$\left| \frac{\partial^\beta f_\alpha}{\partial z^\beta} \right| \text{ is bounded on } S'_J. \tag{3.54}$$

Let $R \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\ell$ with $J \subset R$. We denote by $\pi_{R,J} : Z_J \to Z_R$ the canonical projection, i.e.,

$$\left(z^{(\complement K_J)}\right) \in Z_J \to \left(z^{(\complement K_R)}\right) \in Z_R.$$

Then it follows from the definition that we have

$$\pi_R = \pi_{R,J} \circ \pi_J$$

and, by Lemma 3.10 and Proposition 3.11,

$$S_R = \pi_{R,J}(S_J) \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{S_R} = \overline{S_J} \cap Z_R.$$

For a multicone $S'_J \subset Z_J$ properly contained in S_J, it follows from the condition (3.54) and the 1-regularity of S'_J that $\dfrac{\partial^\beta f_\alpha}{\partial z^\beta}$ can uniquely extend to $\overline{S'_J}$ and its restriction to $\pi_{R,J}(S'_J) \subset \overline{S'_J}$ is a holomorphic function on $\pi_{R,J}(S'_J)$. Since the union of $\pi_{R,J}(S'_J)$ is S_R when S'_J runs through multicones in Z_J is properly contained in S_J, we have obtained a holomorphic function on $S_R = \pi_{R,J}(S_J)$ from these restrictions. Hereafter we denote it by $\left.\dfrac{\partial^\beta f_\alpha}{\partial z^\beta}\right|_{Z_R}$.

Now by using this restriction, we define a family $\{g_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{(K_R)}}$ of holomorphic functions on S_R by

$$g_\gamma = \left.\frac{\partial^\beta f_\alpha}{\partial z^\beta}\right|_{Z_R}, \tag{3.55}$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{(K_J)}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{(\complement K_J)}$ are determined by the formula

$$\gamma = \alpha + \beta.$$

We denote by $\varpi_{R,J}$ the map from a family of holomorphic functions on S_J to the one on S_R defined by the above correspondence, that is,

$$\varpi_{R,J}\left(\{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{(K_J)}}\right) := \{g_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{(K_R)}}. \tag{3.56}$$

Definition 3.43. *Let $S := S_H(V, \{\epsilon\})$ and let $\{F_J\}_{J \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\ell}$ with $F_J = \{f_{J,\alpha}\}_\alpha$ be a total family of coefficients of multi-asymptotic expansion along χ on S. Then $\{F_J\}$ is said to be consistent if it satisfies the following conditions:*

1. *For any J and any α, the holomorphic function $f_{J,\alpha}$ satisfies the condition* (3.54) *on S_J.*
2. *For any pair $J \subset R$ (J, $R \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\ell$), we have*

$$\varpi_{R,J}\left(\{f_{J,\alpha}\}\right) = \left(\{f_{R,\alpha}\}\right).$$

The following theorem easily follows from Corollary 3.38 and Theorem 3.42.

Theorem 3.44. *Assume f to be multi-asymptotically developable along χ on S. Then there exists a consistent total family of coefficients of multi-asymptotic expansion such that f is multi-asymptotically developable to this consistent family. Furthermore, if all the actions μ_j's are strict on S with respect to the family ρ_Λ of level functions, then a total family of coefficients to which a holomorphic function on S is multi-asymptotically developable is consistent.*

Note that, in the next subsection, we study the case where some μ_j is not strict on S. We also have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.45. *Let $S := S_H(V, \{\epsilon\})$ and let $\{F_J\}_{J \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\ell}$ with $F_J = \{f_{J,\alpha}\}_\alpha$ be a total family of coefficients of multi-asymptotic expansion along χ on S. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *$\{F_J\}$ is consistent.*
2. *For any multicone S' properly contained in S, there exists a $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-function $g(z)$ on $X_\mathbb{R}$ such that for any $J \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\ell$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{(K_J)}$ we have*
$$f_{J,\alpha} = \left.\frac{\partial^\alpha g}{\partial z^\alpha}\right|_{S'_J} \qquad (z \in S'_J).$$

Proof. Clearly the claim 2. implies the claim 1. Hence we will show the implication from 1. to 2. For each $J \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\ell$, since S'_J is 1-regular by Proposition 3.11 and since the condition (3.54) holds on S_J, the family $\{F_J\}$ defines a Whitney jet on $\overline{S'_J}$ (see [12] for Malgrange's definition of a $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-Whitney jet). Note that, by the claim 2. of Lemma 3.10, we have
$$\overline{S'} \cap (Z_1 \cup \cdots \cup Z_\ell) = \bigcup_{J \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\ell} (\overline{S'} \cap Z_J) = \bigcup_{J \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\ell} \overline{S'_J}.$$

Note also that, for J and J' in $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\ell$, the Whitey jets $\{F_J\}$ and $\{F_{J'}\}$ coincide with $\{F_{J\cup J'}\}$ on $S'_{J\cup J'}$ by the second condition in the definition of a consistent family, and hence, they coincide on
$$\overline{S'_J} \cap \overline{S'_{J'}} = (\overline{S'} \cap Z_J) \cap (\overline{S'} \cap Z_{J'}) = \overline{S'} \cap (Z_J \cap Z_{J'}) = \overline{S'_{J\cup J'}}.$$

Hence it follows from Theorem 5.5 of [12] that we obtain the Whitney jet defined on $\overline{S'} \cap (Z_1 \cup \cdots \cup Z_\ell)$, which implies the claim 2. $\square$

3.6 Uniqueness of coefficients II

In the previous subsection, we see that, if the action μ is degenerate, then uniqueness of coefficients of multi-asymptotics is not necessarily guaranteed. Furthermore Lemma 3.41 indicates that the construction of level functions ρ_Λ depends on the order of actions $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_\ell$, and in particular the last action μ_ℓ is always strict. Hence we can recover the uniqueness of coefficients by considering all the possible order of actions as we will see.

Let Θ_μ be the set of permutations θ on $\{1, 2, \ldots, \ell\}$ such that the L-rows in A_χ corresponding to the actions $\mu_{\theta(1)}, \ldots, \mu_{\theta(L)}$ are linearly independent. For $\theta \in \Theta_\mu$, we construct the family of level functions ρ^θ_Λ with respect to the sequence of actions

$$\mu^\theta = \{\mu_{\theta(1)}, \ldots, \mu_{\theta(\ell)}\} \tag{3.57}$$

as in Subsection 3.3 where we keep the order of actions specified in the sequence μ^θ. Then we define a generalized family of level functions $\hat{\rho}_\Lambda = (\hat{\rho}_{\Lambda,1}, \ldots, \hat{\rho}_{\Lambda,\ell})$ by

$$\hat{\rho}_{\Lambda,j}(z) := \min_{\theta \in \Theta_\mu} \rho^\theta_{\Lambda,\theta^{-1}(j)}(z) \qquad j = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell. \tag{3.58}$$

By using $\hat{\rho}_\Lambda$, we can define the multi-asymptotic developability along χ with level functions $\hat{\rho}_\Lambda$. That is: We say that f is multi-asymptotically developable to $F = \{F_J\}$ along χ on S with level functions $\hat{\rho}_\Lambda$ if for any cone $S' = S_H(V', \{\epsilon'\})$ properly contained in S and for any $N = (n_1, \ldots, n_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell_{\geq 0}$, there exists a constant $C_{S',N}$ such that

$$\left| f(z) - \mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z) \right| \leq C_{S',N} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq \ell} \hat{\rho}_{\Lambda,j}(z)^{n_j/\sigma_A} \qquad (z \in S').$$

Clearly, if f is multi-asymptotically developable to $F = \{F_J\}$ with level functions $\hat{\rho}_\Lambda$, then f is multi-asymptotically developable to $F = \{F_J\}$ with level functions ρ^θ_Λ for any $\theta \in \Theta_\mu$. Conversely, if f is multi-asymptotically developable to $F = \{F_J\}$ with level functions ρ^θ_Λ for some $\theta \in \Theta_\mu$, it follows from Theorem 3.37 that f is multi-asymptotically developable to $F = \{F_J\}$ with level functions $\hat{\rho}_\Lambda$. Hence any level functions either ρ^θ_Λ or $\hat{\rho}_\Lambda$ give the same asymptotical developability. An advantage of $\hat{\rho}_\Lambda$ is:

Proposition 3.46. *Each action μ_j $(1 \le j \le \ell)$ is strict with respect to $\hat{\rho}_\Lambda$, that is, for any $1 \le j \le \ell$,*

$$\lim_{t \to 0+0} \hat{\rho}_{\Lambda,j}(\mu_j(z,\, t)) = 0 \qquad (z \in S).$$

Proof. Take $1 \le i_0 \le L$.

First assume that any j-th row $(L+1 \le j \le \ell)$ is a linear combination of i-th rows $(1 \le i \le L,\, i \ne i_0)$. Then it is easy to see that $\varphi_{i_0}^{-1}(\tau', \lambda'')$ is independent of λ'', and hence, we have

$$\rho_{\Lambda,i_0}(\tau') = \varphi_{i_0}^{-1}(\tau').$$

Therefore we get

$$\rho_{\Lambda,i_0}(\mu_{i_0}(z,t)) = \varphi_{i_0}^{-1}(\mu_{i_0}(z,t)) = t\varphi_{i_0}^{-1}(z) \to 0 \quad (t \to 0+0),$$

which implies that μ_{i_0} is strict with respect to $\hat{\rho}_\Lambda$ in this case.

Next, assume that some j_0-th row $(L+1 \le j_0 \le \ell)$ is not given by a linear combination of i-th rows $(1 \le i \le L, i \ne i_0)$. Then there exists a permutation in Θ_μ which exchanges j_0 and i_0. Hence we can find a permutation $\theta \in \Theta_\mu$ with $\theta(\ell) = i_0$, that is, the L-rows in A_χ corresponding to the actions $\mu_{\theta(1)}, \ldots, \mu_{\theta(L)}$ are linearly independent and the last action $\mu_{\theta(\ell)}$ of μ^θ is μ_{i_0}. Then, by Lemma 3.41, the $\mu_{i_0} = \mu_{\theta(\ell)}$ is strict with respect to ρ_Λ^θ.

From these observations, we see that, for any $i_0 \le L$, the action μ_{i_0} is strict with respect to $\hat{\rho}_\Lambda$. By the same argument, we can show that μ_{i_0} for $i_0 > L$ is also strict with respect to $\hat{\rho}_\Lambda$. This completes the proof. □

By this proposition, we finally established uniqueness of coefficients.

Theorem 3.47. *Let $F = \{F_J\}_{J \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\ell}$ with $F_J = \{f_{J,\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}^{(K_J)}}$ be a total family of coefficients of multi-asymptotic expansion along χ on S, and let f be a holomorphic function on S which is strongly asymptotically developable to F along χ on S with level functions $\hat{\rho}_\Lambda$. Then F_J is uniquely determined by*

$$f_{J,\alpha} = \left.\frac{\partial^\alpha f}{\partial z^\alpha}\right|_{Z_J} \qquad (\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}^{(K_J)}).$$

In particular, $F = \{F_J\}_{J \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\ell}$ is consistent.

In what follows, a family of level functions for which every μ_j $(1 \le j \le \ell)$ is strict is assumed to be chosen. Note that this assumption is satisfied if we choose

1. the usual ρ_Λ when the action μ is non-degenerate.
2. the generalized family $\hat{\rho}_\Lambda$ of level functions.

Then we can define the notion of flatness along χ for a multi-asymptotically developable function as in the usual asymptotics.

Definition 3.48. *Let $S := S_H(V, \{\epsilon\})$ and let f be a holomorphic function on S. We say that f is flat on S along χ if f is multi-asymptotically developable on S along χ to the total family $\{0_J\}_{J \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\ell}$.*

Then we immediately obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3.49. *Let $S := S_H(V, \{\epsilon\})$ and let f be a holomorphic function on S. Then f is flat on S along χ if and only if, for any multicone $S' := S_H(V', \{\epsilon'\})$ properly contained in S, the holomorphic function $f|_{S'}$ on S' extends to the whole space as a $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-function and this extension satisfies*

$$\left.\frac{\partial^\alpha f}{\partial z^\alpha}\right|_{\overline{S'} \cap \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\ell} Z_j\right)} = 0 \qquad (\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\ge 0}). \tag{3.59}$$

One important and interesting fact is that holomorphic functions appearing in a consistent family themselves are also strongly asymptotically developable, which we will explain from now.

As was explained in Subsection 1.4, the local model is determined by the base space X and the action μ on X. Hence, in what follows, we sometimes denote by $\widetilde{(X, \mu)}$ the local model associated with X and μ.

Let $J \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\ell$. Define $J^* \subset \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$ by

$$J^* := \{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\};\ \mu_j|_{Z_J} \text{ is not the identity action on } Z_J\}. \tag{3.60}$$

Note that $J^* \subset \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\} \setminus J$ hold.

Remark 3.50. *If there exists a pair $j \ne j' \in J^*$ which gives the same induced action $\mu_j|_{Z_J} = \mu_{j'}|_{Z_J}$ on Z_J, we may remove one of either j or j' in J^*, and we can resolve such a duplication.*

We denote by μ_{J^*} the action on X generated by μ_j's with $j \in J^*$, i.e.,

$$\mu_{J^*} = \mathop{\circ}_{j \in J^*} \mu_j, \tag{3.61}$$

and we also denote by χ_{J^*} the family of closed submanifolds in Z_J which consists of $Z_j \cap Z_J$ with $j \in J^*$. That is,

$$\chi_{J^*} = \{Z_j \cap Z_J\}_{j \in J^*}. \tag{3.62}$$

Now set

$$\widetilde{Z_J} := (\widetilde{Z_J,\, \mu_{J^*}|_{Z_J}}). \tag{3.63}$$

Then we have $\widetilde{Z_J} = Z_J \times \mathbb{R}^{\# J^*}$, and the coordinates of $\widetilde{Z_J}$ and those of the zero section $S_{\chi_{J^*}}$ of $\widetilde{Z_J}$ are given by

$$\left(z^{(\complement K_J)};\, \{t_j\}_{j \in J^*}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \left(z^{(0)};\, \zeta^{(\complement K_J \setminus \{0\})}\right),$$

respectively. For $p = (z^{(0)};\, \zeta^{(1)}, \ldots, \zeta^{(m)}) \in S_\chi$, we define the map $(\pi_J)_* : S_\chi \to S_{\chi_{J^*}}$ by

$$(z^{(0)};\, \zeta^{(1)}, \ldots, \zeta^{(m)}) \to \left(z^{(0)};\, \zeta^{(\complement K_J \setminus \{0\})}\right).$$

Then, for simplicity, we introduce the following assumption for the point p under consideration:

(3.64)

For any $J \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\ell$ with $J^* \neq \emptyset$, $(\pi_J)_*(p)$ is outside the fixed points of $S_{\chi_{J^*}}$.

Let $F := \{F_J\}$ be a total family of coefficients of multi-asymptotic expansion, and let $J \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\ell$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{(K_J)}$. For any non-empty subset $R \subset J^*$, we define a family $G_R := \{g_{R,\beta}\}_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{(K_R \setminus K_J)}}$ of holomorphic functions on $\pi_{R \cup J, J}(S_J) = \pi_{R \cup J}(S)$ by

$$g_{R,\beta} = f_{R \cup J,\, \beta + \alpha} \qquad \left(\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{(K_R \setminus K_J)}\right). \tag{3.65}$$

Hence, for any $J \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\ell$ and for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{(K_J)}$, we can obtain, in the space Z_J, a total family $\{G_R\}_{\emptyset \neq R \subset J^*}$ of coefficients of multi-asymptotic expansion on S_J along χ_{J^*}, and set

$$\varpi_{J,\alpha}(F) := \{G_R\}_{\emptyset \neq R \subset J^*}. \tag{3.66}$$

Then, since S_J is a multicone in Z_J with respect to the action $\mu_{J^*}|_{Z_J}$ by Proposition 3.11, we can apply Theorem 3.37 to each $f_{J,\alpha}$ and obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3.51. *Let $F := \{F_J\}$ be a total family of coefficients of multi-asymptotic expansion on $S := S_H(V, \{\epsilon\})$ along χ, and let p be a point in S_χ satisfying the condition* (3.64)*. Then the following two conditions are equivalent.*

1. *F is consistent.*
2. *F satisfies the conditions C1. and C2. below.*
   - *C1. $F_J = F_{J'}$ holds for J and J' in $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\ell$ with $Z_J = Z_{J'}$,*
   - *C2. For any $J \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\ell$ with $J^* \neq \emptyset$ and for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{(K_J)}$, $f_{J,\alpha}$ is, in the space Z_J, strongly asymptotically developable to $\varpi_{J,\alpha}(F)$ on S_J along χ_{J^*}.*

Remark 3.52. *The condition 2. of the theorem was adopted as the definition of a consistent family in our previous paper [6] or in Majima's original definition for the case of a normal crossing divisor [11]. As a matter of fact, both cases satisfy the condition* (3.64)*.*

3.7 The classical sheaves of multi-asymptotically developable functions

As we have seen in the previous subsections, the multi-asymptotical developability is a local notion with respect to a point in S_χ. Hence, by a usual argument, we can construct the classical sheaves on S_χ° which reflect this local nature. For reader's convenience, we quickly review their constructions.

We denote by S_χ° the set of points in S_χ outside the fixed points hereafter. For $p = (z^{(0)}; \zeta) \in S_\chi^\circ$, we define

$$S(z^{(0)}, V, \epsilon) := (z^{(0)}, 0, \dots, 0) + S(V, \epsilon), \tag{3.67}$$

for $V \in V(\zeta)$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Note that it follows from the construction of F_q that v of $(f, v) \in F^q$ can be considered as a real analytic function of $|\zeta|^{(k)}$'s ($k = 1, \dots, m$) on S_χ°.

Let W be an open subset in S_χ and U an open subset in X. Recall that $F = \{F_J\}_{J \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\ell}$ with $F_J = \{f_{J,\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{(K_J)}}$ is said to be a total family of coefficients along χ on U if each $f_{J,\alpha}$ is a holomorphic function on $\pi_J(U)$, where π_J is the canonical projection from X to Z_J.

Let $\{S_p\}_{p\in W}$ be a family of multicones indexed by the points in W, where each S_p for $p = (z^{(0)}; \zeta)$ is $S(z^{(0)}, V, \epsilon)$ for some $V \in V(\zeta)$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Let $\mathcal{S}(W)$ be the set of such families $\{S_p\}_{p\in W}$. Note that $\mathcal{S}(W)$ becomes filtrant by the partial order

$$\{S_p\}_{p\in W} \prec \{S'_p\}_{p\in W} \iff S_p \supset S'_p \qquad (p \in W).$$

Now we define the presheaves on S_χ°:

(3.68)

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_\chi(W) := \varinjlim_{\{S_p\}_p \in \mathcal{S}(W)} \left\{ f \in \mathcal{O}_X(\bigcup_{p\in W} S_p);\ \begin{array}{l} f|_{S_p} \text{ is multi-asymptotically} \\ \text{developable along } \chi \text{ on each } S_p \\ \text{for } p \in W \end{array} \right\},$$

(3.69)

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_\chi^{<0}(W) := \varinjlim_{\{S_p\}_p \in \mathcal{S}(W)} \left\{ f \in \mathcal{O}_X(\bigcup_{p\in W} S_p);\ \begin{array}{l} f|_{S_p} \text{ is flat along } \chi \text{ on each } S_p \\ \text{for } p \in W \end{array} \right\},$$

(3.70)

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_\chi^{CF}(W) := \varinjlim_{\{S_p\}_p \in \mathcal{S}(W)} \left\{ F = \{F_J\};\ \begin{array}{l} F \text{ is a total family on } \bigcup_{p\in W} S_p \text{, and} \\ F|_{S_p} \text{ is consistent on each } S_p \\ \text{for } p \in W \end{array} \right\}.$$

Then, by sheafication, we have obtained the sheaves $\mathcal{A}_\chi$, $\mathcal{A}_\chi^{<0}$ and $\mathcal{A}_\chi^{CF}$ on S_χ° associated with the presheaves $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_\chi$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_\chi^{<0}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_\chi^{CF}$, respectively. Note that we have, for $p = (z^{(0)}; \zeta) \in S_\chi^\circ$,

(3.71)

$$\mathcal{A}_{\chi,p} := \varinjlim_{V,\epsilon} \left\{ f \in \mathcal{O}_X(S(z^{(0)}, V, \epsilon));\ \begin{array}{l} f \text{ is multi-asymptotically} \\ \text{developable along } \chi \text{ on } S(z^{(0)}, V, \epsilon) \end{array} \right\},$$

(3.72)

$$\mathcal{A}_{\chi,p}^{<0} := \varinjlim_{V,\epsilon} \left\{ f \in \mathcal{O}_X(S(z^{(0)}, V, \epsilon));\ f \text{ is flat along } \chi \text{ on } S(z^{(0)}, V, \epsilon) \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{A}^{CF}_{\chi,p} := \varinjlim_{V,\epsilon} \left\{ F = \{F_J\};\ \begin{array}{c} F \text{ is a consistent family of coefficients} \\ \text{along } \chi \text{ on } S(z^{(0)}, V, \epsilon) \end{array} \right\}, \tag{3.73}$$

where these V and ϵ runs through $V(\zeta)$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Hence, in particular, these shaves are $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$-conic.

4 The functor of multi-specialization

In this section we extend the notion of multi-specialization of [6] to our new setting. References are made to [7] for the classical theory of specialization along a submanifold.

4.1 A decomposition result on the normal deformation

Definition 4.1. *Denote by* $\mathrm{Op}(\widetilde{X})$ *the category of open subsets of* $\widetilde{X}$, *and let* Z *be a subset of* $\widetilde{X}$.

(i) We set $\mathbb{R}^+_j Z = \mu_j(Z, \mathbb{R}^+)$. *If* $U \in \mathrm{Op}(\widetilde{X})$, *then* $\mathbb{R}^+_j U \in \mathrm{Op}(\widetilde{X})$ *since* μ_j *is open for each* $j = 1, \dots, \ell$.

(ii) Let $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_k\} \subset \{1, \dots, \ell\}$. *We set*

$$\mathbb{R}^+_J Z = \mathbb{R}^+_{j_1} \cdots \mathbb{R}^+_{j_k} Z = \mu_{j_1}(\cdots \mu_{j_k}(Z, \mathbb{R}^+), \dots, \mathbb{R}^+).$$

We set $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell Z = \mathbb{R}^+_{\{1,\dots,\ell\}} Z = \mu(Z, (\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell)$. *If* $U \in \mathrm{Op}(\widetilde{X})$, *then* $\mathbb{R}^+_J U \in \mathrm{Op}(\widetilde{X})$ *since* μ_j *is open for each* $j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$.

(iii) We say that Z *is* $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$*-conic (*ℓ*-conic for short) if* $Z = (\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell Z$. *In other words,* Z *is invariant by the action of* μ_j, $j = 1, \dots, \ell$.

Definition 4.2. *(i) We say that a subset* Z *of* $\widetilde{X}$ *is* $\mathbb{R}^+_j$*-connected if* $Z \cap \mathbb{R}^+_j x$ *is connected for each* $x \in Z$.

(ii) We say that a subset Z *of* $\widetilde{X}$ *is* $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$*-connected if there exists a permutation* $\sigma : \{1, \dots, \ell\} \to \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ *such that*

$$\begin{cases} Z \text{ is } \mathbb{R}^+_{\sigma(1)}\text{-connected,} \\ \mathbb{R}^+_{\sigma(1)} Z \text{ is } \mathbb{R}^+_{\sigma(2)}\text{-connected,} \\ \quad \vdots \\ \mathbb{R}^+_{\sigma(1)} \cdots \mathbb{R}^+_{\sigma(\ell-1)} Z \text{ is } \mathbb{R}^+_{\sigma(\ell)}\text{-connected.} \end{cases}$$

The proof of the following is almost the same as that of Proposition 4.1.3 of [7], and we shall not repeat it.

Proposition 4.3. *Let* V *be an* $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$*-conic open subset of the zero section* S_χ.

(i) Let W *be an open neighborhood of* V *in* $\widetilde{X}$*, and let* $U = \widetilde{p}(W \cap \Omega)$*. Then* $V \cap C_\chi(X \setminus U) = \emptyset$.

(ii) Let U *be an open subset of* X *such that* $V \cap C_\chi(X \setminus U) = \emptyset$*. Then* $\widetilde{p}^{-1}(U) \cup V$ *is an open neighborhood of* V *in* $\overline{\Omega}$.

Set, for $j = 1, \dots, \ell$,

$$S_{\chi,j} := \{(x^{(0)}, \xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(\ell)}) \in S_\chi;\, \xi^{(j)} = 0\} \subset S_\chi$$

and $\pi_j : S_\chi \to S_{\chi,j}$ be the canonical projection. Let V be an $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$-conic subanalytic subset of S_χ. We introduce the following conditions Va. and Vb. of V for each j.

Va. V does not intersect $S_{\chi,j}$.

Vb. $\pi_j(V) \subset \pi_j(V \cap S_{\chi,j})$.

Then we have the following proposition whose proof will be given in Appendix A.3.

Proposition 4.4. *Let* V *be an* $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$*-conic subanalytic subset of* S_χ *which satisfies the condition either Va. or Vb. for each* j*. Assume that* $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ *with coordinates* $(x^{(0)}, x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(m)})$ *defined by* χ*. Then any subanalytic neighborhood* W *of* V *in* $\widetilde{X}$ *contains* $\widetilde{W}$ *open and subanalytic in* $\widetilde{X}$ *such that:*

$$(4.1) \qquad \begin{cases} \text{(i) } \widetilde{W} \cap \Omega \text{ is } (\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell\text{-connected,} \\ \text{(ii) } \mathbb{R}^+_1 \cdots \mathbb{R}^+_\ell(\widetilde{W} \cap \Omega) = \widetilde{p}^{-1}(\widetilde{p}(W \cap \Omega)) \text{ is subanalytic in } \widetilde{X}. \end{cases}$$

Let $Z \subset S_\chi$ be closed conic and subanalytic. We have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.5. *Let V be an $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$-conic open subanalytic subset of Z. Then there exists a locally finite family $\{V^{(\alpha)}\}_\alpha$ of $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$-conic open subanalytic subsets in Z which satisfies the following conditions.*

1. *$V = \bigcup_\alpha V^{(\alpha)}$ and each $V^{(\alpha)}$ satisfies the condition either Va. or Vb. for each j.*
2. *For any subanalytic open neighborhood W of V in $\widetilde{X}$, there exists a subanalytic open neighborhood $\widetilde{W}^{(\alpha)} \subset W$ of $V^{(\alpha)}$ for which (4.1) of Proposition 4.4 holds. Furthermore $\{\widetilde{p}(\widetilde{W}^{(\alpha)} \cap \Omega)\}_\alpha$ is a locally finite family of subanalytic open subsets of X.*

4.2 Multi-specialization

Let k be a field and denote by $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ (resp. $D^b(k_{X_{sa}})$) the category (resp. bounded derived category) of sheaves on the subanalytic site X_{sa}. For the theory of sheaves on subanalytic sites we refer to [9, 13]. For classical sheaf theory we refer to [7]. For an exposition on $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$-conic sheaves see the appendix.

Definition 4.6. *The multi-specialization along χ is the functor*

$$\nu^{sa}_\chi \colon D^b(k_{X_{sa}}) \to D^b(k_{S_\chi{}_{sa}}), \qquad F \mapsto s^{-1}R\Gamma_{\Omega_\chi} p^{-1}F.$$

It follows from the definition (see also the proof of Theorem 6.3 (i) of [6]) that $\nu^{sa}_\chi F$ is conic, i.e., it belongs to $D^b_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell}(k_{S_\chi{}_{sa}})$. The following result can be obtained adapting the proof of Lemma 6.1 of [6].

Lemma 4.7. *Let $F \in D^b(k_{X_{sa}})$. There is a natural isomorphism*

$$s^{-1}R\Gamma_\Omega p^{-1}F \simeq s^!(p^{-1}F)_\Omega.$$

Thanks to Proposition 4.4 we can give a description of the sections of the multi-specialization of $F \in D^b(k_{X_{sa}})$.

Theorem 4.8. *Let V be a conic subanalytic open subset of S_χ satisfying either condition Va. or Vb. for each j. Then:*

$$H^j(V; \nu^{sa}_\chi F) \simeq \varinjlim_U H^j(U; F),$$

where U ranges through the family of open subanalytic subsets of X such that $C_\chi(X \setminus U) \cap V = \emptyset$.

Proof. Let $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$ such that $V \cap C_\chi(X \setminus U) = \emptyset$. We have the chain of morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} R\Gamma(U;F) &\to R\Gamma(p^{-1}(U);p^{-1}F) \\ &\to R\Gamma(p^{-1}(U)\cap\Omega;p^{-1}F) \\ &\to R\Gamma(\widetilde{p}^{-1}(U)\cup V;R\Gamma_\Omega p^{-1}F) \\ &\to R\Gamma(V;\nu^{sa}_\chi F) \end{aligned}$$

where the third arrow exists since $\widetilde{p}^{-1}(U) \cup V$ is a neighborhood of V in $\overline{\Omega}$ by Proposition 4.3 (ii).

Let us show that it is an isomorphism. We have

$$\begin{aligned} H^k(V;\nu^{sa}_\chi F) &\simeq \varinjlim_W H^k(W;R\Gamma_\Omega p^{-1}F) \\ &\simeq \varinjlim_W H^k(W\cap\Omega;p^{-1}F), \end{aligned}$$

where W ranges through the family of subanalytic open neighborhoods of V in $\widetilde{X}$. By Proposition 4.4, we may assume that W satisfies (4.1). Since $p^{-1}F$ is $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$-conic, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^k(W\cap\Omega;p^{-1}F) &\simeq H^k(p^{-1}(p(W\cap\Omega));p^{-1}F) \\ &\simeq H^k(p(W\cap\Omega)\times\{(1)_\ell\};p^{-1}F) \\ &\simeq H^k(p(W\cap\Omega);F), \end{aligned}$$

where $(1)_\ell = (1,\dots,1) \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$. The second isomorphism follows since every subanalytic neighborhood of $p(W\cap\Omega)\times\{(1)_\ell\}$ contains an $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$-connected subanalytic neighborhood (the proof is similar to that of Proposition 4.4). By Proposition 4.3 (i) we have that $p(W\cap\Omega)$ ranges through the family of subanalytic open subsets U of X such that $V \cap C_\chi(X \setminus U) = \emptyset$ and we obtain the result. $\square$

Remember that a sheaf $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ is said to be quasi-injective if the restriction morphism $\Gamma(U;F) \to \Gamma(V;F)$ is surjective for each $U, V \in$

$\mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})$ with $U \supseteq V$. Since quasi-injective sheaves are $\Gamma(U;\cdot)$-acyclic for each $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$ we get the following result.

Corollary 4.9. *Let* $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ *be quasi-injective. Then* F *is* ν^{sa}_{χ}-*acyclic.*

Proof. It is enough to prove that $H^k(V;\nu^{sa}_{\chi}F) = 0$, $k \neq 0$, on a basis for the topology of $S_{\chi sa}$. Being $\nu^{sa}_{\chi}F$ conic, we may assume that V is conic as well. By Corollary 4.5 we may assume that V satisfies the condition either Va. or Vb. for each j. Thanks to Theorem 4.8 it is enough to show that $H^k(U;F) = 0$, $k \neq 0$ when $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$. Since quasi-injective sheaves are $\Gamma(U;\cdot)$-acyclic for each $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$ we get the result. $\square$

Let $p = (x^{(0)};\xi^{(1)},\dots,\xi^{(\ell)}) \in S_{\chi}$, let $\pi : S_{\chi} \to \bigcap_{j=1}^{\ell} M_j$ be the projection. Namely, $\pi(x^{(0)};\xi^{(1)},\dots,\xi^{(\ell)}) = (x^{(0)},0^{(1)},\dots,0^{(\ell)})$. Let B_ϵ be an open ball of radius $\epsilon > 0$ with its center at $\pi(p)$ and set

$$\mathrm{Cone}_{\chi}(p,\epsilon) := \{V \cap B_\epsilon;\ V \in C(\xi, F^q)\}.$$

Applying the functor $\rho^{-1}\colon D^b(k_{S_{\chi sa}}) \to D^b(k_{S_{\chi}})$ (see [13] for details) and Theorem 4.8 (see also Corollary 6.5 of [6]) we can calculate the fibers at $p \in S_{\chi}$ which are given by

$$(H^j\rho^{-1}\nu^{sa}_{\chi}F)_p \simeq \varinjlim_{W} H^j(W;F), \tag{4.2}$$

where W ranges through the family $\mathrm{Cone}_{\chi}(p,\epsilon)$ for $\epsilon > 0$.

From Proposition 2.45 we can deduce a condition for the restriction of multi-specialization. Set $\chi_A = \{M_1,\dots,M_\ell\}$, $\chi_B = \chi_A \cup \{M_{\ell+1}\}$. We follow the notations of Section 2. We assume that the first ℓ (resp. $\ell+1$) columns of the matrix A (resp. B) are linearly independent. We omit the coordinate $x^{(0)}$ to lighten notations. Given $\xi = (\xi^{(1)},\dots,\xi^{(m)}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we will call $p_A \in S_A$, the point $p_A = (\xi^{(1)},\dots,\xi^{(m)};0\dots,0) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+\ell}$, and $p_B \in S_B$, the point $p_B = (\xi^{(1)},\dots,\xi^{(m)};0\dots,0) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+\ell+1}$.

Corollary 4.10. *Let* $F \in D^b(k_{X_{sa}})$. *Let* $K \subseteq \{1,\dots,m\}$. *Suppose that on* $S_K = \{\xi^{(k)} = 0,\ k \in K\}$ *conditions* (2.58) *are satisfied. Then we have*

$$(\nu^{sa}_{\chi_A}F)|_{S_K} \simeq (\nu^{sa}_{\chi_B}F)|_{S_K}.$$

4.3 Operations

Let X and Y be real analytic manifolds and let $\chi^M = \{M_j\}_{j=1}^{\ell}$, $\chi^N = \{N_j\}_{j=1}^{\ell}$ be families of smooth closed submanifolds of X and Y respectively. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism of real analytic manifolds such that $f(M_j) \subseteq N_j$, $j = 1, \dots, \ell$.

Remark 4.11. *In order to study more general situations, we will assume* $X = Y = \mathbb{R}^n$ *and consider the local model. Even if we work locally, the theory below completely covers the manifold case.*

Remark 4.12. *Let* σ_A *be a positive rational number so that* $a_{jk} \in \mathbb{Z}$ *and all the* a_{jk} *have no common divisors. Let* $\mu_{\sigma_A A_\chi}$ *be the action on* X *associated with the matrix* $\sigma_A A_\chi$*. Since multicones associated with the action* μ *and those with the action* $\mu_{\sigma_A A_\chi}$ *determine equivalent families, we may assume from the beginning that all the entries of* A_χ *are non-negative integers and* $\sigma_A = 1$*.*

We want to extend f to a morphism $\widetilde{f} : \widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{Y}$. This is done by repeatedly employing the usual construction of a morphism between normal deformations, i.e. we extend f to $\widetilde{f_1} : \widetilde{X}_{M_1} \to \widetilde{Y}_{N_1}$, then we extend $\widetilde{f_1}$ to $\widetilde{f}_{1,2} : \widetilde{X}_{M_1,M_2} \to \widetilde{Y}_{N_1,N_2}$. Then we can define recursively $\widetilde{f} : \widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{Y}$ by extending the morphism $\widetilde{f}_{1,\dots,\ell-1} : \widetilde{X}_{M_1,\dots,M_{\ell-1}} \to \widetilde{Y}_{N_1,\dots,N_{\ell-1}}$ to the normal deformations with respect to M_ℓ and N_ℓ respectively. We also denote by S^M (resp. S^N) the zero section of $\widetilde{X}$ (resp. $\widetilde{Y}$). In this general case we have to put some conditions to assure that $\widetilde{f}$ is well defined on the zero section.

In a local coordinate system set

$$\begin{aligned} x &= (x^{(0)}, x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(m_X)}), \\ y &= (y^{(0)}, y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(m_Y)}), \\ f(x) &= (f^{(0)}(x), f^{(1)}(x), \dots, f^{(m_Y)}(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Hereafter we omit the coordinates blocks $x^{(0)}$ and $y^{(0)}$ for simplicity. Outside $\{t_1 t_2 \dots t_\ell = 0\}$ in $\widetilde{X}$, the morphism $\widetilde{f} : \widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{Y}$

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n, t_1, \dots, t_\ell) \to (y_1, \dots, y_m, \hat{t}_1, \dots, \hat{t}_\ell)$$

is explicitly written in the form

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_k^N(\hat{t})y^{(k)} = f^{(k)}(\varphi_1^M(t)x^{(1)}, \dots, \varphi_{m_X}^M(t)x^{(m_X)}) \qquad (k = 1, \dots, m_Y), \\ \hat{t}_j = t_j \qquad (j = 1, \dots, \ell), \end{cases}$$

which naturally extends to the whole $\widetilde{X}$ if the limit

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f^{(k)}(\varphi_1^M(t)x^{(1)}, \dots, \varphi_{m_X}^M(t)x^{(m_X)})}{\varphi_k^N(t)}$$

exists for each $k = 1, \dots, m_Y$. In order to understand the restriction of $\widetilde{f}$ to the whole $\widetilde{X}$, we set

$$I = \bigcup_{j \in J_k^N} I_j^M, \ M_I = \bigcap_{j \in J_k^N} M_j,$$

where J_k^N denotes the set $\{j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}, \hat{I}_k^N \subset I_j^N\}$. By expanding $f^{(k)}(x)$ along the submanifold M_I, we obtain

$$f^{(k)}(x) = \sum_{i \in I} \left.\frac{\partial f^{(k)}}{\partial x^{(i)}}\right|_{M_I} x^{(i)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i_1, i_2 \in I} \left.\frac{\partial^2 f^{(k)}}{\partial x^{(i_1)} \partial x^{(i_2)}}\right|_{M_I} x^{(i_1)} x^{(i_2)} + \dots,$$

as $f_k|_{M_I} = 0$ holds. Then we get, on $t_1 \dots t_\ell \neq 0$,

$$y_k = \sum_{i \in I} \left.\frac{\partial f^{(k)}}{\partial x^{(i)}}\right|_{M_I} \frac{\varphi_i^M(t)}{\varphi_k^N(t)} x^{(i)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i_1, i_2 \in I} \left.\frac{\partial^2 f^{(k)}}{\partial x^{(i_1)} \partial x^{(i_2)}}\right|_{M_I} \frac{\varphi_{i_1}^M(t) \varphi_{i_2}^M(t)}{\varphi_k^N(t)} x^{(i_1)} x^{(i_2)} + \dots.$$

Note that the limit for $t \to 0$ exists under the condition

$$\left.\frac{\partial f^{(k)}}{\partial x^{(i_1)} \dots \partial x^{(i_p)}}\right|_{M_I} = 0 \quad \text{if } \varphi_k^N \nmid \varphi_{i_1}^M \cdots \varphi_{i_p}^M. \tag{4.3}$$

Remark 4.13. *If there exists* $j \in J_k^N$ *such that* $\{i_1, \dots, i_p\} \cap I_j^M = \emptyset$, *as* $f^{(k)}|_{M_j} = 0$, *we have*

$$\left.\frac{\partial f^{(k)}}{\partial x^{(i_1)} \dots \partial x^{(i_p)}}\right|_{M_I} = 0.$$

If the matrix A *is associated with* χ, *i.e., its entries are either* 0 *or* 1,

$$\varphi_k^N \nmid \varphi_{i_1}^M \cdots \varphi_{i_p}^M \Rightarrow \exists\, j \in J_k^N \text{ such that } \{i_1, \dots, i_p\} \cap I_j^M = \emptyset.$$

Then (4.3) *always holds.*

Suppose that $\varphi_k^N \mid \varphi_{i_1}^M \cdots \varphi_{i_p}^M$.

(i) If there exists $j \in J_k^N$ such that $\{i_1, \ldots, i_p\} \cap I_j^M = \emptyset$, we have

$$\left.\frac{\partial f^{(k)}}{\partial x^{(i_1)} \cdots \partial x^{(i_p)}}\right|_{M_I} = 0.$$

(ii) If $\alpha_{jk}^N < \alpha_{ji_1}^M + \cdots + \alpha_{ji_p}^M$, for some $j \in \{1, \ldots, \ell\}$, we have

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\varphi_{i_1}^M(t) \cdots \varphi_{i_p}^M(t)}{\varphi_k^N(t)} \to 0.$$

(iii) If $\alpha_{jk}^N = \alpha_{ji_1}^M + \cdots + \alpha_{ji_p}^M$, for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, \ell\}$, we have

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\varphi_{i_1}^M(t) \cdots \varphi_{i_p}^M(t)}{\varphi_k^N(t)} \to 1.$$

By the above observations, assuming (4.3), in order to compute the restriction to the zero section we are interested in sequences $P = \{i_1, \ldots, i_{\sharp P}\}$ ($i_p \in \{1, \ldots, m_X\}$ ($p = 1, \ldots, \sharp P$)) of indices of finite length, such that

$$\alpha_{jk}^N = \sum_{p=1}^{\sharp P} \alpha_{ji_p}^M, \qquad j = 1, \ldots, \ell. \tag{4.4}$$

Let us consider the family $\mathcal{F}_k$ of sequences P of indices of finite length satisfying (4.4). The morphism $\widetilde{f}$ is described by, on $S \subset \widetilde{X}$,

$$y_k = \sum_{P \in \mathcal{F}_k} \frac{1}{\sharp P!} \left.\frac{\partial^{\sharp P} f^{(k)}}{\partial x^{(i_1)} \cdots \partial x^{(i_{\sharp P})}}\right|_M x^{(i_1)} \cdots x^{(i_{\sharp P})} \qquad (k = 1, \ldots, m_Y).$$

Here $M := M_1 \cap \cdots \cap M_\ell$.

Remark 4.14. *The order is important in $\mathcal{F}_k$ as it is the set of sequences. For example, $\{1, 2\} \neq \{2, 1\}$.*

Remark 4.15. *When $m_X = \ell$, i.e. χ^M is of normal type, the family $\mathcal{F}_k$ consists of at most one element. This follows from the invertibility of the matrix associated to χ^M.*

Example 4.16. *Let* $X = Y = \mathbb{R}^3$, $M_1 = \{x_1 = x_2 = 0\}$, $M_2 = \{x_2 = x_3 = 0\}$, $N_1 = 0$, $N_2 = \{x_2 = x_3 = 0\}$, $f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_1, x_1x_3 + x_2, x_1x_3)$. *Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1^M &= t_1, \quad \varphi_2^M = t_1t_2, \quad \varphi_3^M = t_2, \\ \varphi_1^N &= t_1, \quad \varphi_2^N = t_1t_2, \quad \varphi_3^N = t_1t_2. \end{aligned}$$

Condition (4.3) *is satisfied and we have*

$$\begin{aligned} y_1 &= \left.\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1}\right|_0 x_1 = x_1, \\ y_2 &= \left.\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2}\right|_0 x_2 + \frac{1}{2}\left.\frac{\partial^2 f_2}{\partial x_1 \partial x_3}\right|_0 x_1x_3 + \frac{1}{2}\left.\frac{\partial^2 f_2}{\partial x_3 \partial x_1}\right|_0 x_3x_1 = x_2 + x_1x_3, \\ y_3 &= \frac{1}{2}\left.\frac{\partial^2 f_3}{\partial x_1 \partial x_3}\right|_0 x_1x_3 + \frac{1}{2}\left.\frac{\partial^2 f_2}{\partial x_3 \partial x_1}\right|_0 x_3x_1 = x_1x_3. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, as stressed in Remark 4.14, the order of the elements P in $\mathcal{F}_2$ and $\mathcal{F}_3$ is important.

Example 4.17. *Let* $X = Y = \mathbb{R}^2$, $M_1 = \{x_1 = 0\}$, $M_2 = \{x_2 = 0\}$, $N_1 = N_2 = \{0\}$,

$$A_{\chi^M} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad A_{\chi^N} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1^3x_2, x_1^2x_2)$. *Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1^M &= t_1, \quad \varphi_2^M = t_2, \\ \varphi_1^N &= t_1^3t_2, \quad \varphi_2^N = t_1^2t_2. \end{aligned}$$

Condition (4.3) *is satisfied and we have*

$$\begin{aligned} y_1 &= \frac{1}{4!} \cdot 4 \cdot \left.\frac{\partial^4 f_1}{\partial x_1^3 \partial x_2}\right|_0 x_1^3x_2 = x_1^3x_2, \\ y_2 &= \frac{1}{3!} \cdot 3 \cdot \left.\frac{\partial^3 f_1}{\partial x_1^2 \partial x_2}\right|_0 x_1^2x_2 = x_1^2x_2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that we multiplied the first (resp. second) line by 4 (resp. 3) taking in account the order of the elements P in $\mathcal{F}_1$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}_2$).

Suppose that f satisfies (4.3) and $f(M_i) \subseteq N_i$, $i = 1, \dots, \ell$. We call $T_\chi f$ the induced map on the zero section. Let $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_k\}$ be a non-empty subset in $\{1, \dots, \ell\}$ and set $\chi_J^M = \{M_{j_1}, \dots, M_{j_k}\}$. The map $T_{\chi_J} f$ denotes the restriction of $\widetilde{f}$ to $\{t_{j_k} = 0,\, j_k \in J\}$. In the following we will denote with the same symbol $C_{\chi_J^M}(Z)$ the normal cone with respect to χ_J^M and its inverse image via the map $\widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{X}_{M_{j_1},\dots,M_{j_k}}$.

Proposition 4.18. *Let* $F \in D^b(k_{X_{sa}})$.

(i) There exists a commutative diagram of canonical morphisms

$$\begin{tikzcd}
R(T_\chi f)_{!!}\nu^{sa}_{\chi^M} F \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \nu^{sa}_{\chi^N} Rf_{!!}F \arrow[d] \\
R(T_\chi f)_{*}\nu^{sa}_{\chi^M} F & \nu^{sa}_{\chi^N} Rf_{*}F. \arrow[l]
\end{tikzcd}$$

(ii) Moreover if f *is proper over* $\mathrm{supp}F$ *and* $T_{\chi_J} f$ *is proper over* $C_{\chi_J^M}(\mathrm{supp}F)$ *for each (non-empty)* $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_k\}$*, and if* $\mathrm{supp}F \cap f^{-1}(N_j) \subseteq M_j$, $j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$*, then the above morphisms are isomorphisms.*

Proof. (i) The existence of the arrows is done as in [7] Proposition 4.2.4.

(ii) If $\widetilde{f}$ is proper over $\overline{\widetilde{p}^{-1}(\mathrm{supp}F)}$, then all the morphisms are isomorphisms. We have to prove that for a closed subset Z of X, the restriction of $\widetilde{f}$ to $\overline{\widetilde{p}^{-1}(Z)}$ is proper, if $Z \to Y$ and $C_{\chi_J^M}(Z) \to Y$ for $k \leq \ell$ are proper, and if $Z \cap f^{-1}(N_j) \subseteq M_j$, $j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$. We argue by induction on $\sharp\chi^M$. If $\sharp\chi^M = 0$ the proof is trivial. Suppose it is true for $\sharp\chi^M \leq \ell - 1$. It follows from the hypothesis that the fibers of $\widetilde{f}$ restricted to $\overline{\widetilde{p}^{-1}(Z)}$ are compact (if $t_{j_1} = \cdots = t_{j_k} = 0$, this is a consequence of the fact that $C_{\chi_J^M}(Z) \to Y$ is proper). Then it remains to prove that it is a closed map. Let $\{u_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $\overline{\widetilde{p}^{-1}(Z)}$ and suppose that $\{\widetilde{f}(u_n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges. We shall find a convergent subsequence of $\{u_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. We may also assume that $\{\widetilde{p}(u_n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges as f is proper over Z. The map $\widetilde{p}^{-1}(Z) \setminus \{t_1 = \cdots = t_\ell = 0\} \to \widetilde{Y} \setminus \{t_1 = \cdots = t_\ell = 0\}$ is proper. Indeed, let K be a compact subset of $\widetilde{Y} \setminus \{t_1 = \cdots = t_\ell = 0\}$, and reduce to the case that K is contained in $\{c \leq t_j \leq d\} \subset \widetilde{Y}$, $c, d > 0$, for some $j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$. Suppose without loss of generality that $j = 1$. Then $K_1 := \widetilde{p}_{N_1}(K)$ is a compact subset of $\widetilde{Y}_{N_2 \dots N_\ell}$. Let us identify K_1 with $\widetilde{p}_{N_1}^{-1}(K_1) \cap \{t_1 = 1\}$. Then $\widetilde{f}^{-1}(K_1)$ is compact by the induction hypothesis. Hence $\widetilde{f}^{-1}(K) \subseteq \mu_1(\widetilde{f}^{-1}(K_1), [c, d])$ is compact since it is closed and contained in a compact subset. We may assume that $\{\widetilde{f}(u_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to a point of $\{t_1 = \cdots = t_\ell = 0\}$. Then $\{\widetilde{p}(u_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to a point of $Z \cap f^{-1}(N_1 \cap \cdots \cap N_\ell) \subseteq M_1 \cap \cdots \cap M_\ell$.

Taking local coordinates systems of X and Y, let

$$u_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots, x_n^{(m)}; t_{1n}, \dots, t_{\ell n}), \quad t_{jn} > 0,\ j = 1, \dots, \ell.$$

Then $t_{jn} \to 0$, $j = 1, \dots, \ell$ and $\varphi_i^M(t_n) x_n^{(i)} \to 0$, $i = 1, \dots, m$. It is enough to show that $\{|x_n^{(i)}|\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded for each $i = 1, \dots, m$. We argue by contradiction. Up to make a permutation of $\{1, \dots, m\}$, we may suppose without loss of generality that $|x_n^{(i)}| \to +\infty$ for $0 < i \leq m_0 \leq m$.

Suppose we can find a non infinitesimal bounded sequence $\{\widetilde{u}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \widetilde{p}^{-1}(Z)$ such that $\{\widetilde{f}(\widetilde{u}_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to 0. Up to extract a subsequence we may assume that $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to a non zero vector v. Then $\widetilde{f}(w) = 0$ for each $w \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell v$ which contradicts the fact that the fibers of $\overline{\widetilde{p}^{-1}(Z)} \to \widetilde{Y}$ are compact.

Suppose that there exist sequences $\{c_{jn}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $j = 1, \dots, \ell$, satisfying the following properties:

(i) $c_{jn} \to +\infty$, $j = 1, \dots, \ell$,

(ii) $\displaystyle\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} c_{jn}^{\alpha_{m_0 j}} = |x_n^{(m_0)}|$,

(iii) $t_{jn} c_{jn} \to 0$, $j = 1, \dots, \ell$.

Let us construct the sequence $\{\overline{u}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\overline{u}_n = (\overline{x}_n^{(1)}, \dots, \overline{x}_n^{(m)}, \overline{t}_{1n}, \dots, \overline{t}_{\ell n})$ as follows:

$$\overline{x}_n^{(i)} = \frac{x_n^{(i)}}{\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} c_{jn}^{\alpha_{ij}}}, \qquad \overline{t}_{jn} = t_{jn} c_{jn}.$$

By (i) $\overline{x}_n^{(i)}$ is bounded if $x_n^{(i)}$ is bounded, $i = 1, \dots, m$, by (ii) $\overline{x}_n^{(m_0)}$ is bounded non infinitesimal and by (iii) $\overline{t}_{jn} \to 0$, $j = 1, \dots, \ell$. Moreover $\varphi_i^M(\overline{t}_n)\overline{x}_n^{(i)} = \varphi_i^M(t_n)x_n^{(i)}$, $i = 1, \dots, m$. Applying this process (at most) m_0 times we may find a non infinitesimal bounded sequence $\{\widetilde{u}_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \widetilde{p}^{-1}(Z)$, $\widetilde{u}_n = (\widetilde{x}_n^{(1)}, \dots, \widetilde{x}_n^{(m)}, \widetilde{t}_{1n}, \dots, \widetilde{t}_{\ell n})$ such that

$$\frac{f_k(\varphi_1^M(\widetilde{t}_n)\widetilde{x}_n^{(1)}, \dots, \varphi_1^M(\widetilde{t}_n)\widetilde{x}_n^{(m)})}{\varphi_k^N(\widetilde{t}_n)} = \frac{f_k(\varphi_1^M(t_n)x_n^{(1)}, \dots, \varphi_n^M(t_n)x_n^{(n)})}{\varphi_k^N(t_n)d_n} \to 0.$$

This is because $\{\widetilde{f}(u_n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges and, by construction of $\widetilde{t}_n$,

$$d_n := \frac{\varphi_k^N(\widetilde{t}_n)}{\varphi_k^N(t_n)} \to +\infty.$$

So we are reduced to find sequences $\{c_{jn}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, $j = 1, \dots, \ell$ satisfying (i)-(iii), given $u_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots, x_n^{(m)}, t_{1n}, \dots, t_{\ell n})$, $t_{jn} > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, \ell$ with $t_{jn} \to 0$ $(j = 1, \dots, \ell)$, $\varphi_i^M(t_n)x_n^{(i)} \to 0$ $(i = 1, \dots, m)$ and $|x_n^{(m_0)}| \to +\infty$ for $1 \leq m_0 \leq m$. Assume without loss of generality that $m_0 = 1$. There exists $j_0 \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ such that

$$\prod_{j \leq j_0} t_{jn}^{\alpha_{1j}} |x_n^{(1)}| \to 0, \qquad \prod_{j < j_0} t_{jn}^{\alpha_{1j}} |x_n^{(1)}| \to +\infty.$$

Let us construct the required sequence as follows:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} c_{jn} & = & \dfrac{1}{t_{jn}\epsilon_n^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{1j}}}} \quad j < j_0, \\ c_{j_0 n} & = & \left(\displaystyle\prod_{j<j_0} t_{jn}^{\alpha_{1j}} |x_n^{(1)}| \epsilon_n^{2j_0 - m - 1} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{1j_0}}}, \\ c_{jn} & = & \epsilon_n^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{1j}}} \quad j > j_0. \end{array}$$

Here $\{\epsilon_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence, $\epsilon_n \to +\infty$ slowly enough to satisfy $c_{jn} \to +\infty$ if $j \leq j_0$ and $t_{jn}c_{jn} \to 0$ if $j \geq j_0$. One checks easily that (i)-(iii) are satisfied. $\square$

Proposition 4.19. *Let* $F \in D^b(k_{Y_{sa}})$.

(i) There exists a commutative diagram of canonical morphisms

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\omega_{S_X/S_Y} \otimes (T_\chi f)^{-1}\nu^{sa}_{\chi^N} F \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \nu^{sa}_{\chi^M}(\omega_{S_X/S_Y} \otimes f^{-1}F) \arrow[d] \\
T_\chi f^! \nu^{sa}_{\chi^N} F & \nu^{sa}_{\chi^M} f^! F. \arrow[l]
\end{tikzcd}$$

(ii) The above morphisms are isomorphisms on the open set where $T_\chi f$ is smooth.

Proof. (i) The existence of the arrows is done as in [7] Proposition 4.2.5. When (ii) is satisfied the function $\widetilde{f}$ is smooth at any point of the zero section and all the above morphisms become isomorphisms. □

4.4 Multi-specialization and asymptotic expansions

We give a functorial construction of the sheaf of multi-asymptotically developable functions using the sheaf of Whitney $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-functions of [9]. Let X be a real analytic manifold ($X = \mathbb{R}^n$ in the local model). As usual, given $F \in D^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$ we set $D'F = R\mathcal{H}om(F, \mathbb{C}_X)$. Remember that an open subset U of X is locally cohomologically trivial (l.c.t. for short) if $D'\mathbb{C}_U \simeq \mathbb{C}_{\overline{U}}$. Let $\overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes}$ denote the Whitney tensor product of [8].

Definition 4.20. *Let $F \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(\mathbb{C}_X)$ and let $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$. We define the presheaf $\mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_{X|F}$ as follows:*

$$U \mapsto \Gamma(X; H^0 D'\mathbb{C}_U \otimes F \overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes} \mathcal{C}^\infty_X).$$

Let $U, V \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$, and consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbb{C}_{U\cap V} \to \mathbb{C}_U \oplus \mathbb{C}_V \to \mathbb{C}_{U\cup V} \to 0,$$

applying the functor $\mathcal{H}om(\cdot, \mathbb{C}_X) = H^0D'(\cdot)$ we obtain

$$0 \to H^0D'\mathbb{C}_{U\cup V} \to H^0D'\mathbb{C}_U \oplus H^0D'\mathbb{C}_V \to H^0D'\mathbb{C}_{U\cap V},$$

applying the exact functors $\cdot \otimes F$, $\cdot \overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes} \mathcal{C}^\infty_X$ and taking global sections we obtain

$$0 \to \mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_{X|F}(U \cup V) \to \mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_{X|F}(U) \oplus \mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_{X|F}(V) \to \mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_{X|F}(U \cap V).$$

This implies that $\mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_{X|F}$ is a sheaf on X_{sa}. Moreover if $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$ is l.c.t., the morphism $\Gamma(X; \mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_{X|F}) \to \Gamma(U; \mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_{X|F})$ is surjective and $R\Gamma(U; \mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_{X|F})$ is concentrated in degree zero. Let $0 \to F \to G \to H \to 0$ be an exact sequence in $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(\mathbb{C}_X)$, we obtain an exact sequence in $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathbb{C}_{X_{sa}})$

$$0 \to \mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_{X|F} \to \mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_{X|G} \to \mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_{X|H} \to 0. \tag{4.5}$$

We can easily extend the sheaf $\mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_{X|F}$ to the case of $F \in D^b_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(\mathbb{C}_X)$, taking a finite resolution of F consisting of locally finite sums $\oplus \mathbb{C}_V$ with V l.c.t. in $\mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})$. In fact, the sheaves $\mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_{X|\oplus \mathbb{C}_V}$ form a complex quasi-isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_{X|F}$ consisting of acyclic objects with respect to $\Gamma(U; \cdot)$, where U is l.c.t. in $\mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})$.

As in the case of Whitney $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-functions one can prove that, if $G \in D^b_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(\mathbb{C}_X)$ one has

$$\rho^{-1} R\mathcal{H}om(G, \mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_{F|X}) \simeq D'G \otimes F \overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes} \mathcal{C}^\infty_X.$$

Example 4.21. *Setting* $F = \mathbb{C}_X$ *we obtain the sheaf of Whitney* $\mathcal{C}^\infty$*-functions. Indeed, let* U *be a l.c.t. subanalytic open subset of* X*. Then* $\Gamma(U; \mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_{X_\mathbb{R}}) \simeq \Gamma(X; \mathbb{C}_{\overline{U}} \overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes} C^\infty_{X_\mathbb{R}})$ *is nothing but the set of* $\mathcal{C}^\infty$*-Whitney jets on* $\overline{U}$*. Let* Z *be a closed subanalytic subset of* X*. Similarly one checks that* $\mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_{X|\mathbb{C}_{X\setminus Z}}$ *is the sheaf of Whitney* $\mathcal{C}^\infty$*-functions vanishing on* Z *with all their derivatives.*

Notation 4.22. *Let* S *be a locally closed subanalytic subset of* X*. We set for short* $\mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_{X|S}$ *instead of* $\mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_{X|\mathbb{C}_S}$*.*

Let $\chi = \{M_1, \dots, M_\ell\}$ be a family of closed analytic submanifolds of X, set $\bigcup_k M = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\ell} M_k$ and consider $\widetilde{X}$. Set $F = \mathbb{C}_{X \setminus \bigcup_k M}$, $G = \mathbb{C}_X$, $H = \mathbb{C}_{\bigcup_k M}$ in (4.5). The exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_{X|X \setminus \bigcup_k M} \to \mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_X \to \mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_{X|\bigcup_k M} \to 0$$

induces an exact sequence

$$0 \to \rho^{-1} \nu^{sa}_\chi \mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_{X|X \setminus \bigcup_k M} \to \rho^{-1} \nu^{sa}_\chi \mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_X \to \rho^{-1} \nu^{sa}_\chi \mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_{X|\bigcup_k M} \to 0, \tag{4.6}$$

where the surjective arrow is the map which associates to a function its asymptotic expansion. In fact, let V be a l.c.t. conic subanalytic subset of the zero section of $\widetilde{X}$ and $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$ such that $C_\chi(X \setminus U) \cap V = \emptyset$, then we can find a l.c.t. $U' \subset U$ satisfying the same property.

Let X be a complex manifold ($X = \mathbb{C}^n$ in the local model) and let $X_\mathbb{R}$ denote the underlying real analytic manifold of X. Let $\chi = \{Z_1, \dots, Z_\ell\}$ be a family of complex submanifolds of X and set $\bigcup_k Z = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\ell} Z_k$. Let $F \in D^b_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(\mathbb{C}_X)$. We denote by $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_{X|F}$ the sheaf defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_{X|F} := R\mathcal{H}om_{\rho_! \mathcal{D}_{\overline{X}}}(\rho_! \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}, \mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_{X_\mathbb{R}|F}).$$

Let $0 \to F \to G \to H \to 0$ be an exact sequence in $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(\mathbb{C}_X)$. Then the exact sequence (4.5) gives rise to the distinguished triangle

$$\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_{X|F} \to \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_{X|G} \to \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_{X|H} \xrightarrow{+} . \tag{4.7}$$

Setting $F = \mathbb{C}_{X \setminus \bigcup_k Z}$, $G = \mathbb{C}_X$, $H = \mathbb{C}_{\bigcup_k Z}$ in (4.7) and applying the functor of specialization, we have the distinguished triangle

$$\rho^{-1}\nu^{sa}_\chi \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_{X|X \setminus \bigcup_k Z} \to \rho^{-1}\nu^{sa}_\chi \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_{X} \to \rho^{-1}\nu^{sa}_\chi \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_{X|\bigcup_k Z} \xrightarrow{+} . \tag{4.8}$$

Let U be an open l.c.t. subanalytic subset in X. Then $H^0(U; \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_X) \simeq H^0(X; \mathbb{C}_{\overline{U}} \overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_X)$ consists of $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-Whitney jets on $\overline{U}$ that satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann system. Therefore, if a proper cone $S := S(V, \epsilon)$ is subanalytic, in view of Theorem 3.37 the set of holomorphic functions on S that are multi-asymptotically developable along χ is equal to

$$\varprojlim_{S'} H^0(X; \mathbb{C}_{\overline{S'}} \overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_X)$$

where S' runs through the family of open subanalytic proper cones $S(V', \epsilon')$ properly contained in S.

Let us consider the multi-specialization of Whitney holomorphic functions. Let W be an $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$-conic subanalytic open subset in S_χ. Remember that $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$-conic open subset V' in S_χ is said to be compactly generated in W if there exists a compact subset K in W with $V' \subset (\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell K$.

We have

$$H^0(W;\rho^{-1}\nu^{sa}_{\chi}\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_X)=\varprojlim_{V'}\varinjlim_{U'}H^0(U';\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_X),$$

where V' ranges through the family of $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$-conic subanalytic open subsets in S_χ which are compactly generated in W, U' ranges through the family of $\mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$ such that $C_\chi(X\setminus U')\cap V'=\emptyset$. One can check easily that, given $p=(0;\zeta)\in S_\chi$, then

$$\begin{aligned}(\rho^{-1}H^0\nu^{sa}_{\chi}\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_X)_p &\simeq \varinjlim_{U}H^0(U;\nu^{sa}_{\chi}\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_X)\\ &\simeq \varinjlim_{V,\epsilon}H^0(S(V,\epsilon);\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_X)\\ &\simeq \varinjlim_{V,\epsilon}H^0(X;\mathbb{C}_{\overline{S(V,\epsilon)}}\overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes}\mathcal{O}_X),\end{aligned}$$

where U ranges through the $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$-conic neighborhoods of p, V through the family $V(\zeta)$ and $\epsilon>0$. The last isomorphism follows from Corollary 3.9. Hence we can construct functorially the sheaf $\rho^{-1}H^0\nu^{sa}_{\chi}\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_X$ and its stalk can be described by multi-asymptotics.

Similarly we have

$$(\rho^{-1}H^0\nu^{sa}_{\chi}\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_{X|X\setminus\bigcup_k Z})_p \simeq \varinjlim_{V,\epsilon}H^0(X;\mathbb{C}_{\overline{S(V,\epsilon)}\setminus\bigcup_k Z}\overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes}\mathcal{O}_X),$$

where V through the family $V(\zeta)$ and $\epsilon>0$. Hence we can construct functorially the sheaf $\rho^{-1}H^0\nu^{sa}_{\chi}\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_{X|X\setminus\bigcup_k Z}$ and its stalk can be described by flat multi-asymptotics by Theorem 3.49.

Finally, thanks to Theorem 3.45 one can check

$$(\rho^{-1}H^0\nu^{sa}_{\chi}\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_{X|\bigcup_k Z})_p \simeq \varinjlim_{V,\epsilon}H^0(X;\mathbb{C}_{\overline{S(V,\epsilon)}\cap\bigcup_k Z}\overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes}\mathcal{O}_X),$$

where V through the family $V(\zeta)$ and $\epsilon>0$. Hence we can construct functorially the sheaf $\rho^{-1}H^0\nu^{sa}_{\chi}\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_{X|\bigcup_k Z}$ and its stalk can be described by consistent families of coefficients.

4.5 Vanishing theorems and Borel-Ritt exact sequence

In this subsection, we establish several vanishing theorems related to multi-specializations of the sheaves of Whitney holomorphic functions. As an application we obtain a Borel-Ritt exact sequence for multi-asymptotics. We continue to consider the problems in the complex domain.

With the notations of Subsection 2.3, let $X = \mathbb{C}^n$ with coordinates $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$. We consider the family F^q, $q = \#\left(J_Z(\xi) \cap \{1, 2, \dots, L\}\right) \geq 0$, whose elements are couples (f, v) with f non-zero rational monomial and $v \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$.

In F^q there is a multiplication $\star$ limited to the pairs (f, v) and (g, w) such that $\nu_k(f) > 0$ and $\nu_k(g) < 0$ for some $k \in \{1, \dots, L\}$. It is defined by $(f, g) \star (g, w) = (f^a g^b, v^a w^b)$, where the natural numbers a and b are taken to be prime to each other and such that $a|\nu_k(f)| = b|\nu_k(g)|$.

Let K be the set of monomials generated by the operation $\star$ in F^q. If $(f, v) \in K$, then $f = \prod f_i^{a_i}$, $v = \prod v_i^{a_i}$ with a_i natural numbers and $(f_i, v_i) \in F^q$. Remark that there are finitely many monomials belonging to K. Given $(f, v) \in K$, let

$$K_f = \left\{(f_i, v_i) \in F^q,\ (f, v) = \left(\prod f_i^{a_i}, \prod v_i^{a_i}\right)\right\}.$$

Lemma 4.23. *The closure of*

$$\bigcap_{(f,v)\in F^q} \{v - \epsilon < f(|z|) < v + \epsilon\}$$

is

$$\bigcap_{(f,v)\in K} \left\{ f_d(|z|) \prod_{(f_i,v_i)\in K_f} (v_i - \epsilon)^{a_i} \leq f_n(|z|) \leq f_d(|z|) \prod_{(f_i,v_i)\in K_f} (v_i + \epsilon)^{a_i},\quad f = \frac{f_n}{f_d} \right\}$$

where f_n, f_d are monomials.

Proof. In what follows we write for short $f \in F^q$ if $(f, v) \in F^q$ and $i \in K_f$ if $(f_i, v_i) \in K_f$. It is enough to prove that the second one is contained in the closure of the first one. Indeed one can find from

$$v - \epsilon < f(|z|) < v + \epsilon \qquad f = \frac{f_n}{f_d} \in F^q$$

that

$$f_d(|z|)\prod_{i\in K_f}(v_i-\epsilon)^{a_i} < f_n(|z|) < f_d(|z|)\prod_{i\in K_f}(v_i+\epsilon)^{a_i} \qquad f=\frac{f_n}{f_d}\in F_{q'},\ q'\geq q.$$

It is enough to prove that, given $p_j>0$, $j=1,\dots,m$, with

(4.9)

$$f_d(p)\prod_{i\in K_f}(v_i-\epsilon)^{a_i} \leq f_n(p) \leq f_d(p)\prod_{i\in K_f}(v_i+\epsilon)^{a_i} \qquad f=\frac{f_n}{f_d}\in F_{q'},\quad q'\geq q,$$

($p=(p_1,\dots,p_m)$) then for each $n\in\mathbb{N}$ there exist $|d_i|<\frac{1}{n}$, $j=1,\dots,m$, such that

(4.10)

$$f_d(|p-d|)\prod_{i\in K_f}(v_i-\epsilon)^{a_i} < f_n(|p-d|) < f_d(|p-d|)\prod_{i\in K_f}(v_i+\epsilon)^{a_i} \qquad f=\frac{f_n}{f_d}\in F_{q'},\ q'\geq q.$$

Here $|p-d|$ means $(|p_i-d_i|)_i$. We may assume $0<\epsilon<1$. Suppose that $p_i\neq 0$ for $i=1,\dots,m$. We may assume that d_i is such that $|p_i-d_i|=\epsilon^{\delta_i}p_i$. If δ_i is small enough, then $|d_i|<\frac{1}{n}$. Let $\epsilon^\delta=(\epsilon^{\delta_1},\dots,\epsilon^{\delta_m})$. We have

$$f_n(|p-d|)=f_n(p)f_n(\epsilon^\delta),\quad f_d(|p-d|)=f_d(p)f_d(\epsilon^\delta).$$

By (4.9) we have

$$f_d(p)\prod_{i\in K_f}(v_i-\epsilon)^{a_i} \leq f_n(p) \leq f_d(p)\prod_{i\in K_f}(v_i+\epsilon)^{a_i}.$$

Suppose that

$$f_d(p)\prod_{i\in K_f}(v_i-\epsilon)^{a_i} < f_n(p)$$

(when the equality holds it means $v_i\neq 0$, in that case we consider the inequality

$$\frac{1}{f_d(p)\prod_{i\in K_f}(v_i+\epsilon)^{a_i}} < \frac{1}{f_n(p)}$$

and the proof is similar). We are reduced to prove that for each $n\in\mathbb{N}$ there exists $0<\delta<\frac{1}{n}$ such that

$$f_n(\epsilon^\delta) < f_d(\epsilon^\delta) \qquad f=\frac{f_n}{f_d}\in F_{q'},\quad q'\geq q.$$

Remark that if δ is small enough (and hence ϵ^δ is close enough to 1) then

$$f_d(p)f_d(\epsilon^\delta)\prod_{i\in K_f}(v_i-\epsilon)^{a_i} < f_n(p)f_n(\epsilon^\delta).$$

Setting

$$f_n=\prod_{i\in K_{f_n}} x_i^{a_i},\quad f_d=\prod_{i\in K_{f_d}} x_i^{a_i}$$

and taking $\log_\epsilon$ we are reduced to prove that for each $n\in\mathbb{N}$ there exists $0<\delta<\dfrac{1}{n}$ such that

$$\sum_{i\in K_{f_n}} a_i\delta_i > \sum_{i\in K_{f_d}} a_i\delta_i \qquad f=\frac{f_n}{f_d}\in F_{q'},\quad q'\geq q.$$

We argue by induction on the number of variables m. If $m=1$ the result is easy to prove. We suppose that we proved it for $m'\leq m-1$. Hence we are reduced to solve systems

$$\begin{cases}\displaystyle\sum_{i\in K_{f_n}} a_i\delta_i > \sum_{i\in K_{f_d}} a_i\delta_i\\ \displaystyle\sum_{i\in K_{g_n}} a_i\delta_i > \sum_{i\in K_{g_d}} a_i\delta_i\end{cases}$$

with the exponents $a_i>0$. We may assume that there exists $i_0\in K_{f_n}\cap K_{g_d}$ (if not the system has always solutions). Arguing by induction again, we may assume that $i_0=m$.

$$\begin{cases}\displaystyle\sum_{i\in K_{f_n}\setminus\{m\}} a_i\delta_i + a_n\delta_m > \sum_{i\in K_{f_d}} a_i\delta_i\\ \displaystyle\sum_{i\in K_{g_n}} a_i\delta_i > \sum_{i\in K_{g_d}\setminus\{m\}} a_i\delta_i + a_d\delta_m\end{cases}$$

So, up to normalize the coefficient of δ_m we may reduce to

$$\begin{cases}f'_n(\delta')+\delta_m > f'_d(\delta')\\ g'_n(\delta') > g'_d(\delta')+\delta_m\end{cases}$$

where $\delta'=(\delta_1,\ldots,\delta_{m-1})$. This system has solutions if

$$g'_n(\delta')-g'_d(\delta') > \delta_m > f'_d(\delta')-f'_n(\delta').$$

So we can find a solution δ_m if $(f'_n + g'_n)(\delta') > (f'_d + g'_d)(\delta')$ which follows from the induction hypothesis. Indeed, by definition, $fg = \dfrac{f_n g_n}{f_d g_d} \in F^{q'}$, for some $q' \geq q$. Such a solution belongs to the interval

$$(f'_n + g'_n)(\delta') > \delta_m > (f'_d + g'_d)(\delta').$$

Again by induction we may assume that δ' is small enough to imply $0 < \delta_m < \dfrac{1}{n}$ and the result follows.

Let us consider the case when some p_i is zero. Up to take a permutation of $\{1, \dots, m\}$ we may assume that $p_m = 0$. In this case we assume that $|p_i - d_i| = \epsilon^{\delta_i}$, with $0 < \epsilon < 1$. We argue by induction again. We have to prove that for each $N > 0$ there exists $\delta_m > N$ such that

$$f_d(\epsilon^\delta) \prod_{i \in K_f} (v_i - \epsilon)^{a_i} \leq f_n(\epsilon^\delta) \leq f_d(\epsilon^\delta) \prod_{i \in K_f} (v_i + \epsilon)^{a_i}.$$

with δ_i, $i = 1, \dots, m-1$ is such that $|p_i - \epsilon^{\delta_i}| < \dfrac{1}{n}$. Taking $\log_\epsilon$ as in the previous case we are reduced to solve systems

$$\begin{cases} Q_f^- + \sum\limits_{i \in K_{f_d}} a_i \delta_i > \sum\limits_{i \in K_{f_n} \setminus \{m\}} a_i \delta_i + a_n \delta_m > Q_f^+ + \sum\limits_{i \in K_{f_d}} a_i \delta_i \\ Q_g^- + \sum\limits_{i \in K_{g_d} \setminus \{m\}} a_i \delta_i + a_d \delta_m > \sum\limits_{i \in K_{g_n}} a_i \delta_i > Q_g^+ + \sum\limits_{i \in K_{g_d} \setminus \{m\}} a_i \delta_i + a_d \delta_m \end{cases}$$

where

$$Q_f^+ = \sum \log_\epsilon (v_i + \epsilon)^{a_i}, \qquad Q_f^- = \sum_{i \in K_f} \log_\epsilon (v_i - \epsilon)^{a_i},$$
$$Q_g^+ = \sum \log_\epsilon (v_i + \epsilon)^{a_i}, \qquad Q_g^- = \sum_{i \in K_g} \log_\epsilon (v_i - \epsilon)^{a_i},$$

with the convention $\log_\epsilon (v_i - \epsilon) = +\infty$ if $v_i = 0$. So we may reduce to systems of this kind

$$\begin{cases} f'_n(\delta') + \delta_m > a'_f + f'_d(\delta') \\ g'_n(\delta') > a'_g + g'_d(\delta') + \delta_m \end{cases}$$

where $\delta' = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{m-1})$. This system has solutions if $(f'_n + g'_n)(\delta') > a'_f + a'_g + (f'_d + g'_d)(\delta')$ which follows from the induction hypothesis and a solution belongs to the interval

$$(f'_n + g'_n)(\delta') > \delta_m > a'_f + a'_g + (f'_d + g'_d)(\delta').$$

Again by induction we may assume that δ' is big enough to imply $M < g'_n(\delta')$ and the result follows. Indeed there must be $i \in K_{g_n}$ such that $p_i = 0$ and hence we may assume that δ_i is big enough for such an i.

$\square$

As a consequence of Lemma 4.23 we get a description of the closure of the open sets $S(V,\, \epsilon)$.

Proposition 4.24. *Let $p = (0, \zeta) = (0, \zeta^{(1)}, \dots, \zeta^m) \in S_\chi$. Then for $\epsilon > 0$ and $V \in V(\zeta)$ the closure of*

$$S(V,\, \epsilon) = \left\{ z \in X;\ \begin{array}{l} z \in V,\ |z^{(0)}| < \epsilon,\ v - \epsilon < f\left(|z^{(*)}|\right) < v + \epsilon \\ \text{for any } (f,\, v) \in F^q. \end{array} \right\}$$

is

$$\overline{S(V,\, \epsilon)} = \bigcap_{(f,v) \in K} \left\{ z \in X;\ \begin{array}{l} z \in \overline{V},\ |z^{(0)}| \le \epsilon, \\ (v - \epsilon_f) f_d\left(|z^{(*)}|\right) \le f_n\left(|z^{(*)}|\right) \le (v + \epsilon_f) f_d\left(|z^{(*)}|\right) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Here $f = \dfrac{f_n}{f_d} = \prod f_i^{a_i}$ (f_n, f_d monomials) and $v \pm \epsilon_f = \prod (v_i \pm \epsilon)^{a_i}$, $(f_i, v_i) \in K_f \subseteq F^q$.

Corollary 4.25. *Let $p = (0, \zeta) = (0, \zeta^{(1)}, \dots, \zeta^m) \in S_\chi$. A cofinal family for*

$$\{\overline{S(V,\, \epsilon)},\, \epsilon > 0,\, V \in V(\zeta)\}$$

is given by the sets

$$\bigcap_{(f,v) \in K} \left\{ z \in X;\ \begin{array}{l} z \in \overline{V},\ |z^{(0)}| \le \epsilon, \\ (v - \epsilon) f_d\left(|z^{(*)}|\right) \le f_n\left(|z^{(*)}|\right) \le (v + \epsilon) f_d\left(|z^{(*)}|\right) \end{array} \right\}$$

where $f = \dfrac{f_n}{f_d}$, $(f, v) = (\prod f_i^{a_i}, \prod v_i^{a_i})$, $(f_i, v_i) \in K_f$, $\epsilon > 0$ and $V \in V(\zeta)$.

Remark 4.26. *In general, the closure of* $S(V,\epsilon)$ *is strictly smaller than the set defined by equalities where* $<$ *is replaced with* $\leq$*. One can observe this fact for a clean intersection case:* $Z_1 = \{z_1 = z_3 = 0\}$*,* $Z_2 = \{z_2 = z_3 = 0\}$*,* $Z_3 = \{z_1 = z_2 = z_3 = 0\}$*. Then* $S(V,\epsilon)$ *is defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} |z_3|/|z_1| &< \epsilon \\ |z_3|/|z_2| &< \epsilon \\ |z_1 z_2|/|z_3| &< \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

and $z_k \in G_k$*, where* G_k *is an open cone. Note that this set is relatively compact. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} |z_3| &\leq \epsilon|z_1| \\ |z_3| &\leq \epsilon|z_2| \\ |z_1 z_2| &\leq \epsilon|z_3| \end{aligned}$$

with $z_k \in \overline{G_k}$*, is not compact. In fact, the unbounded set* $z_1 = z_3 = 0$ *and* $z_2 \in G_2$ *is contained in this set. So the set is strictly bigger than the closure of* $S(V,\epsilon)$*. To avoid this, we need to add some extra inequalities.*

Theorem 4.27. *We have*

$$H^k(\rho^{-1}\nu_\chi^{sa}\mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{w}}) = 0 \qquad (k \neq 0). \tag{4.11}$$

Proof. In the proof, we follow the notations used in Subsection 3.1, and we may also assume from the beginning that all the entries of A_χ are non-negative integers and $\sigma_A = 1$. Let $p = (0;\zeta) = (0;\zeta^{(1)},\ldots,\zeta^{(m)}) \in S_\chi$. Define $\widetilde{\zeta} := (\widetilde{\zeta}^{(1)},\ldots,\widetilde{\zeta}^{(m)})$ by $\widetilde{\zeta}^{(k)} = \zeta^{(k)}/|\zeta^{(k)}|$ $(k \notin J_Z(\zeta))$ and $\widetilde{\zeta}^{(k)} = 0$ otherwise. By the observations in this section, we have

$$(\rho^{-1}H^k\nu_\chi^{sa}\mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{w}})_p \simeq \varinjlim_{V,\epsilon} H^k(X;\mathbb{C}_{\overline{S(V,\epsilon)}} \overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_X),$$

where V runs through the family $V(\zeta)$ and $\epsilon > 0$. As the closed subset $\overline{S(V,\epsilon)}$ does not satisfy the "property (λ)" of [4] in general, we need to replace the family $\{\overline{S(V,\epsilon)}\}_{V,\epsilon}$ with an equivalent family of closed analytic polyhedra which, in particular, satisfy the "property (λ)". Then the result immediately follows from the main theorem in [4].

Let us construct an equivalent family. We may assume, in what follows, the cone V is always taken to be an analytic polyhedron. Set

$$\check{N} := \{\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m_{\geq 0};\ \alpha_k = 0\ (k \in J_Z(\zeta))\}$$

and, for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m_{\geq 0}$,

$$h_\alpha(z) := \prod_{1 \leq k \leq m} |z^{(k)}|^{\alpha_k}.$$

We also denote by Θ the set of strictly increasing continuous functions $\theta(t)$ on $(0, +\infty)$ satisfying $\lim\limits_{t \to 0+0} \theta(t) = 0$. By Proposition 4.24 on the closure of $S(V, \epsilon)$, we may assume that each closed subset $\overline{S(V, \epsilon)}$ in the family $\{\overline{S(V, \epsilon)}\}_{V,\epsilon}$ has the following form:

$$\left(\bigcap_{(\alpha,\beta,\theta) \in P} \{z \in \overline{V};\ h_\alpha(z) \leq \theta(\epsilon) h_\beta(z)\} \right) \bigcap \\ \left(\bigcap_{(\gamma,\delta,v,\theta) \in Q} \{z \in \overline{V};\ h_\gamma(z) \leq (v + \theta(\epsilon)) h_\delta(z)\} \right) \bigcap \left\{ |z^{(0)}| \leq \epsilon \right\},$$

where P is a finite subset of $(\mathbb{Z}^m_{\geq 0}) \times \check{N} \times \Theta$ and Q is also a finite subset of $\check{N} \times \check{N} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \Theta$. Note that α belongs to $\mathbb{Z}^m_{\geq 0}$, however, the other β, δ and γ belong to $\check{N}$, which is crucial in the subsequent arguments.

Define the family $\{H(V, \epsilon)\}_{V,\epsilon>0}$ by

$$\{z \in \overline{V};\ h_\alpha(z) \leq \theta(\epsilon) h_\beta(z)\}$$

with a fixed $(\alpha, \beta, \theta) \in (\mathbb{Z}^m_{\geq 0}) \times \check{N} \times \Theta$, and define also the family $\{G(V, \epsilon)\}_{V,\epsilon>0}$ by

$$\{z \in \overline{V};\ h_\gamma(z) \leq (v + \theta(\epsilon)) h_\delta(z)\}$$

with a fixed $(\gamma, \delta, v, \theta) \in \check{N} \times \check{N} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \Theta$.

First we will make an equivalent family $\{\widetilde{H}(\Xi, V, \epsilon)\}_{\Xi,V,\epsilon}$ to the family $\{H(V, \epsilon)\}_{V,\epsilon>0}$ which consists of closed analytic polyhedra as follows: For a vector

$$\xi = (\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(m)}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}^{n_m}$$

with $|\xi^{(k)}| \neq 0$ $(1 \leq k \leq m)$, and for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^m_{\geq 0}$, set

$$\widehat{h}_{\xi,\alpha}(z) := \operatorname{Re} \prod_{1 \leq k \leq m} \left(\frac{\left|\xi^{(k)}\right| \left\langle z^{(k)},\ \overline{\xi^{(k)}} \right\rangle}{\left\langle \xi^{(k)},\ \overline{\xi^{(k)}} \right\rangle} \right)^{\alpha_k},$$

where $\langle a, b\rangle := a_1 b_1 + \cdots + a_r b_r$ for $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_r)$ and $b = (b_1, \ldots, b_r)$ and $\overline{a}$ denotes the complex conjugate of a complex vector a. Then we can easily confirm the following facts: For any $z \in X$, we have

$$\widehat{h}_{\xi,\alpha}(z) \leq (\sqrt{n})^{|\alpha|} h_\alpha(z). \tag{4.12}$$

Furthermore, for $\beta \in \check{N}$ and $V \in V(\zeta) = V(\widetilde{\zeta})$, there exists constant $\kappa > 1$ satisfying

$$\kappa^{-1} h_\beta(z) \leq \widehat{h}_{\widetilde{\zeta},\beta}(z) \leq \kappa h_\beta(z) \qquad (z \in \overline{V}). \tag{4.13}$$

Note that, here, if V becomes smaller as a cone, then we can take the above κ to be closer to 1.

Let $\Xi = \{\Xi_k\}_{k \in J_Z(\zeta)}$ be a family of the sets of vectors where each set Ξ_k $(k \in J_Z(\zeta))$ consists of finitely many vectors $\xi^{(k)}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n_k}$ with $|\xi^{(k)}| = 1$. Then we define the closed analytic polyhedra $\widetilde{H}(\Xi, V, \epsilon)$ by

$$\bigcap_{\xi} \left\{ z \in \overline{V};\ \widehat{h}_{\xi,\alpha}(z) \leq \epsilon \widehat{h}_{\widetilde{\zeta},\beta}(z) \right\},$$

where the vector $\xi = (\xi^{(1)}, \ldots, \xi^{(m)})$ runs through the ones satisfying $\xi^{(k)} = \widetilde{\zeta}^{(k)}$ for $k \notin J_Z(\zeta)$ and $\xi^{(k)} \in \Xi_k$ for $k \in J_Z(\zeta)$. We can easily confirm that, by (4.12) and (4.13), the family $\{\widetilde{H}(\Xi, V, \epsilon)\}_{\Xi,V,\epsilon}$ and the one $\{H(V, \epsilon)\}_{V,\epsilon}$ are equivalent.

For an equivalent family to the family $\{G(V, \epsilon)\}_{V,\epsilon>0}$, by noticing the remark after (4.13), we can construct an equivalent family $\{\widetilde{G}(V, \epsilon)\}_{V,\epsilon}$ of $\{G(V, \epsilon)\}_{V,\epsilon>0}$ consisting of closed analytic polyhedra by

$$\widetilde{G}(V, \epsilon) := \left\{ z \in \overline{V};\ \widehat{h}_{\widetilde{\zeta},\gamma}(z) \leq (v + \epsilon) \widehat{h}_{\widetilde{\zeta},\delta}(z) \right\}.$$

Hence we have obtained a required equivalent family of $\{\overline{S(V, \epsilon)}\}_{V,\epsilon}$ and the theorem follows. □

Theorem 4.28. *Let $p \in S_\chi$ be a point outside fixed points. Assume that the associated action μ is non-degenerate. Then we have*

$$H^k(\rho^{-1}\nu_\chi^{sa}\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_{X|X\setminus\bigcup_k Z})_p = 0 \qquad (k \neq 0).$$

Let $p = (0;\, \zeta) = (0;\, \zeta^{(1)},\, \dots, \zeta^{(m)})$. Let φ_k $(1 \le k \le m)$ be the monomials associated with the action μ. We may assume from the beginning that all the entries of A_χ are non-negative integers and $\sigma_A = 1$. Since μ is non-degenerate and p is outside fixed points, we have $\operatorname{Rank} A_\chi = \ell \le m$ and we may assume that the first $\ell \times \ell$ sub-matrix in A_χ is invertible and $\zeta^{(k)} \neq 0$ holds for $1 \le k \le \ell$.

Now we prove this theorem after some preparations. We regard

$$w = (w_1, \dots, w_\ell) = \varphi(t) = (\varphi_1(t),\, \dots,\, \varphi_\ell(t))$$

as a holomorphic map from $\mathbb{C}^\ell_t$ to $\mathbb{C}^\ell_w$. Set

$$D := \{w_1 w_2 \cdots w_\ell = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^n_w \text{ and } L := \{t_1 \cdots t_\ell = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^n_t.$$

Then it is easy to see

$$\varphi^{-1}(D) = L.$$

Furthermore, as $w = \varphi(t)$ has the inverse $t = \varphi^{-1}(w)$ consisting of monomials of rational powers, the map

$$\varphi|_{\mathbb{C}^n_t \setminus L} : (\mathbb{C}^n_t \setminus L) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n_w \setminus D)$$

is a finite covering.

Let Ξ be the set of open poly-sectors $V = V_1 \times V_2 \times \cdots \times V_\ell$ in $\mathbb{C}^\ell$ where each V_k is an open proper sector in $\mathbb{C}$ and $1 \in V_k$. We denote by $\hat{A}_\chi$ the sub-matrix of A_χ consisting of the leftmost ℓ columns which is, by the assumption, invertible. Then, as

$$\arg(w) = (\arg(w_1), \dots, \arg(w_\ell)) = \arg(t)\hat{A}_\chi \qquad (t \in \mathbb{C}^\ell \setminus L), \tag{4.14}$$

holds, we have the following:

For any $V \in \Xi$, there exists $V', W \in \Xi$ such that $V' \subset \varphi(W) \subset V$.

Remember that n_k is dimension of the k-th coordinates block. Now, by a linear coordinates transformation on each coordinate blocks, we may assume that each direction $\zeta^{(k)}$ has a form

$$(|\zeta^{(k)}|,\, 0,\, \dots,\, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^{n_k} \qquad (1 \le k \le m).$$

Furthermore we may assume $|\zeta^{(k)}| = 1$ for $1 \le k \le \ell$ by considering normalization of ζ. Set

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} Y = & \mathbb{C}^{n_0} & \times & \left(\displaystyle\mathop{\times}_{1\le k\le\ell} \mathbb{C}^{n_k-1}\right) & \times & \left(\displaystyle\mathop{\times}_{\ell<k\le m} \mathbb{C}^{n_k}\right) & \times & \mathbb{C}^{\ell}. \\ & \big(z^{(0)} & , & z_*^{(1)}, \dots, z_*^{(\ell)} & , & z^{(\ell+1)}, \cdots, z^{(m)} & , & t\big). \end{array}$$

Then define the map $f : Y \to X$ by

$$\begin{aligned} &\big(z^{(0)},\ z_*^{(1)}, \dots, z_*^{(\ell)},\ z^{(\ell+1)}, \cdots, z^{(m)},\ t\big) \\ &\quad \longrightarrow \big(z^{(0)},\ \varphi_1(t)(1, z_*^{(1)}), \dots, \varphi_\ell(t)(1, z_*^{(\ell)}),\ \varphi_{\ell+1}(t) z^{(\ell+1)}, \cdots, \varphi_m(t) z^{(m)}\big) \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $z_1^{(k)}$ the first coordinate of the coordinates block $z^{(k)}$ and by $z_*^{(k)}$ the rest, i.e., $z^{(k)} = (z_1^{(k)},\, z_*^{(k)})$. Define the subset in X by

$$T_X := \{z_1^{(1)} z_1^{(2)} \cdots z_1^{(\ell)} = 0\} \subset X$$

and the one in Y by

$$T_Y := \{t_1 t_2 \cdots t_\ell = 0\} \subset Y.$$

By the previous observations and by noticing the fact $\varphi_k(t) \neq 0$ if $t_1 \dots t_\ell \neq 0$, we have

$$f^{-1}(T_X) = T_Y$$

and

the map $f|_{Y \setminus T_Y}$ is a finite covering over $X \setminus T_X$.

Furthermore, we have

$$Z_1 \cup Z_2 \cup \cdots \cup Z_\ell = f(T_Y) \subset T_X.$$

Let $\epsilon_Y > 0$ and $V_Y \in \Xi$. Then define the open subset $S_Y(V_Y,\, \epsilon_Y)$ in Y by

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} |z^{(0)}| < \epsilon_Y,\ \ |z_*^{(k)}| < \epsilon_Y\ (1 \le k \le \ell) \\ |z^{(k)} - \zeta^{(k)}| < \epsilon_Y\ (\ell < k \le m) \\ t \in V_Y,\ |t_k| < \epsilon_Y\ (1 \le k \le \ell) \end{array}\right\}.$$

We first show the following lemma:

Lemma 4.29. *For a multicone $S_X(V_X,\, \epsilon_X) \subset X$ with $\epsilon_X > 0$ and $V_X \in V(\zeta)$, we can find some $S_Y(V_Y,\, \epsilon_Y)$ with $\epsilon_Y > 0$ and $V_Y \in \Xi$ such that*

$$f(S_Y(V_Y,\, \epsilon_Y)) \subset S_X(V_X,\, \epsilon_X).$$

Proof. In fact, if ϵ_Y is sufficiently small and V_Y is sufficient thin, then clearly $f(S_Y(V_Y,\, \epsilon_Y)) \subset V_X$ holds. As we have

$$\varphi_k^{-1}(\varphi_1(t)(1, z_*^{(1)}), \dots, \varphi_\ell(t)(1, z_*^{(\ell)})) = t_k \varphi_k^{-1}((1, z_*^{(1)}), \dots, (1, z_*^{(\ell)})),$$

and as $|\varphi_k^{-1}((1, z_*^{(1)}), \dots, (1, z_*^{(\ell)}))|$ is closed to 1, we see that $|\varphi_k^{-1}| < \epsilon_X$ holds at a point in $f(S_Y(V_Y,\, \epsilon_Y))$. In the same way, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\psi_k(\varphi_1(t)(1, z_*^{(1)}), \dots, \varphi_\ell(t)(1, z_*^{(\ell)}), \varphi_{\ell+1}(t) z^{(\ell+1)}, \cdots, \varphi_m(t) z^{(m)}) \\ &\qquad = z^{(k)}/\varphi_k(\varphi^{-1}((1, z_*^{(1)}), \dots, (1, z_*^{(\ell)})). \end{aligned}$$

Since $|\varphi_k(\varphi^{-1}((1, z_*^{(1)}), \dots, (1, z_*^{(\ell)}))|$ is very close to 1 and $|z^{(k)}|$ is nearly $|\zeta^{(k)}|$, we know that

$$|\zeta^{(k)}| - \epsilon_X < |\psi_k| < |\zeta^{(k)}| + \epsilon_X$$

holds at a point in $f(S_Y(V_Y,\, \epsilon_Y))$. Hence we have obtained the desired inclusion. □

On the other hand, we have the inverse of f defined on a fixed $S_X(V_X,\, \epsilon_X)$ ($\epsilon_X > 0$ and $V_X \in V(\zeta)$), which is explicitly given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\big(z^{(0)},\ z^{(1)}, \dots, z^{(m)}\big) \in X \\ &\quad \longrightarrow \big(z^{(0)},\ z_*^{(1)}/z_1^{(1)}, \dots, z_*^{(\ell)}/z_1^{(\ell)},\ z^{(\ell+1)}/\varphi_{\ell+1}(t), \cdots, z^{(m)}/\varphi_m(t),\, t\big) \in Y, \end{aligned}$$

where t is determined by

$$t_k = \varphi_k^{-1}(z_1^{(1)}, z_1^{(2)}, \dots, z_1^{(\ell)}) \quad (1 \le k \le \ell). \tag{4.15}$$

Lemma 4.30. *For a given* $S_Y(V_Y,\, \epsilon_Y)$ *with* $\epsilon_Y > 0$ *and* $V_Y \in \Xi$*, we can find* $S_X(V'_X,\, \epsilon'_X)$ *with* $0 < \epsilon'_X < \epsilon_X$ *and* $V'_X \subset V_X$ *in* $V(\zeta)$ *such that*

$$f^{-1}(S_X(V'_X,\, \epsilon'_X)) \subset S_Y(V_Y,\, \epsilon_Y).$$

Proof. This is shown by the same argument as the one in the previous lemma. First we note that, for any $\delta > 0$, if we take $V'_X \in V(\zeta)$ sufficiently thin, then we have

$$(1-\delta)|z_1^{(k)}| \le |z^{(k)}| \le (1+\delta)|z_1^{(k)}| \qquad (1 \le k \le m) \tag{4.16}$$

hold on this V'_X.

It follows from (4.14) and (4.15) that we have $t \in V_Y$ if ϵ'_X is sufficiently small and V'_X is thin. Furthermore, $z \in S_X(V'_X,\, \epsilon'_X)$ implies $\varphi_k^{-1}(|z^{(*)}|) < \epsilon'_X$ for $1 \le k \le \ell$. Hence, by noticing (4.16), we get $|t_k| < \epsilon_Y$.

For $1 \le k \le \ell$, as $z^{(k)}$ is a point in a cone in $\mathbb{C}^{n_k}$ with direction $(1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, by (4.16), we have

$$|z_*^{(k)}/z_1^{(k)}| < \epsilon_Y \qquad (1 \le k \le \ell).$$

Since $|\zeta^{(k)}| - \epsilon'_X < \psi_k(|z^{(*)}|) < |\zeta^{(k)}| + \epsilon'_X$ $(\ell < k \le m)$ follows from $z \in S_X(V'_X,\, \epsilon'_X)$, by taking (4.16) into account, for any $\delta' > 0$, we get

$$(1-\delta')|\zeta^{(k)}| < |z^{(k)}/t_k| < (1+\delta')|\zeta^{(k)}| \qquad (\ell < k \le m)$$

if $\epsilon'_X > 0$ is taken to be so small and V'_X is so thin. Hence, since $z \in V'_X$, in particular, $z^{(k)}$ belongs to a thin cone in $\mathbb{C}^{n_k}$ with direction $\zeta^{(k)}$ and since $\arg(t_k)$ is nearly 0, we conclude

$$|z^{(k)}/t_k - \zeta^{(k)}| < \epsilon_Y.$$

This completes the proof. □

For any $V_Y \in \Xi$, since $Z_1 \cup \cdots \cup Z_\ell = f(T_Y) \subset T_X$ and f is locally isomorphic outside T_Y, we have

$$\overline{f\left((\mathbb{C}^{n-\ell} \times V_Y) \cap U\right)} \cap T_X = \overline{f\left((\mathbb{C}^{n-\ell} \times V_Y) \cap U\right)} \cap (Z_1 \cup Z_2 \cup \ldots Z_\ell)$$

for a bounded open subset U in Y. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\overline{S_Y(V_Y,\,\epsilon_Y)}\setminus T_Y\right) &= f\left(\overline{S_Y(V_Y,\,\epsilon_Y)}\right)\setminus T_X \\ &= \overline{f\left(S_Y(V_Y,\,\epsilon_Y)\right)}\setminus T_X \\ &= \overline{f(S_Y(V_Y,\,\epsilon_Y))}\setminus (Z_1\cup\cdots\cup Z_\ell). \end{aligned}$$

Set $S_Y := S_Y(V_Y,\,\epsilon_Y)$ for short. Then, as $f|_{\overline{S_Y}\setminus T_Y}$ is an isomorphism onto its image, we have

$$Rf_!\mathbb{C}_{\overline{S_Y}\setminus T_Y} = \mathbb{C}_{f\left(\overline{S_Y}\setminus T_Y\right)} = \mathbb{C}_{\overline{f(S_Y)}\setminus(Z_1\cup\cdots\cup Z_\ell)}.$$

Hence it follows from Theorem 5.7 of [8] that we have

(4.17)
$$R\Gamma(Y;R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_Y}(\mathcal{D}_{Y\xrightarrow{f}X},\mathbb{C}_{\overline{S_Y}\setminus T_Y}\overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes}\mathcal{O}_Y)\simeq R\Gamma(X;\mathbb{C}_{\overline{f(S_Y)}\setminus(Z_1\cup\cdots\cup Z_\ell)}\overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes}\mathcal{O}_X).$$

Since f is locally isomorphic outside T_Y, its Jacobian J_f does not vanish outside T_Y. Therefore, for any point $p\in Y$, J_f has the form $g\ t_1^{\alpha_1}t_2^{\alpha_2}\cdots t_\ell^{\alpha_\ell}$ for some multi-index $\alpha=(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_\ell)\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\ell}$ and some holomorphic function g with $g(p)\neq 0$.

Let $h := t_1t_2\cdots t_\ell$, and let $\mathcal{O}_{Y,h}$ denote the sheaf of meromorphic functions whose poles are contained in T_Y. (i.e., $\mathcal{O}_{Y,h}=\mathcal{O}_Y[t_1^{-1},\,\dots,\,t_\ell^{-1}]$). We set $\mathcal{D}_{Y,h} := \mathcal{O}_{Y,h}\underset{\mathcal{O}_Y}{\otimes}\mathcal{D}_Y$. Since J_f is invertible in $\mathcal{O}_{Y,h}$, we have

$$\mathcal{D}_{Y,h}\underset{\mathcal{D}_Y}{\otimes}\mathcal{D}_{Y\xrightarrow{f}X}\simeq\mathcal{D}_{Y,h}. \tag{4.18}$$

(See p. 479 in [6] also). As $\mathbb{C}_{\overline{S_Y}\setminus T_Y}\overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes}\mathcal{O}_Y$ is a $\mathcal{D}_{Y,h}$-module and $\mathcal{D}_{Y,h}$ is flat over $\mathcal{D}_Y$, we have

$$R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_Y}(\mathcal{D}_{Y\xrightarrow{f}X},\mathbb{C}_{\overline{S_Y}\setminus T_Y}\overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes}\mathcal{O}_Y)\simeq R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_{Y,h}}(\mathcal{D}_{Y,h}\underset{\mathcal{D}_Y}{\otimes}\mathcal{D}_{Y\xrightarrow{f}X},\mathbb{C}_{\overline{S_Y}\setminus T_Y}\overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes}\mathcal{O}_Y),$$

and hence, by (4.17) and (4.18), we finally obtain

$$R\Gamma(Y;\mathbb{C}_{\overline{S_Y}\setminus T_Y}\overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes}\mathcal{O}_Y)\simeq R\Gamma(X;\mathbb{C}_{\overline{f(S_Y)}\setminus(Z_1\cup\cdots\cup Z_\ell)}\overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes}\mathcal{O}_X). \tag{4.19}$$

Now we are ready to give the proof of the theorem.

Proof. By the fiber formula, it suffices to show

$$\begin{aligned}
&H^k(\rho^{-1}\nu_\chi^{sa}(\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_{X|X\setminus\bigcup_k Z}))_p \\
&\quad= \varinjlim_{\epsilon_X>0,\, V_X\in V(\zeta)} H^k(S_X(V_X,\,\epsilon_X);\,\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_{X|X\setminus\bigcup_k Z}) \\
&\quad= \varinjlim_{\epsilon_X>0,\, V_X\in V(\zeta)} H^k(X;\mathbb{C}_{\overline{S_X(V_X,\,\epsilon_X)}\setminus(Z_1\cup\cdots\cup Z_\ell)} \overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_X) = 0 \quad (k\neq 0).
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemmas 4.29 and 4.30 and (4.19), the claim is equivalent to

$$\varinjlim_{\epsilon_Y>0,\, V_Y\in\Xi} H^k(Y;\mathbb{C}_{\overline{S_Y(V_Y,\,\epsilon_Y)}\setminus T_Y} \overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_Y) = 0 \quad (k\neq 0).$$

Recall that V_Y has a form $V_1\times\cdots\times V_\ell$ where each V_k is a proper open sector in $\mathbb{C}$ containing 1. Since we have

$$\overline{S_Y(V_Y,\,\epsilon_Y)}\setminus T_Y = B\times(\overline{V_1}\setminus\{0\})\times\cdots\times(\overline{V_\ell}\setminus\{0\}),$$

where B is a closed convex set in $\mathbb{C}^{n-\ell}$, it follows from the topological tensor product formula in [8] that we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&R\Gamma(Y;\mathbb{C}_{\overline{S_Y(V_Y,\,\epsilon_Y)}\setminus T_Y} \overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_Y) \\
&\quad= R\Gamma(\mathbb{C}^{n-\ell};\mathbb{C}_B \overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes} \mathcal{O})\widehat{\boxtimes}R\Gamma(\mathbb{C};\mathbb{C}_{\overline{V_1}\setminus\{0\}} \overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes} \mathcal{O})\widehat{\boxtimes}\cdots\widehat{\boxtimes}R\Gamma(\mathbb{C};\mathbb{C}_{\overline{V_\ell}\setminus\{0\}} \overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes} \mathcal{O}).
\end{aligned}$$

As B is a convex closed set, $R\Gamma(\mathbb{C}^{n-\ell};\mathbb{C}_B \overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes} \mathcal{O})$ is concentrated in degree 0 and the 0-th cohomology group is naturally equipped with **FN** topology. For $1\leq k\leq\ell$, $R\Gamma(\mathbb{C};\mathbb{C}_{\overline{V_k}\setminus\{0\}} \overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes} \mathcal{O})$ is also known to be concentrated in degree 0 (see, for example, Lemma 8.5 [6]) and the 0-th cohomology group has **FN** topology. Hence we can conclude that $R\Gamma(Y;\mathbb{C}_{\overline{S_Y(V_Y,\,\epsilon_Y)}\setminus T_Y} \overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_Y)$ is concentrated in degree 0. This completes the proof. □

Thanks to Theorems 4.27 and 4.28 we obtain the following result

Theorem 4.31. *Assume the associated action μ is non-degenerate. Then the distinguished triangle* (4.8) *induces an exact sequence (outside the fixed points)*

(4.20)

$$0\to\rho^{-1}H^0\nu_\chi^{sa}\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_{X|X\setminus\bigcup_k Z}\to\rho^{-1}H^0\nu_\chi^{sa}\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_X\to\rho^{-1}H^0\nu_\chi^{sa}\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_{X|\bigcup_k Z}\to 0.$$

All the complexes $\rho^{-1}\nu_\chi^{sa}\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_{X|X\setminus\bigcup_k Z}$, $\rho^{-1}\nu_\chi^{sa}\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_{X}$ *and* $\rho^{-1}\nu_\chi^{sa}\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_{X|\bigcup_k Z}$ *are concentrated in degree zero.*

Note that, by Theorem 4.27, the complex $\rho^{-1}\nu_\chi^{sa}\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_{X}$ is always concentrated in degree zero even if the action μ is degenerate and the point p is in the fixed points.

Let us summarize our previous results. We assume $X = \mathbb{C}^n$. Recall that the set of points in S_χ outside the fixed points is denoted by S_χ°. Let W be an $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$-conic subanalytic open subset in S_χ°. Let us consider the multi-specialization of Whitney holomorphic functions. We have

$$\Gamma(W;\rho^{-1}H^0\nu_\chi^{sa}\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_{X|X\setminus\bigcup_k Z}) \simeq \varprojlim_{V'}\varinjlim_{U'}\Gamma(U';\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_{X|X\setminus\bigcup_k Z}),$$

$$\Gamma(W;\rho^{-1}H^0\nu_\chi^{sa}\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_{X}) \simeq \varprojlim_{V'}\varinjlim_{U'}\Gamma(U';\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_{X}),$$

$$\Gamma(W;\rho^{-1}H^0\nu_\chi^{sa}\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_{X|\bigcup_k Z}) \simeq \varprojlim_{V'}\varinjlim_{U'}\Gamma(U';\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_{X|\bigcup_k Z}),$$

where V' ranges through a family of $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$-conic subanalytic open subsets which are compactly generated in W, U' ranges through the family of $\mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$ such that $C_\chi(X\setminus U')\cap V' = \emptyset$. Here we say that V' is compactly generated in W if there exists a compact subset $K\subset W$ with $V'\subset(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell K$.

Recall that the classical sheaf $\mathcal{A}_\chi^{<0}$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}_\chi$, resp. $\mathcal{A}_\chi^{CF}$) on S_χ° was defined in Subsection 3.7. For $\{S_p\}_{p\in W}\in\mathcal{S}(W)$ (for these definitions, see Subsection 3.7) and a compactly generated $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$-conic subset V' in W, we can find finitely many points $P\subset W$ such that

$$C_\chi\left(X\setminus(\bigcup_{p\in P}S_p)\right)\cap V' = \emptyset.$$

Hence, by Theorems 3.37, 3.45 and 3.49, the identity morphism induces morphisms of presheaves on S_χ°

$$\begin{array}{rcl}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_\chi^{<0} & \to & \rho^{-1}H^0\nu_\chi^{sa}\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_{X|X\setminus\bigcup_k Z},\\ \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_\chi & \to & \rho^{-1}H^0\nu_\chi^{sa}\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_{X},\\ \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_\chi^{CF} & \to & \rho^{-1}H^0\nu_\chi^{sa}\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_{X|\bigcup_k Z}.\end{array}$$

Since the above morphisms are isomorphisms in the stalks, we have obtained the isomorphisms of the sheaves on S_χ°

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_\chi^{<0} &\simeq \rho^{-1}H^0\nu_\chi^{sa}\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_{X|X\setminus\bigcup_k Z},\\ \mathcal{A}_\chi &\simeq \rho^{-1}H^0\nu_\chi^{sa}\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_{X},\\ \mathcal{A}_\chi^{CF} &\simeq \rho^{-1}H^0\nu_\chi^{sa}\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_{X|\bigcup_k Z}.\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 4.31, on S_χ°, we have the exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \to \mathcal{A}_\chi^{<0} \to \mathcal{A}_\chi \to \mathcal{A}_\chi^{CF} \to 0, \tag{4.21}$$

when the associated action μ is non-degenerate. The above exact sequence (4.21) is a generalization of the Borel-Ritt exact sequence for multi-asymptotically developable functions.

4.6 Vanishing theorems for tempered holomorphic functions

We are now going to consider the vanishing of the cohomology for the multi-specialization of the sheaf of tempered holomorphic functions of [9]. Let X be a real analytic manifold ($X = \mathbb{R}^n$ in the local model). Let $\mathcal{D}b_X$ denote the sheaf of distributions on X.

Definition 4.32. *One denotes by $\mathcal{D}b_X^t$ the presheaf of tempered distributions on X_{sa} defined as follows:*

$$U \mapsto \Gamma(X; \mathcal{D}b_X)/\Gamma_{M\setminus U}(X; \mathcal{D}b_X).$$

As a consequence of the Łojasievicz's inequalities [10], for $U, V \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$ the sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{D}b_X^t(U \cup V) \to \mathcal{D}b_X^t(U) \oplus \mathcal{D}b_X^t(V) \to \mathcal{D}b_X^t(U \cap V) \to 0$$

is exact. This implies that $\mathcal{D}b_X^t$ is a sheaf on X_{sa}. Moreover it follows by definition that $\mathcal{D}b_X^t$ is quasi-injective.

Let X be a complex manifold ($X = \mathbb{C}^n$ in the local model) and let $X_\mathbb{R}$ denote the underlying real analytic manifold of X. One denotes by $\mathcal{O}_X^t$ the sheaf defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{O}_X^t := R\mathcal{H}om_{\rho_!\mathcal{D}_{\overline{X}}}(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}, \mathcal{D}b^t_{X_\mathbb{R}}).$$

Let U be an open subset in X. Then $H^0(U; \mathcal{O}_X^t)$ consists of tempered distributions on U that satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann system.

Let $\chi = \{Z_1, \dots, Z_\ell\}$ be a family of complex submanifolds of X. Theorem 4.27 holds for $\mathcal{O}_X^t$ and $\mathcal{O}_X$ (the sheaf of holomorphic functions).

Theorem 4.33. *Under the same geometrical situation as in Theorem 4.27, we have*

$$H^k(\rho^{-1}\nu_\chi^{sa}\mathcal{O}_X^t) = 0 \qquad (k \neq 0), \tag{4.22}$$

and

$$H^k(\nu_\chi \mathcal{O}_X) = 0 \qquad (k \neq 0). \tag{4.23}$$

Proof. We may assume that all the entries of A_χ are non-negative integers and $\sigma_A = 1$. Let $p = (0; \zeta) \in S_\chi$ and H a finite subset in $\mathcal{H}_\tau \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ for which $[H]$ and $\mathcal{G}$ are equivalent. It follows from the fiber formula that

$$(\rho^{-1}H^k\nu_\chi^{sa}\mathcal{O}_X^t)_p \simeq \varinjlim_{V, \{\epsilon\}} H^k(S_H(V, \{\epsilon\}); \mathcal{O}_X^t),$$

where V runs through $V(\zeta)$ and $\{\epsilon\}$ ranges through families of positive real numbers. We may additionally assume that H satisfies $H = Q(H)$, and thus, a multicone $S_H(V, \{\epsilon\})$ has the form

$$\left\{ z \in X; \begin{array}{l} z \in V,\ |z^{(0)}| < \epsilon_0, \\ f\left(|z^{(*)}|\right) < v + \epsilon_{f,+} \text{ for any } (f,\, v) \in H \end{array} \right\} \tag{4.24}$$

for a convex cone $V \in V(\zeta)$ and a family $\{\epsilon\}$ of positive real numbers. To prove the theorem, it suffices to show $S_H(V, \{\epsilon\})$ to be Stein. Set

$$Y = \{\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m;\ \eta_k \neq 0\ (k \notin J_Z(\zeta))\}.$$

Then, for each $(f, v) \in H$, $f(\eta)$ is a holomorphic function on Y as $\nu_k(f) \geq 0$ holds for $k \in J_Z(\zeta)$. Let us consider the set

$$\begin{aligned} Y_H &:= \{\eta \in Y;\ |f(\eta)| < v + \epsilon_{f,+} \text{ for any } (f,\, v) \in H\} \\ &= \bigcap_{(f,\, v) \in H} f^{-1}(D_{v+\epsilon_{f,+}}). \end{aligned}$$

Here $D_\delta \subset \mathbb{C}$ denotes the open disk with radius $\delta > 0$ and center at the origin, and each f is regarded as a holomorphic map from Y to $\mathbb{C}$. Then Y_H becomes a Stein open subset because the inverse image of a Stein subset by a holomorphic map on a Stein subset is again Stein and Y itself is a Stein subset in $\mathbb{C}^m$. Let Θ be the set of projections

$$p(z) = (z_{i_1}, \ldots, z_{i_m}) \in \mathbb{C}^m, \qquad z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$$

from $\mathbb{C}^n$ to $\mathbb{C}^m$ such that $z_{i_k} \in z^{(k)}$ $(k = 1, 2, \ldots, m)$. Note that Θ is a finite set. Then, by noticing Remark 3.1, we have

$$S_H(V, \{\epsilon\}) = \left\{ z \in V;\, |z^{(0)}| < \epsilon_0 \right\} \bigcap \left(\bigcap_{p \in \Theta} p^{-1}(Y_H) \right),$$

which shows that $S_H(V, \{\epsilon\})$ is Stein. This completes the proof. $\square$

5 Examples

In order to understand the previous constructions, in this section we construct several examples of multi-specialization and the associated multi-asymptotics. We shall work in the local model, in particular we are going to consider examples in $\mathbb{C}^n$ starting from the matrices defining the actions and the associated multi-normal deformations.

5.1 Majima's asymptotics

Let us consider $X = \mathbb{C}^n$ with coordinates $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n)$. We are going to check how our previous constructions permit to obtain strongly asymptotically developable functions in the sense of Majima [11]. Let $\chi = \{Z_1, \ldots, Z_n\}$, $Z_i = \{z_i = 0\}$, $Z = \bigcap_{i=1}^n Z_i$. Set $\widetilde{X} = \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and consider the action

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\chi : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n &\to \mathbb{C}^n, \\ (z_1, \ldots, z_n, t_1, \ldots, t_n) &\mapsto (z_1 t_1, \ldots, z_n t_n). \end{aligned}$$

The monomials φ_i, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, defining the action are $\varphi_i(t) = t_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and the associated matrix A_χ is nothing but the identity matrix.

By Proposition 1.10 the zero section S_χ of $\widetilde{X}$ has a vector bundle structure

$$S_\chi \simeq T_{Z_1}X \underset{X}{\times} \cdots \underset{X}{\times} T_{Z_n}X.$$

Following the notations of Section 2 we are going to study the family of multicones $C(\xi)$ associated to a point $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in S_\chi$, $\xi_i \neq 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. First of all we easily see that the rational monomials φ_j^{-1}, $j = 1, \dots, n$ are $\varphi_j^{-1}(\tau) = \tau_j$ (no monomials ψ_k appear since A_χ is an invertible square matrix), and hence $F^0 = \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n\}$. A cofinal family of $C(\xi)$ is $C(\xi, F^0)$ defined as follows

$$\left\{ (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in X; \begin{array}{l} z_i \in W_i \quad (k = 1, \dots, n), \\ |z_i| < \epsilon \end{array} \right\},$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ and each W_i is an $\mathbb{R}^+$-conic open subset in $T_{Z_i}X \simeq \mathbb{C}$ containing the point ξ_i. It means that a cofinal family of $C(\xi)$ is given by the family of multisectors in $\mathbb{C}^n$ containing the direction ξ.

We are now ready to compute the fibers of the specialization of Whitney holomorphic functions. Let $\mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{w}}$ be the subanalytic sheaf of Whitney holomorphic functions on X. Let $\xi \in S_\chi \simeq \mathbb{C}^n$. Then

$$H^0(\rho^{-1}\nu_\chi^{sa}\mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{w}})_\xi \simeq \varinjlim_{S} H^0(S; \mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{w}}) \simeq \varinjlim_{S} \varprojlim_{S'} H^0(S'; \mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{w}}),$$

where $S = S_1 \times \cdots \times S_n$ ranges through the family of multisectors containing ξ and $S' = S'_1 \times \cdots \times S'_n$ ranges through the family of multisectors properly contained in S (i.e. $\overline{S'_i} \setminus \{0\} \subset S_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$). By Theorem 3.37,

$$\varprojlim_{S'} H^0(S'; \mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{w}}) \simeq \Gamma(S; \mathcal{A}_\chi),$$

where $\mathcal{A}_\chi$ denotes the sheaf of strongly asymptotically developable functions in the sense of Majima [11]. Moreover $H^k(\rho^{-1}\nu_\chi^{sa}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{w}})) = 0$, $k \neq 0$, in view of Theorem 4.27, hence we get the isomorphism of sheaves (outside the fixed points of S_χ)

$$\rho^{-1}\nu_\chi^{sa}\mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{w}} \simeq \mathcal{A}_\chi.$$

Moreover, following the notations of Section 4, by Theorem 4.31 the sequence

$$0 \to \rho^{-1}\nu^{sa}_{\chi}\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_{X|X\setminus\bigcup_k Z} \to \rho^{-1}\nu^{sa}_{\chi}\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_{X} \to \rho^{-1}\nu^{sa}_{\chi}\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_{X|\bigcup_k Z} \to 0$$

is exact. This corresponds to the sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{A}^{<0}_{\chi} \to \mathcal{A}_{\chi} \to \mathcal{A}^{CF}_{\chi} \to 0,$$

where $\mathcal{A}^{<0}_{\chi}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{CF}_{\chi}$ denote the sheaves of flat asymptotics and consistent families of coefficients respectively. This is nothing but the Borel-Ritt exact sequence for strongly asymptotically developable holomorphic functions.

5.2 Examples in $\mathbb{C}^2$

Let us consider some interesting cases in $\mathbb{C}^2$ with variables $z = (z_1, z_2)$. We are going to consider the normal deformation (and the associated multi-specialization and asymptotics) for some interesting cases.

✓ **Majima asymptotics.** In this case

$$A_{\chi} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have $Z_i = \{z_i = 0\}$, $i = 1, 2$. Then we can define a normal deformation $\widetilde{X} = \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ with the map $p(z; t) = (t_1 z_1, t_2 z_2)$. By Proposition 1.10 the zero section S_{χ} of $\widetilde{X}$ has a vector bundle structure

$$S_{\chi} \simeq T_{Z_1}X \underset{X}{\times} T_{Z_2}X.$$

The rational monomials φ_j^{-1}, $j = 1, 2$, are $\varphi_1^{-1}(\tau) = \tau_1$, $\varphi_2^{-1}(\tau) = \tau_2$. Let $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2)$, $\xi_i \neq 0$, $i = 1, 2$. A cofinal family of $C(\xi)$ is $C(\xi, F^0)$ consisting of elements $S(W, \epsilon)$, $W = W_1 \times W_2$, defined as follows

$$S(W, \epsilon) = \left\{ z \in X; \begin{array}{ll} z_k \in W_k & (k = 1, 2), \\ |z_i| < \epsilon & (i = 1, 2) \end{array} \right\},$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ and each W_k is an $\mathbb{R}^+$-conic open subset in $\mathbb{C}$ containing the point ξ_k.

We now construct the asymptotics. We have $S_{\{1,2\}} = \{0\}$ and, for $i \neq j \in \{1,2\}$

$$S_{\{i\}} = \left\{ z \in Z_i ; \begin{array}{c} z_j \in W_j, \\ |z_j| < \epsilon \end{array} \right\}.$$

A total family of coefficients of multi-asymptotic expansion is given by

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \{F_{\{1\}}, F_{\{2\}}, F_{\{1,2\}}\} \\ &= \left\{ \{f_{\{1\},k}(z_2)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\{2\},k}(z_1)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\{1,2\},\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $f_{\{1\},k}(z_2)$ (resp. $f_{\{2\},k}(z_1)$) is holomorphic in $S_{\{1\}}$ (resp. $S_{\{2\}}$) and $f_{\{1,2\},\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}$. An asymptotic expansion $\mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z)$, $N = (n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} T^{<N}_{\{1\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{k<n_1} f_{\{1\},k}(z_2) \frac{z_1^k}{k!}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \\ T^{<N}_{\{2\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{k<n_2} f_{\{2\},k}(z_1) \frac{z_2^k}{k!}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \\ T^{<N}_{\{1,2\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1<n_1 \\ \alpha_2<n_2}} f_{\{1,2\},\alpha} \frac{z_1^{\alpha_1} z_2^{\alpha_2}}{\alpha_1! \alpha_2!}, \qquad \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \\ \mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z) &= T^{<N}_{\{1\}}(F;\, z) + T^{<N}_{\{2\}}(F;\, z) - T^{<N}_{\{1,2\}}(F;\, z). \end{aligned}$$

We say that f is multi-asymptotically developable to $F = \{F_{\{1\}}, F_{\{2\}}, F_{\{1,2\}}\}$ along χ on $S = S(W, \epsilon)$ if and only if for any cone $S' = S(W', \epsilon')$ properly contained in S and for any $N = (n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$, there exists a constant $C_{S',N}$ such that

$$\left| f(z) - \mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z) \right| \leq C_{S',N} |z_1|^{n_1} |z_2|^{n_2} \qquad (z \in S').$$

The family F is consistent if

- $f_{\{1\},k}(z_2)$ is strongly asymptotically developable to

  $$\{f_{\{1,2\},(k,\alpha_2)}\}_{\alpha_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$$

  on $S_{\{1\}}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$,

- $f_{\{2\},k}(z_1)$ is strongly asymptotically developable to
  $$\{f_{\{1,2\},(\alpha_1,k)}\}_{\alpha_1\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$$
  on $S_{\{2\}}$ for each $k\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

These are the asymptotics of [11] in $\mathbb{C}^2$.

✓ **Takeuchi asymptotics.** In this case

$$A_\chi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have $Z_1=\{0\}$, $Z_2=\{z_2=0\}$. Then we can define a normal deformation $\widetilde{X}=\mathbb{C}^2\times\mathbb{R}^2$ with the map $p(z;\,t)=(t_1z_1,t_1t_2z_2)$. By Proposition 1.10 the zero section S_χ of $\widetilde{X}$ has a vector bundle structure

$$S_\chi\simeq T_{Z_1}Z_2\underset{X}{\times}T_{Z_2}X.$$

The rational monomials φ_j^{-1}, $j=1,2$, are $\varphi_1^{-1}(\tau)=\tau_1$, $\varphi_2^{-1}(\tau)=\dfrac{\tau_2}{\tau_1}$. Let $\xi=(\xi_1,\xi_2)$, $\xi_i\neq 0$, $i=1,2$. A cofinal family of $C(\xi)$ is $C(\xi,F^0)$ consisting of elements $S(W,\epsilon)$, $W=W_1\times W_2$, defined as follows

$$S(W,\epsilon)=\left\{ z\in X;\ \begin{array}{l} z_k\in W_k\quad (k=1,2), \\ |z_1|<\epsilon, \\ |z_2|<\epsilon|z_1| \end{array}\right\},$$

where $\epsilon>0$ and each W_k is an $\mathbb{R}^+$-conic open subset in $\mathbb{C}$ containing the point ξ_k.

We now construct the asymptotics. We have $S_{\{1\}}=S_{\{1,2\}}=\{0\}$ and

$$S_{\{2\}}=\left\{ z\in Z_2;\ \begin{array}{l} z_1\in W_1, \\ |z_1|<\epsilon \end{array}\right\}.$$

A total family of coefficients of multi-asymptotic expansion is given by

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \{F_{\{1\}},F_{\{2\}},F_{\{1,2\}}\} \\ &= \Big\{\{f_{\{1\},\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}},\{f_{\{2\},k}(z_1)\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}},\{f_{\{1,2\},\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}}\Big\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $f_{\{2\},k}(z_1)$ is holomorphic in $S_{\{2\}}$ and $f_{\{1\},\alpha}, f_{\{1,2\},\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}$. An asymptotic expansion $\mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z)$, $N = (n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
T^{<N}_{\{1\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2<n_1} f_{\{1\},\alpha} \frac{z_1^{\alpha_1} z_2^{\alpha_2}}{\alpha_1!\alpha_2!}, \qquad \alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}, \\
T^{<N}_{\{2\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{k<n_2} f_{\{2\},k}(z_1) \frac{z_2^k}{k!}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \\
T^{<N}_{\{1,2\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1+\alpha_2<n_1 \\ \alpha_2<n_2}} f_{\{1,2\},\alpha} \frac{z_1^{\alpha_1} z_2^{\alpha_2}}{\alpha_1!\alpha_2!}, \qquad \alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}, \\
\mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z) &= T^{<N}_{\{1\}}(F;\, z) + T^{<N}_{\{2\}}(F;\, z) - T^{<N}_{\{1,2\}}(F;\, z).
\end{aligned}$$

We say that f is multi-asymptotically developable to $F = \{F_{\{1\}}, F_{\{2\}}, F_{\{1,2\}}\}$ along χ on $S = S(W, \epsilon)$ if and only if for any cone $S' = S(W', \epsilon')$ properly contained in S and for any $N = (n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$, there exists a constant $C_{S',N}$ such that

$$\left| f(z) - \mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z) \right| \leq C_{S',N} |z_1|^{n_1-n_2} |z_2|^{n_2} \qquad (z \in S').$$

The family F is consistent if

- $f_{\{1\},\alpha} = f_{\{1,2\},\alpha}$ for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$,
- $f_{\{2\},k}(z_1)$ is strongly asymptotically developable to

  $$\{f_{\{1,2\},(\alpha_1,k)}\}_{\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$$

  on $S_{\{2\}}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

These are the asymptotics of [6] associated to the bispecialization of [16] in $\mathbb{C}^2$.

Set $X = Y = \mathbb{C}^2$, X (resp. Y) with coordinates $z = (z_1, z_2)$ (resp. $w = (w_1, w_2)$). Let $\chi_Y = \{Z_{Y1}, Z_{Y2}\}$, with $Z_{Y1} = \{0\}$, $Z_{Y2} = \{w_2 = 0\}$ and $\chi_X = \{Z_{X1}, Z_{X2}\}$, with $Z_{X1} = f^{-1}(0) = \{z_1 = 0\}$, $Z_{X2} = \{z_2 = 0\}$. We have

$$A_{\chi_X} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad A_{\chi_Y} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} f : X &\to Y \\ (z_1, z_2) &\mapsto (z_1, z_1 z_2) \end{aligned}$$

(locally) the blow-up at the origin. Remark that condition (4.3) holds. Let us consider $\widetilde{f}$. On the zero section (we keep the same coordinates for simplicity) the map $T_\chi f$ is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}(0,0) z_1 = z_1, \\ w_2 &= \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z_1 \partial z_2}(0,0) z_1 z_2 = z_1 z_2. \end{aligned}$$

It is a conic map with respect to the $(\mathbb{R}^+)^2$-actions on S_{χ_X} and S_{χ_Y}. By Propositions 4.18 and 4.19, f makes a link between specializations with respect to χ_X and χ_Y.

✓ **Cusp asymptotics.** In this case

$$A_\chi = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have $Z_1 = Z_2 = \{0\}$. Then we can define a normal deformation $\widetilde{X} = \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ with the map $p(z; t) = (t_1^3 t_2 z_1, t_1^2 t_2 z_2)$. The rational monomials φ_j^{-1}, $j = 1, 2$, are $\varphi_1^{-1}(\tau) = \dfrac{\tau_1}{\tau_2}$, $\varphi_2^{-1}(\tau) = \dfrac{\tau_2^3}{\tau_1^2}$. Let $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2)$, $\xi_i \neq 0$, $i = 1, 2$. A cofinal family of $C(\xi)$ is $C(\xi, F^0)$ consisting of elements $S(W, \epsilon)$, $W = W_1 \times W_2$, defined as follows

$$S(W, \epsilon) = \left\{ z \in X;\ \begin{aligned} & z_k \in W_k \quad (k = 1, 2), \\ & |z_1| < \epsilon |z_2|, \\ & |z_2|^3 < \epsilon |z_1|^2 \end{aligned} \right\},$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ and each W_k is an $\mathbb{R}^+$-conic open subset in $\mathbb{C}$ containing the point ξ_k.

We now construct the asymptotics. We have $S_{\{1\}} = S_{\{2\}} = S_{\{1,2\}} = \{0\}$. A total family of coefficients of multi-asymptotic expansion is given by

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \{F_{\{1\}}, F_{\{2\}}, F_{\{1,2\}}\} \\ &= \left\{ \{f_{\{1\},\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\{2\},\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\{1,2\},\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $f_{\{1\},\alpha}, f_{\{2\},\alpha}, f_{\{1,2\},\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}$. An asymptotic expansion $\mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z)$, $N = (n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} T^{<N}_{\{1\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 < n_1} f_{\{1\},\alpha} \frac{z_1^{\alpha_1} z_2^{\alpha_2}}{\alpha_1! \alpha_2!}, \qquad \alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}, \\ T^{<N}_{\{2\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 < n_2} f_{\{2\},\alpha} \frac{z_1^{\alpha_1} z_2^{\alpha_2}}{\alpha_1! \alpha_2}, \qquad \alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}, \\ T^{<N}_{\{1,2\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{\substack{3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 < n_1 \\ \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 < n_2}} f_{\{1,2\},\alpha} \frac{z_1^{\alpha_1} z_2^{\alpha_2}}{\alpha_1! \alpha_2!}, \qquad \alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}, \\ \mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z) &= T^{<N}_{\{1\}}(F;\, z) + T^{<N}_{\{2\}}(F;\, z) - T^{<N}_{\{1,2\}}(F;\, z). \end{aligned}$$

We say that f is multi-asymptotically developable to $F = \{F_{\{1\}}, F_{\{2\}}, F_{\{1,2\}}\}$ along χ on $S = S(W, \epsilon)$ if and only if for any cone $S' = S(W', \epsilon')$ properly contained in S and for any $N = (n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$, there exists a constant $C_{S',N}$ such that

$$\left| f(z) - \mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z) \right| \leq C_{S',N} |z_1|^{n_1 - 2n_2} |z_2|^{3n_2 - n_1} \qquad (z \in S').$$

The family F is consistent if

- $f_{\{1\},\alpha} = f_{\{2\},\alpha} = f_{\{1,2\},\alpha}$ for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$.

Set $X = Y = \mathbb{C}^2$, X (resp. Y) with coordinates $z = (z_1, z_2)$ (resp. $w = (w_1, w_2)$). Let $\chi_Y = \{Z_{Y1}, Z_{Y2}\}$, with $Z_{Y1} = Z_{Y2} = \{0\}$ and $\chi_X = \{Z_{X1}, Z_{X2}\}$, with $Z_{X1} = \{z_1 = 0\}$, $Z_{X2} = \{z_2 = 0\}$. We have

$$A_{\chi_X} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad A_{\chi_Y} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} f : X &\to Y \\ (z_1, z_2) &\mapsto (z_1^3 z_2, z_1^2 z_2) \end{aligned}$$

(desingularization of a cusp at the origin). Remark that condition (4.3) holds. Let us consider $\widetilde{f}$. On the zero section (we keep the same coordinates for simplicity) the map $T_\chi f$ is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &= \frac{1}{4!} \cdot 4 \cdot \frac{\partial^4 f_1}{\partial z_1^3 \partial z_2}(0,0) z_1^3 z_2 = z_1^3 z_2 \\ w_2 &= \frac{1}{3!} \cdot 3 \cdot \frac{\partial^3 f_1}{\partial z_1^2 \partial z_2}(0,0) z_1^2 z_2 = z_1^2 z_2. \end{aligned}$$

It is a conic map with respect to the $(\mathbb{R}^+)^2$-actions on S_{χ_X} and S_{χ_Y}. By Propositions 4.18 and 4.19, f makes a link between specializations with respect to χ_X and χ_Y.

5.3 Examples in $\mathbb{C}^3$

Let us consider some interesting cases in $\mathbb{C}^3$ with variables $z = (z_1, z_2, z_3)$. We are going to consider the normal deformation (and the associated multi-specialization and asymptotics) for some interesting cases. We shall consider 2×3 and 3×3 matrices with entries $0, 1$, avoiding the degenerate cases.

✓ **Clean intersection asymptotics (2 lines).** In this case

$$A_\chi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have $Z_1 = \{z_1 = z_2 = 0\}$, $Z_2 = \{z_2 = z_3 = 0\}$. Then we can define a normal deformation $\widetilde{X} = \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ with the map $p(z;\, t) = (t_1 z_1, t_1 t_2 z_2, t_2 z_3)$. The rational monomials φ_j^{-1}, $j = 1, 2$, are $\varphi_1^{-1}(\tau) = \tau_1$, $\varphi_2^{-1}(\tau) = \dfrac{\tau_2}{\tau_1}$ and $\psi_3(\tau) = \dfrac{\tau_1 \tau_3}{\tau_2}$. Let $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)$, $\xi_i \neq 0$, $i = 1, 2$. A cofinal family of $C(\xi)$ is $C(\xi, F^0)$ consisting of elements $S(W, \epsilon)$, $W = W_1 \times W_2 \times W_3$, defined as follows:

$$S(W,\epsilon) = \left\{ z \in X;\ \begin{array}{l} \quad\quad z_k \in W_k \quad (k=1,2,3), \\ |z_1| < \epsilon, \\ |z_2| < \epsilon |z_1|, \\ (n_3(\xi) - \epsilon)|z_2| < |z_1||z_3| < (n_3(\xi)+\epsilon)|z_2| \end{array} \right\},$$

where $n_3(\xi) := |\xi_1 \xi_3 / \xi_2|$, $\epsilon > 0$ and each W_k is an $\mathbb{R}^+$-conic open subset in $\mathbb{C}$ containing the point ξ_k.

We now construct the asymptotics. We have $S_{\{1,2\}} = \{0\}$ and

$$S_{\{1\}} = \left\{ z \in Z_1;\ \begin{array}{c} z_3 \in W_3, \\ |z_3| < \epsilon \end{array} \right\},$$

$$S_{\{2\}} = \left\{ z \in Z_2;\ \begin{array}{c} z_1 \in W_1, \\ |z_1| < \epsilon \end{array} \right\}.$$

A total family of coefficients of multi-asymptotic expansion is given by

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \{F_{\{1\}}, F_{\{2\}}, F_{\{1,2\}}\} \\ &= \Big\{ \{f_{\{1\},\alpha}(z_3)\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\{2\},\alpha}(z_1)\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\{1,2\},\beta}\}_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^3_{\geq 0}} \Big\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $f_{\{1\},\alpha}(z_3)$ (resp. $f_{\{2\},\alpha}(z_1)$) is holomorphic in $S_{\{1\}}$ (resp. $S_{\{2\}}$) and $f_{\{1,2\},\beta} \in \mathbb{C}$. An asymptotic expansion $\mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z)$, $N = (n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} T^{<N}_{\{1\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2<n_1} f_{\{1\},\alpha}(z_3) \frac{z_1^{\alpha_1} z_2^{\alpha_2}}{\alpha_1! \alpha_2!}, \qquad \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \\ T^{<N}_{\{2\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3<n_2} f_{\{2\},\alpha}(z_1) \frac{z_1^{\alpha_2} z_2^{\alpha_3}}{\alpha_2! \alpha_3!}, \qquad \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \\ T^{<N}_{\{1,2\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{\substack{\beta_1+\beta_2<n_1 \\ \beta_2+\beta_3<n_2}} f_{\{1,2\},\beta} \frac{z_1^{\beta_1} z_2^{\beta_2} z_3^{\beta_3}}{\beta_1! \beta_2! \beta_3!}, \qquad \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \\ \mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z) &= T^{<N}_{\{1\}}(F;\, z) + T^{<N}_{\{2\}}(F;\, z) - T^{<N}_{\{1,2\}}(F;\, z). \end{aligned}$$

We say that f is multi-asymptotically developable to F along χ on $S = S(W, \epsilon)$ if and only if for any cone $S' = S(W', \epsilon')$ properly contained in S and for any $N = (n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$, there exists a constant $C_{S',N}$ such that

$$\left| f(z) - \mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z) \right| \leq C_{S',N} |z_1|^{n_1 - n_2} |z_2|^{n_2} \qquad (z \in S').$$

The family F is consistent if

- $f_{\{1\},(\alpha_1,\alpha_2)}(z_3)$ is strongly asymptotically developable to
$$\{f_{\{1,2\},(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\beta_3)}\}_{\beta_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$$
on $S_{\{1\}}$ for each $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$,
- $f_{\{2\},(\alpha_2,\alpha_3)}(z_1)$ is strongly asymptotically developable to
$$\{f_{\{1,2\},(\beta_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3)}\}_{\beta_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$$
on $S_{\{2\}}$ for each $(\alpha_2, \alpha_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$.

✓ **Majima asymptotics.** In this case

$$A_\chi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have $Z_i = \{z_i = 0\}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Then we can define a normal deformation $\widetilde{X} = \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ with the map $p(z;\, t) = (t_1 z_1, t_2 z_2, t_3 z_3)$. By Proposition 1.10 the zero section S_χ of $\widetilde{X}$ has a vector bundle structure

$$S_\chi \simeq T_{Z_1} X \underset{X}{\times} T_{Z_2} X \underset{X}{\times} T_{Z_3} X.$$

The rational monomials φ_j^{-1}, $j = 1, 2, 3$, are $\varphi_1^{-1}(\tau) = \tau_1$, $\varphi_2^{-1}(\tau) = \tau_2$, $\varphi_3^{-1}(\tau) = \tau_3$. Let $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)$, $\xi_i \neq 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. A cofinal family of $C(\xi)$ is $C(\xi, F^0)$ consisting of elements $S(W, \epsilon)$, $W = W_1 \times W_2 \times W_3$, defined as follows

$$S(W, \epsilon) = \left\{ z \in X;\ \begin{array}{ll} z_k \in W_k & (k = 1, 2, 3), \\ |z_i| < \epsilon & (i = 1, 2, 3) \end{array} \right\},$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ and each W_k is an $\mathbb{R}^+$-conic open subset in $\mathbb{C}$ containing the point ξ_k.

We now construct the asymptotics. We have $S_{\{1,2,3\}} = \{0\}$ and, for $i \neq j \neq k \in \{1,2,3\}$

$$S_{\{i,j\}} = \left\{ z \in Z_i \cap Z_j ; \begin{array}{c} z_k \in W_k, \\ |z_k| < \epsilon \end{array} \right\}.$$

$$S_{\{i\}} = \left\{ z \in Z_i ; \begin{array}{c} z_j \in W_j, \\ z_k \in W_k, \\ |z_j|, |z_k| < \epsilon \end{array} \right\}.$$

A total family of coefficients of multi-asymptotic expansion is given by

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \{F_{\{1\}}, F_{\{2\}}, F_{\{3\}}, F_{\{1,2\}}, F_{\{1,3\}}, F_{\{2,3\}}, F_{\{1,2,3\}}\} \\ &= \Big\{ \{f_{\{1\},k}(z_2, z_3)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\{2\},k}(z_1, z_3)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\{3\},k}(z_1, z_2)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}, \\ &\qquad \{f_{\{1,2\},\alpha}(z_3)\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\{1,3\},\alpha}(z_2)\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\{2,3\},\alpha}(z_1)\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}}, \\ &\qquad \{f_{\{1,2,3\},\beta}\}_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^3_{\geq 0}} \Big\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $f_{\{1\},k}(z_2, z_3)$ (resp. $f_{\{2\},k}(z_1, z_3)$, resp. $f_{\{3\},k}(z_1, z_2)$) is holomorphic in $S_{\{1\}}$ (resp. $S_{\{2\}}$, resp. $S_{\{3\}}$), $f_{\{1,2\},\alpha}(z_3)$ (resp. $f_{\{1,3\},\alpha}(z_2)$, resp. $f_{\{2,3\},\alpha}(z_1)$) is holomorphic in $S_{\{1,2\}}$ (resp. $S_{\{1,3\}}$, resp. $S_{\{2,3\}}$) and $f_{\{1,2,3\},\beta} \in \mathbb{C}$. An asymptotic expansion $\mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z)$, $N = (n_1, n_2, n_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3_{\geq 0}$ is given

by

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{<N}_{\{1\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{k<n_1} f_{\{1\},k}(z_2, z_3)\frac{z_1^k}{k!}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},\\
T^{<N}_{\{2\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{k<n_2} f_{\{2\},k}(z_1, z_3)\frac{z_2^k}{k!}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},\\
T^{<N}_{\{3\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{k<n_3} f_{\{3\},k}(z_1, z_2)\frac{z_3^k}{k!}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},\\
T^{<N}_{\{1,2\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1<n_1\\ \alpha_2<n_2}} f_{\{1,2\},\alpha}(z_3)\frac{z_1^{\alpha_1} z_2^{\alpha_2}}{\alpha_1!\alpha_2!}, \qquad \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},\\
T^{<N}_{\{1,3\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1<n_1\\ \alpha_3<n_3}} f_{\{1,3\},\alpha}(z_2)\frac{z_1^{\alpha_1} z_3^{\alpha_3}}{\alpha_1!\alpha_3!}, \qquad \alpha_1, \alpha_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},\\
T^{<N}_{\{2,3\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha_2<n_2\\ \alpha_3<n_3}} f_{\{2,3\},\alpha}(z_1)\frac{z_2^{\alpha_2} z_3^{\alpha_3}}{\alpha_2!\alpha_3!}, \qquad \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},\\
T^{<N}_{\{1,2,3\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{\substack{\beta_1<n_1\\ \beta_2<n_2\\ \beta_3<n_3}} f_{\{1,2,3\},\beta}\frac{z_1^{\beta_1} z_2^{\beta_2} z_3^{\beta_3}}{\beta_1!\beta_2!\beta_3!}, \qquad \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},\\
\mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z) &= T^{<N}_{\{1\}}(F;\, z) + T^{<N}_{\{2\}}(F;\, z) + T^{<N}_{\{3\}}(F;\, z)\\
&\quad - T^{<N}_{\{1,2\}}(F;\, z) - T^{<N}_{\{1,3\}}(F;\, z) - T^{<N}_{\{2,3\}}(F;\, z)\\
&\quad + T^{<N}_{\{1,2,3\}}(F;\, z).
\end{aligned}
$$

We say that f is multi-asymptotically developable to F along χ on $S = S(W, \epsilon)$ if and only if for any cone $S' = S(W', \epsilon')$ properly contained in S and for any $N = (n_1, n_2, n_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3_{\geq 0}$, there exists a constant $C_{S',N}$ such that

$$
\left|f(z) - \mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z)\right| \leq C_{S',N}|z_1|^{n_1}|z_2|^{n_2}|z_3|^{n_3} \qquad (z \in S').
$$

The family F is consistent if

- $f_{\{1\},k}(z_2, z_3)$ is strongly asymptotically developable to

$$
\Big\{\{f_{\{1,2\},(k,\alpha_2)}(z_3)\}_{\alpha_2\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\{1,3\},(k,\alpha_3)}(z_2)\}_{\alpha_3\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}},
\{f_{\{1,2,3\},(k,\beta_2,\beta_3)}\}_{(\beta_2,\beta_3)\in\mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}}\Big\}
$$

on $S_{\{1\}}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$,

- $f_{\{2\},k}(z_1, z_3)$ is strongly asymptotically developable to

  $$\Big\{\{f_{\{1,2\},(\alpha_1,k)}(z_3)\}_{\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\{2,3\},(k,\alpha_3)}(z_1)\}_{\alpha_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}, \\ \{f_{\{1,2,3\},(\beta_1,k,\beta_3)}\}_{(\beta_1,\beta_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}}\Big\}$$

  on $S_{\{2\}}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$,

- $f_{\{3\},k}(z_1, z_2)$ is strongly asymptotically developable to

  $$\Big\{\{f_{\{1,3\},(\alpha_1,k)}(z_2)\}_{\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\{2,3\},(\alpha_2,k)}(z_1)\}_{\alpha_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}, \\ \{f_{\{1,2,3\},(\beta_1,\beta_2,k)}\}_{(\beta_1,\beta_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}}\Big\}$$

  on $S_{\{3\}}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$,

- $f_{\{1,2\},(\alpha_1,\alpha_2)}(z_3)$ is strongly asymptotically developable to

  $$\{f_{\{1,2,3\},(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\beta_3)}\}_{\beta_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$$

  on $S_{\{1,2\}}$ for each $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$,

- $f_{\{1,3\},(\alpha_1,\alpha_3)}(z_2)$ is strongly asymptotically developable to

  $$\{f_{\{1,2,3\},(\alpha_1,\beta_2,\alpha_3)}\}_{\beta_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$$

  on $S_{\{1,3\}}$ for each $(\alpha_1, \alpha_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$,

- $f_{\{2,3\},(\alpha_2,\alpha_3)}(z_1)$ is strongly asymptotically developable to

  $$\{f_{\{1,2,3\},(\beta_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3)}\}_{\beta_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$$

  on $S_{\{2,3\}}$ for each $(\alpha_2, \alpha_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$.

These are the asymptotics of [11] in $\mathbb{C}^3$.

✓ **Takeuchi asymptotics.** In this case

$$A_\chi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have $Z_1 = \{0\}$, $Z_2 = \{z_2 = z_3 = 0\}$, $Z_3 = \{z_3 = 0\}$. Then we can define a normal deformation $\widetilde{X} = \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ with the map $p(z;\, t) = (t_1z_1, t_1t_2z_2, t_1t_2t_3z_3)$. By Proposition 1.10 the zero section S_χ of $\widetilde{X}$ has a vector bundle structure

$$S_\chi \simeq T_{Z_1}Z_2 \underset{X}{\times} T_{Z_2}Z_3 \underset{X}{\times} T_{Z_3}X.$$

The rational monomials φ_j^{-1}, $j = 1, 2, 3$, are $\varphi_1^{-1}(\tau) = \tau_1$, $\varphi_2^{-1}(\tau) = \dfrac{\tau_2}{\tau_1}$, $\varphi_3^{-1}(\tau) = \dfrac{\tau_3}{\tau_2}$. Let $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)$, $\xi_i \neq 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. A cofinal family of $C(\xi)$ is $C(\xi, F^0)$ consisting of elements $S(W, \epsilon)$, $W = W_1 \times W_2 \times W_3$, defined as follows

$$S(W,\epsilon) = \left\{ z \in X; \begin{array}{l} \qquad z_k \in W_k \quad (k = 1,2,3), \\ |z_1| < \epsilon, \\ |z_2| < \epsilon |z_1|, \\ |z_3| < \epsilon |z_2| \end{array} \right\},$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ and each W_k is an $\mathbb{R}^+$-conic open subset in $\mathbb{C}$ containing the point ξ_k.

We now construct the asymptotics. We have $S_{\{1\}} = S_{\{1,2\}} = S_{\{1,3\}} = S_{\{1,2,3\}} = \{0\}$ and

$$S_{\{2\}} = S_{\{2,3\}} = \left\{ z \in Z_2; \begin{array}{l} z_1 \in W_1, \\ |z_1| < \epsilon \end{array} \right\},$$

$$S_{\{3\}} = \left\{ z \in Z_3; \begin{array}{l} \qquad z_j \in W_j \quad (j = 1,2), \\ |z_1| < \epsilon, \\ |z_2| < \epsilon |z_2| \end{array} \right\}.$$

A total family of coefficients of multi-asymptotic expansion is given by

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \{F_{\{1\}}, F_{\{2\}}, F_{\{3\}}, F_{\{1,2\}}, F_{\{1,3\}}, F_{\{2,3\}}, F_{\{1,2,3\}}\} \\ &= \Big\{ \{f_{\{1\},\beta}\}_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^3_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\{2\},\alpha}(z_1)\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\{3\},k}(z_1, z_2)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}, \\ &\quad \{f_{\{1,2\},\beta}\}_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^3_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\{1,3\},\beta}\}_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^3_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\{2,3\},\alpha}(z_1)\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}}, \\ &\quad \{f_{\{1,2,3\},\beta}\}_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^3_{\geq 0}} \Big\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $f_{\{3\},k}(z_1, z_2)$ (resp. $f_{\{2\},\alpha}(z_1)$, resp. $f_{\{2,3\},\alpha}(z_1)$) is holomorphic in $S_{\{3\}}$ (resp. $S_{\{2\}}$, resp $S_{\{2,3\}}$) and $f_{\{1\},\beta}, f_{\{1,2\},\beta}, f_{\{1,3\},\beta}, f_{\{1,2,3\},\beta} \in \mathbb{C}$. An asymptotic expansion $\mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z)$, $N = (n_1, n_2, n_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3_{\geq 0}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
T^{<N}_{\{1\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3<n_1} f_{\{1\},\beta} \frac{z_1^{\beta_1} z_2^{\beta_2} z_3^{\beta_3}}{\beta_1!\beta_2!\beta_3!}, \qquad \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \\
T^{<N}_{\{2\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3<n_2} f_{\{2\},\alpha}(z_1) \frac{z_2^{\alpha_2} z_3^{\alpha_3}}{\alpha_2!\alpha_3!}, \qquad \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \\
T^{<N}_{\{3\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{k<n_3} f_{\{3\},k}(z_1, z_2) \frac{z_3^{k}}{k!}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \\
T^{<N}_{\{1,2\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{\substack{\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3<n_1 \\ \beta_2+\beta_3<n_2}} f_{\{1,2\},\beta} \frac{z_1^{\beta_1} z_2^{\beta_2} z_3^{\beta_3}}{\beta_1!\beta_2!\beta_3!}, \qquad \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \\
T^{<N}_{\{1,3\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{\substack{\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3<n_1 \\ \beta_3<n_3}} f_{\{1,3\},\beta} \frac{z_1^{\beta_1} z_2^{\beta_2} z_3^{\beta_3}}{\beta_1!\beta_2!\beta_3!}, \qquad \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \\
T^{<N}_{\{2,3\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha_2+\alpha_3<n_2 \\ \alpha_3<n_3}} f_{\{2,3\},\alpha}(z_1) \frac{z_2^{\alpha_2} z_3^{\alpha_3}}{\alpha_2!\alpha_3!}, \qquad \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \\
T^{<N}_{\{1,2,3\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{\substack{\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3<n_1 \\ \beta_2+\beta_3<n_2 \\ \beta_3<n_3}} f_{\{1,2,3\},\beta} \frac{z_1^{\beta_1} z_2^{\beta_2} z_3^{\beta_3}}{\beta_1!\beta_2!\beta_3!}, \qquad \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \\
\mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z) &= T^{<N}_{\{1\}}(F;\, z) + T^{<N}_{\{2\}}(F;\, z) + T^{<N}_{\{3\}}(F;\, z) \\
&\quad - T^{<N}_{\{1,2\}}(F;\, z) - T^{<N}_{\{1,3\}}(F;\, z) - T^{<N}_{\{2,3\}}(F;\, z) \\
&\quad + T^{<N}_{\{1,2,3\}}(F;\, z).
\end{aligned}$$

We say that f is multi-asymptotically developable to F along χ on $S = S(W, \epsilon)$ if and only if for any cone $S' = S(W', \epsilon')$ properly contained in S and for any $N = (n_1, n_2, n_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3_{\geq 0}$, there exists a constant $C_{S',N}$ such that

$$\left| f(z) - \mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z) \right| \leq C_{S',N} |z_1|^{n_1 - n_2} |z_2|^{n_2 - n_3} |z_3|^{n_3} \qquad (z \in S').$$

The family F is consistent if

- $f_{\{1\},\beta} = f_{\{1,2\},\beta} = f_{\{1,3\},\beta} = f_{\{1,2,3\},\beta}$ for each $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^3_{\geq 0}$,

- $f_{\{2\},\alpha} = f_{\{2,3\},\alpha}$ for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$,
- $f_{\{3\},k}(z_1, z_2)$ is strongly asymptotically developable to

  $$\Big\{\{f_{\{1,3\},(\beta_1,\beta_2,k)}\}_{(\beta_1,\beta_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\{2,3\},(\alpha_2,k)}(z_1)\}_{\alpha_2\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}, \\ \{f_{\{1,2,3\},(\beta_1,\beta_2,k)}\}_{(\beta_1,\beta_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}}\Big\}$$

  on $S_{\{3\}}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$,
- $f_{\{2,3\},(\alpha_2,\alpha_3)}(z_1)$ is strongly asymptotically developable to

  $$\{f_{\{1,2,3\},(\beta_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3)}\}_{\beta_1\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$$

  on $S_{\{2,3\}}$ for each $(\alpha_2, \alpha_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$.

These are the asymptotics of [6] generalizing the bispecialization of [16] in $\mathbb{C}^3$.

✓ **Clean intersection (3 lines) asymptotics.** In this case

$$A_\chi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have $Z_1 = \{z_1 = z_2 = 0\}$, $Z_2 = \{z_2 = z_3 = 0\}$, $Z_3 = \{z_1 = z_3 = 0\}$. Then we can define a normal deformation $\widetilde{X} = \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ with the map $p(z;\, t) = (t_1t_3z_1, t_1t_2z_2, t_2t_3z_3)$. Set $Z = Z_1 \cap Z_2 \cap Z_3$. By Proposition 1.10 the zero section S_χ of $\widetilde{X}$ has a vector bundle structure

$$S_\chi \simeq T_Z Z_1 \underset{X}{\times} T_Z Z_2 \underset{X}{\times} T_Z Z_3.$$

The rational monomials φ_j^{-1}, $j = 1, 2, 3$, are $\varphi_1^{-1}(\tau) = \left(\frac{\tau_1\tau_2}{\tau_3}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $\varphi_2^{-1}(\tau) = \left(\frac{\tau_2\tau_3}{\tau_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $\varphi_3^{-1}(\tau) = \left(\frac{\tau_1\tau_3}{\tau_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Let $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)$, $\xi_i \neq 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. A cofinal family of $C(\xi)$ is $C(\xi, F^0)$ consisting of elements $S(W, \epsilon)$, $W =$

$W_1 \times W_2 \times W_3$, defined as follows

$$S(W, \epsilon) = \left\{ z \in X; \begin{array}{c} z_k \in W_k \quad (k = 1, 2, 3), \\ |z_1||z_2| < \epsilon |z_3|, \\ |z_2||z_3| < \epsilon |z_1|, \\ |z_1||z_3| < \epsilon |z_2| \end{array} \right\},$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ and each W_k is an $\mathbb{R}^+$-conic open subset in $\mathbb{C}$ containing the point ξ_k.

We now construct the asymptotics. We have $S_{\{1,2\}} = S_{\{1,3\}} = S_{\{2,3\}} = S_{\{1,2,3\}} = \{0\}$ and

$$S_{\{1\}} = \left\{ z \in Z_1; \begin{array}{c} z_3 \in W_3, \\ |z_3| < \epsilon \end{array} \right\},$$

$$S_{\{2\}} = \left\{ z \in Z_2; \begin{array}{c} z_1 \in W_1, \\ |z_1| < \epsilon \end{array} \right\},$$

$$S_{\{3\}} = \left\{ z \in Z_3; \begin{array}{c} z_2 \in W_2, \\ |z_2| < \epsilon \end{array} \right\}.$$

A total family of coefficients of multi-asymptotic expansion is given by

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \{F_{\{1\}}, F_{\{2\}}, F_{\{3\}}, F_{\{1,2\}}, F_{\{1,3\}}, F_{\{2,3\}}, F_{\{1,2,3\}}\} \\ &= \Big\{ \{f_{\{1\},\alpha}(z_3)\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\{2\},\alpha}(z_1)\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\{3\},\alpha}(z_2)\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}}, \\ &\quad \{f_{\{1,2\},\beta}\}_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^3_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\{1,3\},\beta}\}_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^3_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\{2,3\},\beta}\}_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^3_{\geq 0}}, \\ &\quad \{f_{\{1,2,3\},\beta}\}_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^3_{\geq 0}} \Big\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $f_{\{1\},\alpha}(z_3)$ (resp. $f_{\{2\},\alpha}(z_1)$, resp. $f_{\{3\},\alpha}(z_2)$) is holomorphic in $S_{\{1\}}$ (resp. $S_{\{2\}}$, resp $S_{\{3\}}$) and $f_{\{1,2\},\beta}, f_{\{1,3\},\beta}, f_{\{2,3\},\beta}, f_{\{1,2,3\},\beta} \in \mathbb{C}$. An asymptotic expansion $\mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z)$, $N = (n_1, n_2, n_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3_{\geq 0}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
T^{<N}_{\{1\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2<n_1} f_{\{1\},\alpha}(z_3)\frac{z_1^{\alpha_1} z_2^{\alpha_2}}{\alpha_1!\alpha_2!}, && \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \\
T^{<N}_{\{2\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3<n_2} f_{\{2\},\alpha}(z_1)\frac{z_2^{\alpha_2} z_3^{\alpha_3}}{\alpha_2!\alpha_3!}, && \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \\
T^{<N}_{\{3\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{\alpha_1+\alpha_3<n_3} f_{\{3\},\alpha}(z_2)\frac{z_1^{\alpha_1} z_3^{\alpha_3}}{\alpha_1!\alpha_3!}, && \alpha_1, \alpha_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \\
T^{<N}_{\{1,2\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{\substack{\beta_1+\beta_2<n_1 \\ \beta_2+\beta_3<n_2}} f_{\{1,2\},\beta}\frac{z_1^{\beta_1} z_2^{\beta_2} z_3^{\beta_3}}{\beta_1!\beta_2!\beta_3!}, && \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \\
T^{<N}_{\{1,3\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{\substack{\beta_1+\beta_2<n_1 \\ \beta_1+\beta_3<n_3}} f_{\{1,3\},\beta}\frac{z_1^{\beta_1} z_2^{\beta_2} z_3^{\beta_3}}{\beta_1!\beta_2!\beta_3!}, && \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \\
T^{<N}_{\{2,3\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{\substack{\beta_2+\beta_3<n_2 \\ \beta_1+\beta_3<n_3}} f_{\{2,3\},\beta}\frac{z_1^{\beta_1} z_2^{\beta_2} z_3^{\beta_3}}{\beta_1!\beta_2!\beta_3!}, && \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \\
T^{<N}_{\{1,2,3\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{\substack{\beta_1+\beta_2<n_1 \\ \beta_2+\beta_3<n_2 \\ \beta_1+\beta_3<n_3}} f_{\{1,2,3\},\beta}\frac{z_1^{\beta_1} z_2^{\beta_2} z_3^{\beta_3}}{\beta_1!\beta_2!\beta_3!}, && \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \\
\mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z) &= T^{<N}_{\{1\}}(F;\, z) + T^{<N}_{\{2\}}(F;\, z) + T^{<N}_{\{3\}}(F;\, z) \\
&\quad - T^{<N}_{\{1,2\}}(F;\, z) - T^{<N}_{\{1,3\}}(F;\, z) - T^{<N}_{\{2,3\}}(F;\, z) \\
&\quad + T^{<N}_{\{1,2,3\}}(F;\, z).
\end{aligned}$$

We say that f is multi-asymptotically developable to F along χ on $S = S(W, \epsilon)$ if and only if for any cone $S' = S(W', \epsilon')$ properly contained in S and for any $N = (n_1, n_2, n_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3_{\geq 0}$, there exists a constant $C_{S',N}$ such that

$$\left|f(z) - \mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z)\right| \leq C_{S',N} \left(|z_1|^{n_1+n_3-n_2}|z_2|^{n_1+n_2-n_3}|z_3|^{n_2+n_3-n_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

on $z \in S'$. The family F is consistent if

- $f_{\{1\},\beta} = f_{\{2\},\beta} = f_{\{3\},\beta} = f_{\{1,2,3\},\beta}$ for each $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^3_{\geq 0}$,
- $f_{\{1\},(\alpha_1,\alpha_2)}(z_3)$ is strongly asymptotically developable to

$$\{f_{\{1,2,3\},(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\beta_3)}\}_{\beta_3\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$$

on $S_{\{1\}}$ for each $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$,

- $f_{\{1,2\},(\alpha_2,\alpha_3)}(z_1)$ is strongly asymptotically developable to
$$\{f_{\{1,2,3\},(\beta_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3)}\}_{\beta_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$$
on $S_{\{2\}}$ for each $(\alpha_2, \alpha_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$,
- $f_{\{1,3\},(\alpha_1,\alpha_3)}(z_2)$ is strongly asymptotically developable to
$$\{f_{\{1,2,3\},(\alpha_1,\beta_2,\alpha_3)}\}_{\beta_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$$
on $S_{\{3\}}$ for each $(\alpha_1, \alpha_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$.

✓ **Mixed asymptotics (Majima-Takeuchi).** In this case
$$A_\chi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
We have $Z_1 = \{0\}$, $Z_2 = \{z_2 = 0\}$, $Z_3 = \{z_3 = 0\}$, Then we can define a normal deformation $\widetilde{X} = \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ with the map $p(z;\, t) = (t_1 z_1, t_1 t_2 z_2, t_1 t_3 z_3)$. By Proposition 1.10 the zero section S_χ of $\widetilde{X}$ has a vector bundle structure
$$S_\chi \simeq T_{Z_1}(Z_2 \cap Z_3) \underset{X}{\times} T_{Z_2 \cap Z_3} Z_2 \underset{X}{\times} T_{Z_2 \cap Z_3} Z_3.$$
The rational monomials φ_j^{-1}, $j = 1, 2, 3$, are $\varphi_1^{-1}(\tau) = \tau_1$, $\varphi_2^{-1}(\tau) = \dfrac{\tau_2}{\tau_1}$, $\varphi_3^{-1}(\tau) = \dfrac{\tau_3}{\tau_1}$. Let $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)$, $\xi_i \neq 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. A cofinal family of $C(\xi)$ is $C(\xi, F^0)$ consisting of elements $S(W, \epsilon)$, $W = W_1 \times W_2 \times W_3$, defined as follows
$$S(W, \epsilon) = \left\{ z \in X;\ \begin{array}{l} \quad z_k \in W_k \quad (k = 1, 2, 3), \\ |z_1| < \epsilon, \\ |z_2| < \epsilon |z_1|, \\ |z_3| < \epsilon |z_1| \end{array} \right\},$$
where $\epsilon > 0$ and each W_k is an $\mathbb{R}^+$-conic open subset in $\mathbb{C}$ containing the point ξ_k.

We now construct the asymptotics. We have $S_{\{1\}} = S_{\{1,2\}} = S_{\{1,3\}} = S_{\{1,2,3\}} = \{0\}$ and

$$S_{\{2\}} = \left\{ z \in Z_2;\ \begin{array}{l} z_j \in W_j \quad (j = 1, 3), \\ |z_1| < \epsilon, \\ |z_1| < \epsilon |z_3| \end{array} \right\},$$

$$S_{\{3\}} = \left\{ z \in Z_3;\ \begin{array}{l} z_j \in W_j \quad (j = 1, 2), \\ |z_1| < \epsilon, \\ |z_1| < \epsilon |z_2| \end{array} \right\},$$

$$S_{\{2,3\}} = \left\{ z \in Z_2 \cap Z_3;\ \begin{array}{c} z_1 \in W_1, \\ |z_1| < \epsilon \end{array} \right\}.$$

A total family of coefficients of multi-asymptotic expansion is given by

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \{F_{\{1\}}, F_{\{2\}}, F_{\{3\}}, F_{\{1,2\}}, F_{\{1,3\}}, F_{\{2,3\}}, F_{\{1,2,3\}}\} \\ &= \Big\{ \{f_{\{1\},\beta}\}_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^3_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\{2\},k}(z_1, z_3)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\{3\},k}(z_1, z_2)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}, \\ &\quad \{f_{\{1,2\},\beta}\}_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^3_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\{1,3\},\beta}\}_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^3_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\{2,3\},\alpha}(z_1)\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}}, \\ &\quad \{f_{\{1,2,3\},\beta}\}_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^3_{\geq 0}} \Big\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $f_{\{2\},k}(z_1, z_3)$ (resp. $f_{\{3\},k}(z_1, z_2)$, resp. $f_{\{2,3\},\alpha}(z_1)$) is holomorphic in $S_{\{2\}}$ (resp. $S_{\{3\}}$, resp $S_{\{2,3\}}$) and $f_{\{1\},\beta}, f_{\{1,2\},\beta}, f_{\{1,3\},\beta}, f_{\{1,2,3\},\beta} \in \mathbb{C}$. An asymptotic expansion $\mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z)$, $N = (n_1, n_2, n_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3_{\geq 0}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
T^{<N}_{\{1\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3<n_1} f_{\{1\},\beta} \frac{z_1^{\beta_1} z_2^{\beta_2} z_3^{\beta_3}}{\beta_1!\beta_2!\beta_3!}, \qquad \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},\\
T^{<N}_{\{2\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{k<n_2} f_{\{2\},k}(z_1, z_3) \frac{z_2^k}{k!}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},\\
T^{<N}_{\{3\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{k<n_3} f_{\{3\},k}(z_1, z_2) \frac{z_3^k}{k!}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},\\
T^{<N}_{\{1,2\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{\substack{\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3<n_1\\ \beta_2<n_2}} f_{\{1,2\},\beta} \frac{z_1^{\beta_1} z_2^{\beta_2} z_3^{\beta_3}}{\beta_1!\beta_2!\beta_3!}, \qquad \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},\\
T^{<N}_{\{1,3\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{\substack{\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3<n_1\\ \beta_3<n_3}} f_{\{1,3\},\beta} \frac{z_1^{\beta_1} z_2^{\beta_2} z_3^{\beta_3}}{\beta_1!\beta_2!\beta_3!}, \qquad \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},\\
T^{<N}_{\{2,3\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha_2<n_2\\ \alpha_3<n_3}} f_{\{2,3\},\alpha}(z_1) \frac{z_2^{\alpha_2} z_3^{\alpha_3}}{\alpha_2!\alpha_3!}, \qquad \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},\\
T^{<N}_{\{1,2,3\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{\substack{\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3<n_1\\ \beta_2<n_2\\ \beta_3<n_3}} f_{\{1,2,3\},\beta} \frac{z_1^{\beta_1} z_2^{\beta_2} z_3^{\beta_3}}{\beta_1!\beta_2!\beta_3!}, \qquad \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},\\
\mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z) &= T^{<N}_{\{1\}}(F;\, z) + T^{<N}_{\{2\}}(F;\, z) + T^{<N}_{\{3\}}(F;\, z)\\
&\quad - T^{<N}_{\{1,2\}}(F;\, z) - T^{<N}_{\{1,3\}}(F;\, z) - T^{<N}_{\{2,3\}}(F;\, z)\\
&\quad + T^{<N}_{\{1,2,3\}}(F;\, z).
\end{aligned}$$

We say that f is multi-asymptotically developable to F along χ on $S = S(W, \epsilon)$ if and only if for any cone $S' = S(W', \epsilon')$ properly contained in S and for any $N = (n_1, n_2, n_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3_{\geq 0}$, there exists a constant $C_{S',N}$ such that

$$\left| f(z) - \mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z) \right| \leq C_{S',N} |z_1|^{n_1-n_2-n_3} |z_2|^{n_2} |z_3|^{n_3} \qquad (z \in S').$$

The family F is consistent if

- $f_{\{1\},\beta} = f_{\{1,2\},\beta} = f_{\{1,3\},\beta} = f_{\{1,2,3\},\beta}$ for each $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^3_{\geq 0}$,

- $f_{\{2\},k}(z_1, z_3)$ is strongly asymptotically developable to

  $$\Big\{\{f_{\{1,2\},(\beta_1,k,\beta_3)}\}_{(\beta_1,\beta_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\{2,3\},(k,\alpha_3)}(z_1)\}_{\alpha_3\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}},$$
  $$\{f_{\{1,2,3\},(\beta_1,k,\beta_3)}\}_{(\beta_1,\beta_3)\in\mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}}\Big\}$$

  on $S_{\{2\}}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$,

- $f_{\{3\},k}(z_1, z_2)$ is strongly asymptotically developable to

  $$\Big\{\{f_{\{1,3\},(\beta_1,\beta_2,k)}\}_{(\beta_1,\beta_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\{2,3\},(\alpha_2,k)}(z_1)\}_{\alpha_2\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}},$$
  $$\{f_{\{1,2,3\},(\beta_1,\beta_2,k)}\}_{(\beta_1,\beta_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}}\Big\}$$

  on $S_{\{3\}}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$,

- $f_{\{2,3\},(\alpha_2,\alpha_3)}(z_1)$ is strongly asymptotically developable to

  $$\{f_{\{1,2,3\},(\beta_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3)}\}_{\beta_1\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$$

  on $S_{\{2,3\}}$ for each $(\alpha_2, \alpha_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$.

These are the asymptotics of [6] (mixed case) in $\mathbb{C}^3$.

✓ **Mixed asymptotics (2 lines clean intersection-Takeuchi).** In this case

$$A_\chi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have $Z_1 = \{z_1 = z_2 = 0\}$, $Z_2 = \{z_2 = z_3 = 0\}$, $Z_3 = \{z_3 = 0\}$. Then we can define a normal deformation $\widetilde{X} = \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ with the map $p(z;\, t) = (t_1 z_1, t_1 t_2 z_2, t_2 t_3 z_3)$. Set $Z = Z_1 \cap Z_2$. By Proposition 1.10 the zero section S_χ of $\widetilde{X}$ has a vector bundle structure

$$S_\chi \simeq T_Z Z_1 \underset{X}{\times} T_Z Z_2 \underset{X}{\times} \frac{TX \underset{X}{\times} Z}{TZ_1 \underset{X}{\times} Z + TZ_2 \underset{X}{\times} Z}.$$

The rational monomials φ_j^{-1}, $j = 1, 2, 3$, are $\varphi_1^{-1}(\tau) = \tau_1$, $\varphi_2^{-1}(\tau) = \dfrac{\tau_2}{\tau_1}$, $\varphi_3^{-1}(\tau) = \dfrac{\tau_1\tau_3}{\tau_2}$. Let $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)$, $\xi_i \neq 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. A cofinal family of

$C(\xi)$ is $C(\xi, F^0)$ consisting of elements $S(W, \epsilon)$, $W = W_1 \times W_2 \times W_3$, defined as follows:

$$S(W,\epsilon) = \left\{ z \in X;\ \begin{array}{l} z_k \in W_k \quad (k=1,2,3), \\ |z_1| < \epsilon, \\ |z_2| < \epsilon |z_1|, \\ |z_1||z_3| < \epsilon |z_2| \end{array} \right\},$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ and each W_k is an $\mathbb{R}^+$-conic open subset in $\mathbb{C}$ containing the point ξ_k.

We now construct the asymptotics. We have $S_{\{1,2\}} = S_{\{1,3\}} = S_{\{1,2,3\}} = \{0\}$ and

$$S_{\{1\}} = \left\{ z \in Z_1;\ \begin{array}{l} z_3 \in W_3, \\ |z_3| < \epsilon \end{array} \right\},$$

$$S_{\{2\}} = S_{\{2,3\}} = \left\{ z \in Z_2;\ \begin{array}{l} z_1 \in W_1, \\ |z_1| < \epsilon \end{array} \right\},$$

$$S_{\{3\}} = \left\{ z \in Z_3;\ \begin{array}{l} z_j \in W_j \quad (j=1,2), \\ |z_1| < \epsilon, \\ |z_2| < \epsilon |z_1| \end{array} \right\}.$$

A total family of coefficients of multi-asymptotic expansion is given by

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \{F_{\{1\}}, F_{\{2\}}, F_{\{3\}}, F_{\{1,2\}}, F_{\{1,3\}}, F_{\{2,3\}}, F_{\{1,2,3\}}\} \\ &= \Big\{ \{f_{\{1\},\alpha}(z_3)\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\{2\},\alpha}(z_1)\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\{3\},k}(z_1, z_2)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}, \\ &\quad \{f_{\{1,2\},\beta}\}_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^3_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\{1,3\},\beta}\}_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^3_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\{2,3\},\alpha}(z_1)\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}}, \\ &\quad \{f_{\{1,2,3\},\beta}\}_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^3_{\geq 0}} \Big\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $f_{\{1\},\alpha}(z_3)$ (resp. $f_{\{2\},\alpha}(z_1)$, resp. $f_{\{3\},k}(z_1, z_2)$, resp. $f_{\{2,3\},\alpha}(z_1)$) is holomorphic in $S_{\{1\}}$ (resp. $S_{\{2\}}$, resp. $S_{\{3\}}$, resp. $S_{\{2,3\}}$) and $f_{\{1\},\beta}$, $f_{\{1,2\},\beta}$, $f_{\{1,3\},\beta}$, $f_{\{1,2,3\},\beta} \in \mathbb{C}$. An asymptotic expansion $\mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z)$,

$N = (n_1, n_2, n_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3_{\geq 0}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
T^{<N}_{\{1\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2<n_1} f_{\{1\},\alpha}(z_3)\frac{z_1^{\alpha_1} z_2^{\alpha_2}}{\alpha_1!\alpha_2!}, \qquad \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},\\
T^{<N}_{\{2\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3<n_2} f_{\{2\},\alpha}(z_1)\frac{z_2^{\alpha_2} z_3^{\alpha_3}}{\alpha_2!\alpha_3!}, \qquad \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},\\
T^{<N}_{\{3\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{k<n_3} f_{\{3\},k}(z_1, z_2)\frac{z_3^k}{k!}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},\\
T^{<N}_{\{1,2\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{\substack{\beta_1+\beta_2<n_1\\ \beta_2+\beta_3<n_2}} f_{\{1,2\},\beta}\frac{z_1^{\beta_1} z_2^{\beta_2} z_3^{\beta_3}}{\beta_1!\beta_2!\beta_3!}, \qquad \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},\\
T^{<N}_{\{1,3\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{\substack{\beta_1+\beta_2<n_1\\ \beta_3<n_3}} f_{\{1,3\},\beta}\frac{z_1^{\beta_1} z_2^{\beta_2} z_3^{\beta_3}}{\beta_1!\beta_2!\beta_3!}, \qquad \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},\\
T^{<N}_{\{2,3\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha_2+\alpha_3<n_2\\ \alpha_3<n_3}} f_{\{2,3\},\alpha}(z_1)\frac{z_2^{\alpha_2} z_3^{\alpha_3}}{\alpha_2!\alpha_3!}, \qquad \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},\\
T^{<N}_{\{1,2,3\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{\substack{\beta_1+\beta_2<n_1\\ \beta_2+\beta_3<n_2\\ \beta_3<n_3}} f_{\{1,2,3\},\beta}\frac{z_1^{\beta_1} z_2^{\beta_2} z_3^{\beta_3}}{\beta_1!\beta_2!\beta_3!}, \qquad \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},\\
\mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z) &= T^{<N}_{\{1\}}(F;\, z) + T^{<N}_{\{2\}}(F;\, z) + T^{<N}_{\{3\}}(F;\, z)\\
&\quad - T^{<N}_{\{1,2\}}(F;\, z) - T^{<N}_{\{1,3\}}(F;\, z) - T^{<N}_{\{2,3\}}(F;\, z)\\
&\quad + T^{<N}_{\{1,2,3\}}(F;\, z).
\end{aligned}$$

We say that f is multi-asymptotically developable to F along χ on $S = S(W, \epsilon)$ if and only if for any cone $S' = S(W', \epsilon')$ properly contained in S and for any $N = (n_1, n_2, n_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3_{\geq 0}$, there exists a constant $C_{S',N}$ such that

$$\left|f(z) - \mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z)\right| \leq C_{S',N}|z_1|^{n_1-n_2+n_3}|z_2|^{n_2-n_3}|z_3|^{n_3} \qquad (z \in S').$$

The family F is consistent if

- $f_{\{1,2\},\beta} = f_{\{1,3\},\beta} = f_{\{1,2,3\},\beta}$ for each $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^3_{\geq 0}$,
- $f_{\{2\},\alpha} = f_{\{2,3\},\alpha}$ for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$,

- $f_{\{1\},(\alpha_1,\alpha_2)}(z_3)$ is strongly asymptotically developable to
$$\{f_{\{1,2,3\},(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\beta_3)}\}_{\beta_3\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$$
on $S_{\{1\}}$ for each $(\alpha_1,\alpha_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$,

- $f_{\{2\},(\alpha_2,\alpha_3)}(z_1)$ is strongly asymptotically developable to
$$\{f_{\{1,2,3\},(\beta_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3)}\}_{\beta_1\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$$
on $S_{\{2\}}$ for each $(\alpha_2,\alpha_3)\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$,

- $f_{\{3\},k}(z_1,z_2)$ is strongly asymptotically developable to
$$\Big\{\{f_{\{1,3\},(\beta_1,\beta_2,k)}\}_{(\beta_1,\beta_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}},\{f_{\{2,3\},(\alpha_2,k)}(z_1)\}_{\alpha_2\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}},\\ \{f_{\{1,2,3\},(\beta_1,\beta_2,k)}\}_{(\beta_1,\beta_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}}\Big\}$$
on $S_{\{3\}}$ for each $k\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

5.4 Classification of multi-asymptotics for the case of two submanifolds

Let us now consider $X=\mathbb{C}^n$ with variables $z=(z^{(1)},\dots,z^{(m)})$, where $z^{(k)}$, $k=1,\dots,m$, denote the coordinates blocks $(z_{i_{k,1}},\dots,z_{i_{k,n_k}})$ (we forget $z^{(0)}$ to lighten notations). We first consider the normal deformation (and the associated multi-specialization and asymptotics) in the two manifold case, i.e., $\chi=\{Z_1,Z_2\}$ with $Z_j=\{z^{(k)}=0,\,k\in K_j\}$, $K_j\subseteq\{1,\dots,m\}$, $j=1,2$. Remark that Z_1 and Z_2 may coincide. Then, we give all possible non-degenerate multi-asymptotics for the case of two manifolds with two or three coordinates blocks.

The action associated with χ is now defined by
$$\mu_j(z,\lambda)=(\lambda^{a_{j1}}z^{(1)},\dots,\lambda^{a_{jm}}z^{(m)})\qquad (j=1,2)$$
with a_{ji} non-negative rationals, $a_{ji}\neq 0$ if $i\in K_j$, $a_{ji}=0$ otherwise. The associated matrix A_χ is
$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1m}\\ a_{21} & \cdots & a_{2m}\end{pmatrix}$$

and we assume for simplicity that all the columns are non zero. We also assume that the action is non-degenerate and that the matrix A'_χ consisting of the first two columns of A_χ is invertible. Then we can define a normal deformation $\widetilde{X} = \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}^2$ with the map $p : \widetilde{X} \to X$ defined by

$$p(z;\, t) = (z^{(0)},\, \varphi_1(t) z^{(1)}, \ldots, \varphi_m(t) z^{(m)})$$

with

$$\varphi_k(t) = t_1^{a_{1k}} t_2^{a_{2k}} \qquad (k = 1, 2, \ldots, m). \tag{5.1}$$

Following the notations of Section 2 we are going to study the family of multicones $C(\xi)$ associated to a point $\xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n) \in S_\chi$. The rational monomials φ_j^{-1}, $j = 1, 2$ are determined by ${A'_\chi}^{-1}$, where

$$A'_\chi = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad {A'_\chi}^{-1} = \frac{1}{a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21}} \begin{pmatrix} a_{22} & -a_{12} \\ -a_{21} & a_{11} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Setting $d = \dfrac{1}{a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21}}$, we have $\varphi_1^{-1}(\tau) = (\tau_1^{a_{22}} \tau_2^{-a_{21}})^d$ and $\varphi_2^{-1}(\tau) = (\tau_1^{-a_{12}} \tau_2^{a_{11}})^d$. For $k \geq 3$ we have

$$\psi_k(\tau) = \frac{\tau_k}{(\tau_1^{a_{1k}a_{22} - a_{2k}a_{12}} \tau_2^{a_{2k}a_{11} - a_{1k}a_{21}})^d}.$$

Here we may assume $d > 0$ by exchanging the first and the second columns of A_χ if necessary. Suppose that ξ is outside of the set of fixed points. By definition (up to take a permutation of coordinates blocks), we may assume that $\xi^{(1)}, \xi^{(2)} \neq 0$. Further, for simplicity, we also assume ξ to be normalized, that is, $|\xi^{(1)}| = |\xi^{(2)}| = 1$. A cofinal family of $C(\xi)$ is $C(\xi, F^0)$ consisting of elements $S(W, \epsilon)$, $W = W_1 \times \cdots \times W_m$, defined as follows

$$S(W, \epsilon) = \left\{ z \in X;\ \begin{array}{l} z^{(k)} \in W_k \quad (k = 1, \ldots, m), \\ |z^{(i)}|^{a_{jj}} < \epsilon^{\frac{1}{d}} |z^{(j)}|^{a_{ji}} \quad (i, j \in \{1, 2\},\ i \neq j), \\ |\xi^{(k)}| - \epsilon < \dfrac{|z^{(k)}|}{(|z^{(1)}|^{a_{1k}a_{22} - a_{2k}a_{12}} |z^{(2)}|^{a_{2k}a_{11} - a_{1k}a_{21}})^d} \\ \qquad < |\xi^{(k)}| + \epsilon \quad (k \geq 3) \end{array} \right\},$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ and each W_k is an $\mathbb{R}^+$-conic open subset in $\mathbb{C}^{n_k}$ containing the point $\xi^{(k)}$.

We now construct the asymptotics. We recall the notations of Section 3. Set $K_{\{j\}} = K_j$, $j = 1, 2$, $K_{\{1,2\}} = K_1 \cup K_2$ and $Z_J = \{z^{(k)} = 0,\ k \in K_J\}$ for $\emptyset \neq J \subseteq \{1, 2\}$. For any subset $J \subset \{1, 2\}$, we denote by $z^{(J)}$ the set of the coordinates $z^{(k)}$'s with $k \in K_J$ and by $z_{\complement}^{(J)}$ the set of the coordinates which do not belong to $z^{(J)}$, i.e., $z^{(k)}$'s with $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\} \setminus K_J$. Hence, the coordinates of Z_J are given by $z_{\complement}^{(J)}$. The π_J denotes the canonical projection from X to Z_J defined by $z \to z_{\complement}^{(J)}$.

Remark 5.1. *The notations $z^{(J)}$ and $z_{\complement}^{(J)}$ are denoted by $z^{(K_J)}$ and $z^{(\complement K_J)}$ in Section 3, respectively. To make the notations light, we use $z^{(J)}$ and $z_{\complement}^{(J)}$ instead of $z^{(K_J)}$ and $z^{(\complement K_J)}$ through the section. Similary we use $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{(J)}$ instead of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{(K_J)}$ hereafter.*

Given $S(W, \epsilon)$, set $S_J = \pi_J(S(W, \epsilon))$. A total family of coefficients of multi-asymptotic expansion is given by

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \{F_{\{1\}}, F_{\{2\}}, F_{\{1,2\}}\} \\ &= \Big\{ \{f_{\{1\},\alpha}(z_{\complement}^{(\{1\})})\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{(\{1\})}},\ \{f_{\{2\},\alpha}(z_{\complement}^{(\{2\})})\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{(\{2\})}}, \\ &\qquad\qquad \{f_{\{1,2\},\alpha}(z_{\complement}^{(\{1,2\})})\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{(\{1,2\})}} \Big\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $f_{J,\alpha}$ is holomorphic on S_J, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{(J)}$, $\emptyset \neq J \subseteq \{1, 2\}$. Here

$$\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{(J)} = \Big\{ (\alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(m)}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n};\ \alpha^{(k)} = 0,\ k \notin K_J \Big\}.$$

Let σ_A be the less common multiple of the denominators of a_{ji}, $j = 1, 2$, $i = 1, \dots, m$. We are now ready to define an asymptotic expansion $\mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\ z)$,

$N = (n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$. It is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
T^{<N}_{\{1\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{a_{11}|\alpha^{(1)}|+\cdots+a_{1m}|\alpha^{(m)}|<n_1/\sigma_A} f_{\{1\},\alpha}(z_{\mathrm{C}}^{(\{1\})})\frac{z^\alpha}{\alpha!}, \qquad \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{(\{1\})}_{\geq 0},\\
T^{<N}_{\{2\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{a_{21}|\alpha^{(1)}|+\cdots+a_{2m}|\alpha^{(m)}|<n_2/\sigma_A} f_{\{2\},\alpha}(z_{\mathrm{C}}^{(\{2\})})\frac{z^\alpha}{\alpha!}, \qquad \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{(\{2\})}_{\geq 0},\\
T^{<N}_{\{1,2\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{\substack{a_{11}|\alpha^{(1)}|+\cdots+a_{1m}|\alpha^{(m)}|<n_1/\sigma_A\\ a_{21}|\alpha^{(1)}|+\cdots+a_{2m}|\alpha^{(m)}|<n_2/\sigma_A}} f_{\{1,2\},\alpha}(z_{\mathrm{C}}^{(\{1,2\})})\frac{z^\alpha}{\alpha!}, \qquad \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{(\{1,2\})}_{\geq 0},\\
\mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z) &= T^{<N}_{\{1\}}(F;\, z) + T^{<N}_{\{2\}}(F;\, z) - T^{<N}_{\{1,2\}}(F;\, z).
\end{aligned}$$

We say that f is multi-asymptotically developable to $F = \{F_{\{1\}}, F_{\{2\}}, F_{\{1,2\}}\}$ along χ on $S = S(W, \epsilon)$ if and only if for any cone $S' = S(W', \epsilon')$ properly contained in S (i.e. $\overline{W'_k} \setminus \{0\} \subset W_k$, $k = 1, \ldots, m$, and $\epsilon' < \epsilon$, cf. Definition 3.15) and for any $N = (n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$, there exists a constant $C_{S',N}$ such that

$$\left|f(z) - \mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z)\right| \leq C_{S',N}(|z^{(1)}|^{a_{22}n_1 - a_{12}n_2}|z^{(2)}|^{a_{11}n_2 - a_{21}n_1})^{d/\sigma_A} \qquad (z \in S').$$

We are now ready to compute the fibers of the specialization of Whitney holomorphic functions at ξ. Let $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_X$ be the subanalytic sheaf of Whitney holomorphic functions on X. Then

$$H^0(\nu_\chi \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_X)_\xi \simeq \varinjlim_{S} H^0(S; \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_X) \simeq \varinjlim_{S}\varprojlim_{S'} H^0(S'; \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_X),$$

where S ranges through the family $\{S(W, \epsilon)\}_{W,\epsilon}$, S' ranges through the family of multicones properly contained in S.

We are now going to classify multi-specializations and associated asymptotics in the two manifolds case for $m \leq 3$. We perform the classification by

- the number m of columns of A_χ,
- the number of non-zero entries of A_χ (denoted by N) assuming that the action is non-degenerate (in that case we are reduced to the one manifold case)

If $m = 1$ the action is degenerate, so we consider $m = 2, 3$. Moreover, the fact that row (resp. column) permutation and row (resp. column) multiplication by a positive rational number do not change the multi-normal deformation and the associated asymptotics (up to permutation of variable blocks) , we may classify A_χ up to these operations. In particular, we assume $a_{11} = a_{22} = 1$ and $1 - a_{12}a_{21} > 0$.

Let us consider the case $m = 2$. We have 2 coordinates blocks $z^{(1)} = \{z_1, \ldots, z_{m_1}\}$ and $z^{(2)} = \{z_{m_1+1}, \ldots, z_{m_1+m_2}\}$ $(m_1 + m_2 = n)$. In this case there are 5 possibilities.

✓ **Case** $m = 2$ **and** $N = 0, 1$**.** The action is degenerate.

✓ **Case** $m = 2, N = 2$**.** In this case

$$A_\chi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have $Z_j = \{z^{(j)} = 0\}$, $j = 1, 2$. Then we can define a normal deformation $\widetilde{X} = \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}^2$ with the map $p(z;\, t) = (t_1 z^{(1)}, t_2 z^{(2)})$. The rational monomials φ_j^{-1}, $j = 1, 2$ are $\varphi_1^{-1}(\tau) = \tau_1$ and $\varphi_2^{-1}(\tau) = \tau_2$. A cofinal family of $C(\xi)$ is $C(\xi, F^0)$ consisting of elements $S(W, \epsilon)$, $W = W_1 \times W_2$, defined as follows

$$S(W, \epsilon) = \left\{ z \in X;\ \begin{matrix} z^{(k)} \in W_k \quad (k = 1, 2), \\ |z^{(i)}| < \epsilon \quad (i = 1, 2) \end{matrix} \right\},$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ and each W_k is an $\mathbb{R}^+$-conic open subset in $\mathbb{C}^{m_k}$ containing the point $\xi^{(k)}$.

We now construct the asymptotics. We have $S_{\{1,2\}} = \{\mathrm{pt}\}$ and, for $i \neq j \in \{1, 2\}$

$$S_{\{i\}} = \left\{ z \in Z_i;\ \begin{matrix} z^{(j)} \in W_j, \\ |z^{(j)}| < \epsilon \end{matrix} \right\}.$$

A total family of coefficients of multi-asymptotic expansion is given by

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \{F_{\{1\}}, F_{\{2\}}, F_{\{1,2\}}\} \\ &= \Big\{\{f_{\{1\},\alpha}(z^{(2)})\}_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^{m_1}_{\geq 0}\times\{0\}^{m_2}}, \{f_{\{2\},\alpha}(z^{(1)})\}_{\alpha\in\{0\}^{m_1}\times\mathbb{Z}^{m_2}_{\geq 0}}, \\ &\qquad\qquad \{f_{\{1,2\},\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^{n}_{\geq 0}}\Big\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $f_{\{1\},\alpha}$ (resp. $f_{\{2\},\alpha}$) is holomorphic on $S_{\{2\}}$ (resp. $S_{\{1\}}$) and $f_{\{1,2\},\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}$. An asymptotic expansion $\mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z)$, $N = (n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} T^{<N}_{\{1\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{|\alpha^{(1)}|<n_1} f_{\{1\},\alpha}(z^{(2)})\frac{z^\alpha}{\alpha!}, \qquad \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{m_1}_{\geq 0}\times\{0\}^{m_2}, \\ T^{<N}_{\{2\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{|\alpha^{(2)}|<n_2} f_{\{2\},\alpha}(z^{(1)})\frac{z^\alpha}{\alpha!}, \qquad \alpha \in \{0\}^{m_1}\times\mathbb{Z}^{m_2}_{\geq 0}, \\ T^{<N}_{\{1,2\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{|\alpha^{(1)}|<n_1,\, |\alpha^{(2)}|<n_2} f_{\{1,2\},\alpha}\frac{z^\alpha}{\alpha!}, \qquad \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}_{\geq 0}, \\ \mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z) &= T^{<N}_{\{1\}}(F;\, z) + T^{<N}_{\{2\}}(F;\, z) - T^{<N}_{\{1,2\}}(F;\, z). \end{aligned}$$

We say that f is multi-asymptotically developable to $F = \{F_{\{1\}}, F_{\{2\}}, F_{\{1,2\}}\}$ along χ on $S = S(W, \epsilon)$ if and only if for any cone $S' = S(W', \epsilon')$ properly contained in S and for any $N = (n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$, there exists a constant $C_{S',N}$ such that

$$\big|f(z) - \mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z)\big| \leq C_{S',N}|z^{(1)}|^{n_1}|z^{(2)}|^{n_2} \qquad (z \in S').$$

The family F is consistent if

- $f_{\{1\},(\alpha^{(1)},0)}(z^{(2)})$ is strongly asymptotically developable to

  $$\{f_{\{1,2\},(\alpha^{(1)},\alpha^{(2)})}\}_{\alpha^{(2)}\in\mathbb{Z}^{m_2}_{\geq 0}}$$

  on $S_{\{1\}}$ for each $\alpha^{(1)} \in \mathbb{Z}^{m_1}_{\geq 0}$. Namely, for any cone $S'_{\{1\}}$ properly contained in $S_{\{1\}}$ and for any $n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, there exists a constant $C_{S'_{\{1\}},n_2}$ such that

  $$\left|f_{\{1\},(\alpha^{(1)},0)}(z^{(2)}) - \sum_{|\alpha^{(2)}|<n_2} f_{\{1,2\},(\alpha^{(1)},\alpha^{(2)})}\frac{(z^{(2)})^{\alpha^{(2)}}}{\alpha^{(2)}!}\right| \leq C_{S'_{\{1\}},n_2}|z^{(2)}|^{n_2},$$

- $f_{\{2\},(0,\alpha^{(2)})}(z^{(1)})$ is strongly asymptotically developable to
$$\{f_{\{1,2\},(\alpha^{(1)},\alpha^{(2)})}\}_{\alpha^{(1)}\in\mathbb{Z}^{m_1}_{\geq 0}}$$
on $S_{\{2\}}$ for each $\alpha^{(2)} \in \mathbb{Z}^{m_2}_{\geq 0}$. Namely, for any cone $S'_{\{2\}}$ properly contained in $S_{\{2\}}$ and for any $n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, there exists a constant $C_{S'_{\{2\}},n_1}$ such that
$$\left| f_{\{2\},(0,\alpha^{(2)})}(z^{(1)}) - \sum_{|\alpha^{(1)}|<n_1} f_{\{1,2\},(\alpha^{(1)},\alpha^{(2)})} \frac{(z^{(1)})^{\alpha^{(1)}}}{\alpha^{(1)}!} \right| \leq C_{S'_{\{2\}},n_1}|z^{(1)}|^{n_1}.$$

✓ **Case $m = 2, N = 3$.** In this case
$$A_\chi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$
with $b \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$. We have $Z_1 = \{0\}$, $Z_2 = \{z^{(2)} = 0\}$. Then we can define a normal deformation $\widetilde{X} = \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}^2$ with the map $p(z;t) = (t_1 z^{(1)}, t_1^b t_2 z^{(2)})$. The rational monomials φ_j^{-1}, $j = 1, 2$, are $\varphi_1^{-1}(\tau) = \tau_1$ and $\varphi_2^{-1}(\tau) = \dfrac{\tau_2}{\tau_1^b}$. A cofinal family of $C(\xi)$ is $C(\xi, F^0)$ consisting of elements $S(W,\epsilon)$, $W = W_1 \times W_2$, defined as follows
$$S(W,\epsilon) = \left\{ z \in X;\ \begin{array}{l} z^{(k)} \in W_k \quad (k = 1,2), \\ |z^{(1)}| < \epsilon, \\ |z^{(2)}| < \epsilon |z^{(1)}|^b \end{array} \right\},$$
where $\epsilon > 0$ and each W_k is an $\mathbb{R}^+$-conic open subset in $\mathbb{C}^{m_k}$ containing the point $\xi^{(k)}$.

We now construct the asymptotics. We have $S_{\{1\}} = S_{\{1,2\}} = \{\text{pt}\}$ and
$$S_{\{2\}} = \left\{ z \in Z_2;\ \begin{array}{l} z^{(1)} \in W_1, \\ |z^{(1)}| < \epsilon \end{array} \right\}.$$

A total family of coefficients of multi-asymptotic expansion is given by
$$\begin{aligned} F &= \{F_{\{1\}}, F_{\{2\}}, F_{\{1,2\}}\} \\ &= \left\{ \{f_{\{1\},\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\{2\},\alpha}(z^{(1)})\}_{\alpha\in\{0\}^{m_1}\times\mathbb{Z}^{m_2}_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\{1,2\},\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $f_{\{2\},\alpha}$ is holomorphic on $S_{\{1\}}$ and $f_{\{1\},\alpha}, f_{\{1,2\},\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}$. Let σ_A be the denominator of b. An asymptotic expansion $\mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z)$, $N = (n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{\{1\}}^{<N}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{|\alpha^{(1)}|+b|\alpha^{(2)}|<n_1/\sigma_A} f_{\{1\},\alpha}\frac{z^\alpha}{\alpha!}, \qquad \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n,\\
T_{\{2\}}^{<N}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{|\alpha^{(2)}|<n_2/\sigma_A} f_{\{2\},\alpha}(z^{(1)})\frac{z^\alpha}{\alpha!}, \qquad \alpha \in \{0\}^{m_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m_2},\\
T_{\{1,2\}}^{<N}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{\substack{|\alpha^{(1)}|+b|\alpha^{(2)}|<n_1/\sigma_A\\ |\alpha^{(2)}|<n_2/\sigma_A}} f_{\{1,2\},\alpha}\frac{z^\alpha}{\alpha!}, \qquad \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n,\\
\mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z) &= T_{\{1\}}^{<N}(F;\, z) + T_{\{2\}}^{<N}(F;\, z) - T_{\{1,2\}}^{<N}(F;\, z).
\end{aligned}$$

We say that f is multi-asymptotically developable to $F = \{F_{\{1\}}, F_{\{2\}}, F_{\{1,2\}}\}$ along χ on $S = S(W, \epsilon)$ if and only if for any cone $S' = S(W', \epsilon')$ properly contained in S and for any $N = (n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2$, there exists a constant $C_{S',N}$ such that

$$\left|f(z) - \mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z)\right| \leq C_{S',N}(|z^{(1)}|^{n_1 - bn_2}|z^{(2)}|^{n_2})^{1/\sigma_A} \qquad (z \in S').$$

The family F is consistent if

- $f_{\{1\},\alpha} = f_{\{1,2\},\alpha}$ for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$,
- $f_{\{2\},(0,\alpha^{(2)})}(z^{(1)})$ is strongly asymptotically developable to

  $$\{f_{\{1,2\},(\alpha^{(1)},\alpha^{(2)})}\}_{\alpha^{(1)} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m_1}}$$

  on $S_{\{2\}}$ for each $\alpha^{(2)} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m_2}$. Namely, for any cone $S'_{\{2\}}$ properly contained in $S_{\{2\}}$ and for any $n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, there exists a constant $C_{S'_{\{2\}},n_1}$ such that

  $$\left| f_{\{2\},(0,\alpha^{(2)})}(z^{(1)}) - \sum_{|\alpha^{(1)}|<n_1} f_{\{1,2\},(\alpha^{(1)},\alpha^{(2)})}\frac{(z^{(1)})^{\alpha^{(1)}}}{\alpha^{(1)}!} \right| \leq C_{S'_{\{2\}},n_1}|z^{(1)}|^{n_1}.$$

✓ **Case** $m = 2, N = 4$**.** In this case

$$A_\chi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ c & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

with $b, c \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ and $1 - bc > 0$. We have $Z_1 = Z_2 = \{0\}$. Then we can define a normal deformation $\widetilde{X} = \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}^2$ with the map $p(z;\, t) = (t_1 t_2^c z^{(1)}, t_1^b t_2 z^{(2)})$. Set $d = \dfrac{1}{1 - bc}$. The rational monomials φ_j^{-1}, $j = 1, 2$ are $\varphi_1^{-1}(\tau) = \left(\dfrac{\tau_1}{\tau_2^c}\right)^d$ and $\varphi_2^{-1}(\tau) = \left(\dfrac{\tau_2}{\tau_1^b}\right)^d$. A cofinal family of $C(\xi)$ is $C(\xi, F^0)$ consisting of elements $S(W, \epsilon)$, $W = W_1 \times W_2$, defined as follows

$$S(W, \epsilon) = \left\{ z \in X;\ \begin{array}{c} z^{(k)} \in W_k \quad (k = 1, 2), \\ |z^{(1)}| < \epsilon^{\frac{1}{d}} |z^{(2)}|^c, \\ |z^{(2)}| < \epsilon^{\frac{1}{d}} |z^{(1)}|^b \end{array} \right\},$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ and each W_k is an $\mathbb{R}^+$-conic open subset in $\mathbb{C}^{m_k}$ containing the point $\xi^{(k)}$.

We now construct the asymptotics. We have $S_{\{1\}} = S_{\{2\}} = S_{\{1,2\}} = \{0\}$. A total family of coefficients of multi-asymptotic expansion is given by

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \{F_{\{1\}}, F_{\{2\}}, F_{\{1,2\}}\} \\ &= \left\{ \{f_{\{1\},\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\{2\},\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\{1,2\},\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $f_{\{1\},\alpha}, f_{\{2\},\alpha}, f_{\{1,2\},\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}$. Let σ_A be the less common multiple of the denominators of b, c. An asymptotic expansion $\mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z)$, $N = (n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$ is given by

$$T^{<N}_{\{1\}}(F;\, z) = \sum_{|\alpha^{(1)}| + b|\alpha^{(2)}| < n_1/\sigma_A} f_{\{1\},\alpha} \frac{z^\alpha}{\alpha!}, \qquad \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0},$$

$$T^{<N}_{\{2\}}(F;\, z) = \sum_{c|\alpha^{(1)}| + |\alpha^{(2)}| < n_2/\sigma_A} f_{\{2\},\alpha} \frac{z^\alpha}{\alpha!}, \qquad \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0},$$

$$T^{<N}_{\{1,2\}}(F;\, z) = \sum_{\substack{|\alpha^{(1)}| + b|\alpha^{(2)}| < n_1/\sigma_A \\ c|\alpha^{(1)}| + |\alpha^{(2)}| < n_2/\sigma_A}} f_{\{1,2\},\alpha} \frac{z^\alpha}{\alpha!}, \qquad \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0},$$

$$\mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z) = T^{<N}_{\{1\}}(F;\, z) + T^{<N}_{\{2\}}(F;\, z) - T^{<N}_{\{1,2\}}(F;\, z).$$

We say that f is multi-asymptotically developable to $F = \{F_{\{1\}}, F_{\{2\}}, F_{\{1,2\}}\}$ along χ on $S = S(W, \epsilon)$ if and only if for any cone $S' = S(W', \epsilon')$ properly contained in S and for any $N = (n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$, there exists a constant $C_{S',N}$ such that

$$\left| f(z) - \mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z) \right| \leq C_{S',N}(|z^{(1)}|^{n_1 - bn_2}|z^{(2)}|^{n_2 - cn_1})^{d/\sigma_A} \qquad (z \in S').$$

The family F is consistent if

- $f_{\{1\},\alpha} = f_{\{2\},\alpha} = f_{\{1,2\},\alpha}$ for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$.

Let us consider the case $m = 3$. We have 3 coordinates blocks

$$\begin{aligned} z^{(1)} &= \{z_1, \ldots, z_{m_1}\}, \\ z^{(2)} &= \{z_{m_1+1}, \ldots, z_{m_1+m_2}\}, \\ z^{(3)} &= \{z_{m_1+m_2+1}, \ldots, z_{m_1+m_2+m_3}\}, \end{aligned}$$

with $m_1 + m_2 + m_3 = n$. Up to row (resp. column) permutation and row (resp. column) multiplication by a positive rational number there are 5 interesting cases.

✓ **Case $m = 3, N = 3$.** In this case

$$A_\chi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & e \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $1 \neq e \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$. We have $Z_1 = \{z^{(1)} = z^{(3)} = 0\}$ and $Z_2 = \{z^{(2)} = 0\}$. Then we can define a normal deformation $\widetilde{X} = \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}^2$ with the map $p(z;\, t) = (t_1 z^{(1)}, t_2 z^{(2)}, t_1^e z^{(3)})$. The rational monomials φ_j^{-1}, $j = 1, 2$ are $\varphi_1^{-1}(\tau) = \tau_1$, $\varphi_2^{-1}(\tau) = \tau_2$ and $\psi_3 = \dfrac{\tau_3}{\tau_1^e}$. A cofinal family of $C(\xi)$ is $C(\xi, F^0)$ consisting of elements $S(W, \epsilon)$, $W = W_1 \times W_2 \times W_3$, defined as follows

$$S(W, \epsilon) = \left\{ z \in X;\, \begin{array}{c} z^{(k)} \in W_k \quad (k = 1, 2, 3), \\ |z^{(j)}| < \epsilon \quad (j = 1, 2), \\ |z^{(3)}| < \epsilon |z^{(1)}|^e \end{array} \right\},$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ and each W_k is an $\mathbb{R}^+$-conic open subset in $\mathbb{C}^{m_k}$ containing the point $\xi^{(k)}$.

We now construct the asymptotics. We have $S_{\{1,2\}} = \{0\}$ and

$$S_{\{1\}} = \left\{ z \in Z_1;\ \begin{array}{c} z^{(2)} \in W_2, \\ |z^{(2)}| < \epsilon \end{array} \right\}.$$

$$S_{\{2\}} = \left\{ z \in Z_2;\ \begin{array}{l} \quad\quad z^{(j)} \in W_j \quad (j = 1, 3), \\ |z^{(1)}| < \epsilon, \\ |z^{(3)}| < \epsilon |z^{(1)}|^e \end{array} \right\}.$$

A total family of coefficients of multi-asymptotic expansion is given by

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \{F_{\{1\}}, F_{\{2\}}, F_{\{1,2\}}\} \\ &= \Big\{ \{f_{\{1\},\alpha}(z^{(2)})\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m_1} \times \{0\}^{m_2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m_3}}, \\ &\qquad \{f_{\{2\},\alpha}(z^{(1)}, z^{(3)})\}_{\alpha \in \{0\}^{m_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m_2} \times \{0\}^{m_3}},\ \{f_{\{1,2\},\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n}} \Big\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $f_{\{j\},\alpha}$ is holomorphic on $S_{\{j\}}$, $j = 1, 2$ and $f_{\{1,2\},\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}$. Let σ_A be the denominator of e. An asymptotic expansion $\mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z)$, $N = (n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2$, is given by

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\{1\}}^{<N}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{|\alpha^{(1)}| + e|\alpha^{(3)}| < n_1/\sigma_A} f_{\{1\},\alpha}(z^{(2)}) \frac{z^\alpha}{\alpha!}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m_1} \times \{0\}^{m_2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m_3}, \\ T_{\{2\}}^{<N}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{|\alpha^{(2)}| < n_2/\sigma_A} f_{\{2\},\alpha}(z^{(1)}, z^{(3)}) \frac{z^\alpha}{\alpha!}, \quad \alpha \in \{0\}^{m_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m_2} \times \{0\}^{m_3}, \\ T_{\{1,2\}}^{<N}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{\substack{|\alpha^{(1)}| + e|\alpha^{(3)}| < n_1/\sigma_A \\ |\alpha^{(2)}| < n_2/\sigma_A}} f_{\{1,2\},\alpha} \frac{z^\alpha}{\alpha!}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z) = T_{\{1\}}^{<N}(F;\, z) + T_{\{2\}}^{<N}(F;\, z) - T_{\{1,2\}}^{<N}(F;\, z).$$

We say that f is multi-asymptotically developable to $F = \{F_{\{1\}}, F_{\{2\}}, F_{\{1,2\}}\}$ along χ on $S = S(W, \epsilon)$ if and only if for any cone $S' = S(W', \epsilon')$ properly contained in S and for any $N = (n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2$, there exists a constant $C_{S',N}$ such that

$$\left| f(z) - \mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z) \right| \leq C_{S',N} (|z^{(1)}|^{n_1} |z^{(2)}|^{n_2})^{1/\sigma_A} \qquad (z \in S').$$

The family F is consistent if

- $f_{\{1\},(\alpha^{(1)},0,\alpha^{(3)})}(z^{(2)})$ is strongly asymptotically developable to
$$\{f_{\{1,2\},(\alpha^{(1)},\alpha^{(2)},\alpha^{(3)})}\}_{\alpha^{(2)}\in\mathbb{Z}^{m_2}_{\geq 0}}$$
on $S_{\{1\}}$ for each $\alpha^{(i)}\in\mathbb{Z}^{m_i}_{\geq 0}$, $i=1,3$. Namely, for any cone $S'_{\{1\}}$ properly contained in $S_{\{1\}}$ and for any $n_2\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, there exists a constant $C_{S'_{\{1\}},n_2}$ such that
$$\left|f_{\{1\},(\alpha^{(1)},0,\alpha^{(3)})}(z^{(2)})-\sum_{|\alpha^{(2)}|<n_2} f_{\{1,2\},(\alpha^{(1)},\alpha^{(2)},\alpha^{(3)})}\frac{(z^{(2)})^{\alpha^{(2)}}}{\alpha^{(2)}!}\right| \leq C_{S'_{\{1\}},n_2}|z^{(2)}|^{n_2},$$
- $f_{\{2\},(0,\alpha^{(2)},0)}(z^{(1)},z^{(3)})$ is strongly asymptotically developable to
$$\{f_{\{1,2\},(\alpha^{(1)},\alpha^{(2)},\alpha^{(3)})}\}_{\alpha^{(1)}\in\mathbb{Z}^{m_1}_{\geq 0},\alpha^{(3)}\in\mathbb{Z}^{m_3}_{\geq 0}}$$
on $S_{\{2\}}$ for each $\alpha^{(2)}\in\mathbb{Z}^{m_2}_{\geq 0}$. Namely, for any cone $S'_{\{2\}}$ properly contained in $S_{\{2\}}$ and for any $n_1\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, there exists a constant $C_{S'_{\{2\}},n_1}$ such that
$$\Big|f_{\{2\},(0,\alpha^{(2)},0)}(z^{(1)},z^{(3)}) - \sum_{|\alpha^{(1)}|+e|\alpha^{(3)}|<n_1/\sigma_A} f_{\{1,2\},(\alpha^{(1)},\alpha^{(2)},\alpha^{(3)})}\frac{(z^{(1)})^{\alpha^{(1)}}(z^{(3)})^{\alpha^{(3)}}}{\alpha^{(1)}!\alpha^{(3)}!}\Big| \leq C_{S'_{\{2\}},n_1}|z^{(1)}|^{n_1/\sigma_A}.$$

✓ **Case $m=3,N=4$.** In this case we have two possibilities

$$\text{(a)}\qquad A_\chi=\begin{pmatrix}1 & b & e\\ 0 & 1 & 0\end{pmatrix}\qquad b,e\in\mathbb{Q}_{>0},\ e\neq 1,$$
$$\text{(b)}\qquad A_\chi=\begin{pmatrix}1 & b & 0\\ 0 & 1 & e\end{pmatrix}\qquad b,e\in\mathbb{Q}_{>0}$$

✓ **Case** $m = 3, N = 4$ **(a).** We have $Z_1 = \{0\}$ and $Z_2 = \{z^{(2)} = 0\}$. Then we can define a normal deformation $\widetilde{X} = \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}^2$ with the map $p(z;\, t) = (t_1 z^{(1)}, t_1^b t_2 z^{(2)}, t_1^e z^{(3)})$. The rational monomials φ_j^{-1}, $j = 1, 2$ are $\varphi_1^{-1}(\tau) = \tau_1$, $\varphi_2^{-1}(\tau) = \dfrac{\tau_2}{\tau_1^b}$ and $\psi_3 = \dfrac{\tau_3}{\tau_1^e}$. A cofinal family of $C(\xi)$ is $C(\xi, F^0)$ consisting of elements $S(W, \epsilon)$, $W = W_1 \times W_2 \times W_3$, defined as follows

$$S(W,\epsilon) = \left\{ z \in X;\ \begin{array}{l} \qquad z^{(k)} \in W_k \quad (k = 1, 2, 3), \\ |z^{(1)}| < \epsilon, \\ |z^{(2)}| < \epsilon |z^{(1)}|^b, \\ |z^{(3)}| < \epsilon |z^{(1)}|^e \end{array} \right\},$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ and each W_k is an $\mathbb{R}^+$-conic open subset in $\mathbb{C}^{m_k}$ containing the point $\xi^{(k)}$.

We now construct the asymptotics. We have $S_{\{1\}} = S_{\{1,2\}} = \{0\}$ and

$$S_{\{2\}} = \left\{ z \in Z_2;\ \begin{array}{l} \qquad z^{(j)} \in W_j \quad (j = 1, 3), \\ |z^{(1)}| < \epsilon, \\ |z^{(3)}| < \epsilon |z^{(1)}|^e \end{array} \right\}.$$

A total family of coefficients of multi-asymptotic expansion is given by

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \{F_{\{1\}}, F_{\{2\}}, F_{\{1,2\}}\} \\ &= \Big\{ \{f_{\{1\},\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\{2\},\alpha}(z^{(1)}, z^{(3)})\}_{\alpha \in \{0\}^{m_1} \times \mathbb{Z}^{m_2}_{\geq 0} \times \{0\}^{m_3}}, \\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \{f_{\{1,2\},\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}} \Big\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $f_{\{2\},\alpha}$ is holomorphic on $S_{\{2\}}$ and $f_{\{1\},\alpha}, f_{\{1,2\},\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}$. Let σ_A be the less common multiple of the denominators of b, e. An asymptotic expansion

$\mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\,z)$, $N=(n_1,n_2)\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{\{1\}}^{<N}(F;\,z) &= \sum_{|\alpha^{(1)}|+b|\alpha^{(2)}|+e|\alpha^{(3)}|<n_1/\sigma_A} f_{\{1\},\alpha}\frac{z^\alpha}{\alpha!}, \qquad \alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n,\\
T_{\{2\}}^{<N}(F;\,z) &= \sum_{|\alpha^{(2)}|<n_2/\sigma_A} f_{\{2\},\alpha}(z^{(1)},z^{(3)})\frac{z^\alpha}{\alpha!}, \qquad \alpha\in\{0\}^{m_1}\times\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m_2}\times\{0\}^{m_3},\\
T_{\{1,2\}}^{<N}(F;\,z) &= \sum_{\substack{|\alpha^{(1)}|+b|\alpha^{(2)}|+e|\alpha^{(3)}|<n_1/\sigma_A\\ |\alpha^{(2)}|<n_2/\sigma_A}} f_{\{1,2\},\alpha}\frac{z^\alpha}{\alpha!}, \qquad \alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n,\\
\mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\,z) &= T_{\{1\}}^{<N}(F;\,z)+T_{\{2\}}^{<N}(F;\,z)-T_{\{1,2\}}^{<N}(F;\,z).
\end{aligned}$$

We say that f is multi-asymptotically developable to $F=\{F_{\{1\}},F_{\{2\}},F_{\{1,2\}}\}$ along χ on $S=S(W,\epsilon)$ if and only if for any cone $S'=S(W',\epsilon')$ properly contained in S and for any $N=(n_1,n_2)\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2$, there exists a constant $C_{S',N}$ such that

$$\left|f(z)-\mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\,z)\right|\leq C_{S',N}(|z^{(1)}|^{n_1-bn_2}|z^{(2)}|^{n_2})^{1/\sigma_A} \qquad (z\in S').$$

The consistent family in this case is described as follows:

- $f_{\{1\},\alpha}=f_{\{1,2\},\alpha}$ for each $\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$,
- $f_{\{2\},(0,\alpha^{(2)},0)}(z^{(1)},z^{(3)})$ is strongly asymptotically developable to

  $$\{f_{\{1,2\},(\alpha^{(1)},\alpha^{(2)},\alpha^{(3)})}\}_{\alpha^{(1)}\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m_1},\alpha^{(3)}\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m_3}}$$

  on $S_{\{2\}}$ for each $\alpha^{(2)}\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m_2}$. Namely, for any cone $S'_{\{2\}}$ properly contained in $S_{\{2\}}$ and for any $n_1\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, there exists a constant $C_{S'_{\{2\}},n_1}$ such that

  $$\begin{aligned}
  &\Big|f_{\{2\},(0,\alpha^{(2)},0)}(z^{(1)},z^{(3)})\\
  &\qquad-\sum_{|\alpha^{(1)}|+e|\alpha^{(3)}|<n_1/\sigma_A} f_{\{1,2\},(\alpha^{(1)},\alpha^{(2)},\alpha^{(3)})}\frac{(z^{(1)})^{\alpha^{(1)}}(z^{(3)})^{\alpha^{(3)}}}{\alpha^{(1)}!\alpha^{(3)}!}\Big|\\
  &\qquad\qquad\leq C_{S'_{\{2\}},n_1}|z^{(1)}|^{n_1/\sigma_A}.
  \end{aligned}$$

✓ **Case** $m = 3, N = 4$ **(b).** We have $Z_1 = \{z^{(1)} = z^{(2)} = 0\}$ and $Z_2 = \{z^{(2)} = z^{(3)} = 0\}$. Then we can define a normal deformation $\widetilde{X} = \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}^2$ with the map $p(z;\, t) = (t_1 z^{(1)}, t_1^b t_2 z^{(2)}, t_2^e z^{(3)})$. The rational monomials φ_j^{-1}, $j = 1, 2$ are $\varphi_1^{-1}(\tau) = \tau_1$, $\varphi_2^{-1}(\tau) = \dfrac{\tau_2}{\tau_1^b}$ and $\psi_3 = \dfrac{\tau_3 \tau_1^{be}}{\tau_2^e}$. A cofinal family of $C(\xi)$ is $C(\xi, F^0)$ consisting of elements $S(W, \epsilon)$, $W = W_1 \times W_2 \times W_3$, defined as follows

$$S(W,\epsilon) = \left\{ z \in X;\ \begin{array}{l} \quad z^{(k)} \in W_k \quad (k = 1,2,3), \\ |z^{(1)}| < \epsilon, \\ |z^{(2)}| < \epsilon |z^{(1)}|^b, \\ |z^{(3)}||z^{(1)}|^{be} < \epsilon |z^{(2)}|^e \end{array} \right\},$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ and each W_k is an $\mathbb{R}^+$-conic open subset in $\mathbb{C}^{m_k}$ containing the point $\xi^{(k)}$.

We now construct the asymptotics. We have $S_{\{1,2\}} = \{0\}$ and

$$S_{\{1\}} = \left\{ z \in Z_1;\ \begin{array}{l} z^{(3)} \in W_3, \\ |z^{(3)}| < \epsilon \end{array} \right\},$$

$$S_{\{2\}} = \left\{ z \in Z_2;\ \begin{array}{l} z^{(1)} \in W_1, \\ |z^{(1)}| < \epsilon \end{array} \right\}.$$

A total family of coefficients of multi-asymptotic expansion is given by

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \{F_{\{1\}}, F_{\{2\}}, F_{\{1,2\}}\} \\ &= \Big\{ \{f_{\{1\},\alpha}(z^{(3)})\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m_2} \times \{0\}^{m_3}}, \\ &\qquad \{f_{\{2\},\alpha}(z^{(1)})\}_{\alpha \in \{0\}^{m_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m_2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m_3}}, \{f_{\{1,2\},\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n} \Big\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $f_{\{j\},\alpha}$ is holomorphic on $S_{\{j\}}$, $j = 1, 2$ and $f_{\{1,2\},\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}$. Let σ_A be the less common multiple of the denominators of b, e. An asymptotic expansion

$\mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\,z)$, $N=(n_1,n_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
T^{<N}_{\{1\}}(F;\,z) &= \sum_{|\alpha^{(1)}|+b|\alpha^{(2)}|<n_1/\sigma_A} f_{\{1\},\alpha}(z^{(3)})\frac{z^\alpha}{\alpha!}, \qquad \alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^{m_1}_{\geq 0}\times\mathbb{Z}^{m_2}_{\geq 0}\times\{0\}^{m_3},\\
T^{<N}_{\{2\}}(F;\,z) &= \sum_{|\alpha^{(2)}|+e|\alpha^{(3)}|<n_2/\sigma_A} f_{\{2\},\alpha}(z^{(1)})\frac{z^\alpha}{\alpha!}, \qquad \alpha\in\{0\}^{m_1}\times\mathbb{Z}^{m_2}_{\geq 0}\times\mathbb{Z}^{m_3}_{\geq 0},\\
T^{<N}_{\{1,2\}}(F;\,z) &= \sum_{\substack{|\alpha^{(1)}|+b|\alpha^{(2)}|<n_1/\sigma_A\\ |\alpha^{(2)}|+e|\alpha^{(3)}|<n_2/\sigma_A}} f_{\{1,2\},\alpha}\frac{z^\alpha}{\alpha!}, \qquad \alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0},\\
\mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\,z) &= T^{<N}_{\{1\}}(F;\,z)+T^{<N}_{\{2\}}(F;\,z)-T^{<N}_{\{1,2\}}(F;\,z).
\end{aligned}$$

We say that f is multi-asymptotically developable to $F=\{F_{\{1\}},F_{\{2\}},F_{\{1,2\}}\}$ along χ on $S=S(W,\epsilon)$ if and only if for any cone $S'=S(W',\epsilon')$ properly contained in S and for any $N=(n_1,n_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$, there exists a constant $C_{S',N}$ such that

$$\left|f(z)-\mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\,z)\right|\leq C_{S',N}(|z^{(1)}|^{n_1-bn_2}|z^{(2)}|^{n_2})^{1/\sigma_A} \qquad (z\in S').$$

The family F is consistent if

- $f_{\{1\},(\alpha^{(1)},\alpha^{(2)},0)}(z^{(3)})$ is strongly asymptotically developable to

  $$\{f_{\{1,2\},(\alpha^{(1)},\alpha^{(2)},\alpha^{(3)})}\}_{\alpha^{(3)}\in\mathbb{Z}^{m_3}_{\geq 0}}$$

  on $S_{\{1\}}$ for each $\alpha^{(i)}\in\mathbb{Z}^{m_i}_{\geq 0}$, $i=1,2$. Namely, for any cone $S'_{\{1\}}$ properly contained in $S_{\{1\}}$ and for any $n_3\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, there exists a constant $C_{S'_{\{1\}},n_3}$ such that

  $$\begin{aligned}&\big|f_{\{1\},(\alpha^{(1)},\alpha^{(2)},0)}(z^{(3)})\\ &\qquad-\sum_{|\alpha^{(3)}|<n_3} f_{\{1,2\},(\alpha^{(1)},\alpha^{(2)},\alpha^{(3)})}\frac{(z^{(3)})^{\alpha^{(3)}}}{\alpha^{(3)}!}\big|\leq C_{S'_{\{1\}},n_3}|z^{(3)}|^{n_3}.\end{aligned}$$

- $f_{\{2\},(0,\alpha^{(2)},\alpha^{(3)})}(z^{(1)})$ is strongly asymptotically developable to

  $$\{f_{\{1,2\},(\alpha^{(1)},\alpha^{(2)},\alpha^{(3)})}\}_{\alpha^{(1)}\in\mathbb{Z}^{m_1}_{\geq 0}}$$

on $S_{\{2\}}$ for each $\alpha^{(i)} \in \mathbb{Z}^{m_i}_{\geq 0}$, $i = 2, 3$. Namely, for any cone $S'_{\{2\}}$ properly contained in $S_{\{2\}}$ and for any $n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, there exists a constant $C_{S'_{\{2\}}, n_1}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\big| f_{\{2\},(0,\alpha^{(2)},\alpha^{(3)})}(z^{(1)}) \\ &\qquad - \sum_{|\alpha^{(1)}|<n_1} f_{\{1,2\},(\alpha^{(1)},\alpha^{(2)},\alpha^{(3)})} \frac{(z^{(1)})^{\alpha^{(1)}}}{\alpha^{(1)}!} \big| \leq C_{S'_{\{2\}}, n_1} |z^{(1)}|^{n_1}. \end{aligned}$$

✓ **Case** $m = 3, N = 5$**.** In this case

$$A_\chi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b & e \\ 0 & 1 & f \end{pmatrix}$$

with $b, e, f \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$, $bf \neq e$. We have $Z_1 = \{0\}$ and $Z_2 = \{z^{(2)} = z^{(3)} = 0\}$. Then we can define a normal deformation $\widetilde{X} = \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}^2$ with the map $p(z;\, t) = (t_1 z^{(1)}, t_1^b t_2 z^{(2)}, t_1^e t_2^f z^{(3)})$. The rational monomials φ_j^{-1}, $j = 1, 2$ are $\varphi_1^{-1}(\tau) = \tau_1$, $\varphi_2^{-1}(\tau) = \dfrac{\tau_2}{\tau_1^b}$ and $\psi_3 = \dfrac{\tau_3}{\tau_1^{e-bf}\tau_2^f}$. A cofinal family of $C(\xi)$ is $C(\xi, F^0)$ consisting of elements $S(W, \epsilon)$, $W = W_1 \times W_2 \times W_3$, defined as follows

$$S(W, \epsilon) = \left\{ z \in X;\ \begin{array}{l} \qquad z^{(k)} \in W_k \quad (k = 1, 2, 3), \\ |z^{(1)}| < \epsilon, \\ |z^{(2)}| < \epsilon |z^{(1)}|^b, \\ |z^{(3)}| < \epsilon |z^{(1)}|^{e-bf} |z^{(2)}|^f \end{array} \right\},$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ and each W_k is an $\mathbb{R}^+$-conic open subset in $\mathbb{C}^{m_k}$ containing the point $\xi^{(k)}$.

We now construct the asymptotics. We have $S_{\{1\}} = S_{\{1,2\}} = \{\mathrm{pt}\}$ and

$$S_{\{2\}} = \left\{ z \in Z_2;\ \begin{array}{c} z^{(1)} \in W_1, \\ |z^{(1)}| < \epsilon \end{array} \right\}.$$

A total family of coefficients of multi-asymptotic expansion is given by

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \{F_{\{1\}}, F_{\{2\}}, F_{\{1,2\}}\} \\ &= \left\{ \{f_{\{1\},\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\{2\},\alpha}(z^{(1)})\}_{\alpha \in \{0\}^{m_1} \times \mathbb{Z}^{m_2}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}^{m_3}_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\{1,2\},\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $f_{\{2\},\alpha}$ is holomorphic on $S_{\{2\}}$ and $f_{\{1\},\alpha}, f_{\{1,2\},\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}$. Let σ_A be the less common multiple of the denominators of b, e, f. An asymptotic expansion $\mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z)$, $N = (n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{<N}_{\{1\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{|\alpha^{(1)}|+b|\alpha^{(2)}|+e|\alpha^{(3)}|<n_1/\sigma_A} f_{\{1\},\alpha}\frac{z^\alpha}{\alpha!}, \qquad \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0},\\
T^{<N}_{\{2\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{|\alpha^{(2)}|+f|\alpha^{(3)}|<n_2/\sigma_A} f_{\{2\},\alpha}(z^{(1)})\frac{z^\alpha}{\alpha!}, \qquad \alpha \in \{0\}^{m_1} \times \mathbb{Z}^{m_2}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}^{m_3}_{\geq 0},\\
T^{<N}_{\{1,2\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{\substack{|\alpha^{(1)}|+b|\alpha^{(2)}|+e|\alpha^{(3)}|<n_1/\sigma_A\\ |\alpha^{(2)}|+f|\alpha^{(3)}|<n_2/\sigma_A}} f_{\{1,2\},\alpha}\frac{z^\alpha}{\alpha!}, \qquad \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0},\\
\mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z) &= T^{<N}_{\{1\}}(F;\, z) + T^{<N}_{\{2\}}(F;\, z) - T^{<N}_{\{1,2\}}(F;\, z).
\end{aligned}
$$

We say that f is multi-asymptotically developable to $F = \{F_{\{1\}}, F_{\{2\}}, F_{\{1,2\}}\}$ along χ on $S = S(W, \epsilon)$ if and only if for any cone $S' = S(W', \epsilon')$ properly contained in S and for any $N = (n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$, there exists a constant $C_{S',N}$ such that

$$
\left|f(z) - \mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z)\right| \leq C_{S',N}(|z^{(1)}|^{n_1 - bn_2}|z^{(2)}|^{n_2})^{1/\sigma_A} \qquad (z \in S').
$$

The family F is consistent if

- $f_{\{1\},\alpha} = f_{\{1,2\},\alpha}$ for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$,
- $f_{\{2\},(0,\alpha^{(2)},\alpha^{(3)})}(z^{(1)})$ is strongly asymptotically developable to

  $$\{f_{\{1,2\},(\alpha^{(1)},\alpha^{(2)},\alpha^{(3)})}\}_{\alpha^{(1)} \in \mathbb{Z}^{m_1}_{\geq 0}}$$

  on $S_{\{2\}}$ for each $\alpha^{(i)} \in \mathbb{Z}^{m_i}_{\geq 0}$, $i = 2, 3$. Namely, for any cone $S'_{\{2\}}$ properly contained in $S_{\{2\}}$ and for any $n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, there exists a constant $C_{S'_{\{2\}},n_1}$ such that

  $$\left|f_{\{2\},(0,\alpha^{(2)},\alpha^{(3)})}(z^{(1)}) - \sum_{|\alpha^{(1)}|<n_1} f_{\{1,2\},(\alpha^{(1)},\alpha^{(2)},\alpha^{(3)})}\frac{(z^{(1)})^{\alpha^{(1)}}}{\alpha^{(1)}!}\right| \leq C_{S'_{\{2\}},n_1}|z^{(1)}|^{n_1}.$$

✓ **Case** $m = 3, N = 6$**.** In this case

$$A_\chi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b & e \\ c & 1 & r \end{pmatrix}$$

with $b, c, e, r \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$, $bc \neq 1$, $br \neq e$, $ce \neq r$ and $1 - bc > 0$. We have $Z_1 = Z_2 = \{0\}$. Then we can define a normal deformation $\widetilde{X} = \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}^2$ with the map $p(z;\, t) = (t_1 t_2^c z^{(1)}, t_1^b t_2 z^{(2)}, t_1^e t_2^r z^{(3)})$. Set $d = \dfrac{1}{1 - bc}$. The rational monomials φ_j^{-1}, $j = 1, 2$ are $\varphi_1^{-1}(\tau) = \left(\dfrac{\tau_1}{\tau_2^c}\right)^d$, $\varphi_2^{-1}(\tau) = \left(\dfrac{\tau_2}{\tau_1^b}\right)^d$ and $\psi_3 = \dfrac{\tau_3}{(\tau_1^{e-br}\tau_2^{r-ce})^d}$. A cofinal family of $C(\xi)$ is $C(\xi, F^0)$ consisting of elements $S(W, \epsilon)$, $W = W_1 \times W_2 \times W_3$, defined as follows

$$S(W,\epsilon) = \left\{ z \in X;\ \begin{array}{c} z^{(k)} \in W_k \quad (k = 1, 2, 3), \\ |z^{(1)}| < \epsilon^{\frac{1}{d}} |z^{(2)}|^c, \\ |z^{(2)}| < \epsilon^{\frac{1}{d}} |z^{(1)}|^b, \\ |z^{(3)}| < \epsilon (|z^{(1)}|^{e-br} |z^{(2)}|^{r-ce})^d \end{array} \right\},$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ and each W_k is an $\mathbb{R}^+$-conic open subset in $\mathbb{C}^{m_k}$ containing the point $\xi^{(k)}$.

We now construct the asymptotics. We have $S_{\{1\}} = S_{\{2\}} = S_{\{1,2\}} = \{0\}$ and a total family of coefficients of multi-asymptotic expansion is given by

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \{F_{\{1\}}, F_{\{2\}}, F_{\{1,2\}}\} \\ &= \left\{ \{f_{\{1\},\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\{2\},\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}}, \{f_{\{1,2\},\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $f_{\{1\},\alpha}, f_{\{2\},\alpha}, f_{\{1,2\},\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}$. Let σ_A be the less common multiple of the denominators of b, c, e, r. An asymptotic expansion $\mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z)$, $N =$

$(n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
T^{<N}_{\{1\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{|\alpha^{(1)}|+b|\alpha^{(2)}|+e|\alpha^{(3)}|<n_1/\sigma_A} f_{\{1\},\alpha}\frac{z^\alpha}{\alpha!}, \qquad \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0},\\
T^{<N}_{\{2\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{c|\alpha^{(1)}|+|\alpha^{(2)}|+r|\alpha^{(3)}|<n_2/\sigma_A} f_{\{2\},\alpha}(z^{(1)})\frac{z^\alpha}{\alpha!}, \qquad \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0},\\
T^{<N}_{\{1,2\}}(F;\, z) &= \sum_{\substack{|\alpha^{(1)}|+b|\alpha^{(2)}|+e|\alpha^{(3)}|<n_1/\sigma_A \\ c|\alpha^{(1)}|+|\alpha^{(2)}|+r|\alpha^{(3)}|<n_2/\sigma_A}} f_{\{1,2\},\alpha}\frac{z^\alpha}{\alpha!}, \qquad \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0},\\
\mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z) &= T^{<N}_{\{1\}}(F;\, z) + T^{<N}_{\{2\}}(F;\, z) - T^{<N}_{\{1,2\}}(F;\, z).
\end{aligned}$$

We say that f is multi-asymptotically developable to $F = \{F_{\{1\}}, F_{\{2\}}, F_{\{1,2\}}\}$ along χ on $S = S(W, \epsilon)$ if and only if for any cone $S' = S(W', \epsilon')$ properly contained in S and for any $N = (n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$, there exists a constant $C_{S',N}$ such that

$$\left|f(z) - \mathrm{App}^{<N}(F;\, z)\right| \leq C_{S',N}(|z^{(1)}|^{n_1 - bn_2}|z^{(2)}|^{n_2 - cn_1})^{d/\sigma_A} \qquad (z \in S').$$

The family F is consistent if

- $f_{\{1\},\alpha} = f_{\{2\},\alpha} = f_{\{1,2\},\alpha}$ for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$.

In the other cases one of the following occurs:

- the action is degenerate,
- we reduce to $m = 2$.

A Appendix

In the Appendix we define conic objects and conic sheaves associated to multiple actions of $\mathbb{R}^+$. The proofs of the statements of Appendix A.1 and A.2 can be found in [6]. In Appendix A.3 we prove a decomposition theorem for open subanalytic subsets which is crucial for the computation of the sections of the multi-specialization.

A.1 Conic sheaves

Let k be a field. Let X be a real analytic manifold endowed with a subanalytic action μ of $\mathbb{R}^+$. In other words we have a subanalytic map

$$\mu : X \times \mathbb{R}^+ \to X,$$

which satisfies, for each $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$:

$$\begin{cases} \mu(x, t_1 t_2) = \mu(\mu(x, t_1), t_2), \\ \mu(x, 1) = x. \end{cases}$$

Note that μ is open, in fact let $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X)$ and $(t_1, t_2) \in \mathrm{Op}(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Then $\mu(U, (t_1, t_2)) = \bigcup_{t \in (t_1, t_2)} \mu(U, t)$, and $\mu(\cdot, t) : X \to X$ is a homeomorphism (with inverse $\mu(\cdot, t^{-1})$). We have a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[r, "j"] & X \times \mathbb{R}^+ \arrow[r, shift left, "\mu"] \arrow[r, shift right, "p"'] & X, \end{tikzcd}$$

where $j(x) = (x, 1)$ and p denotes the projection. We have $\mu \circ j = p \circ j = \mathrm{id}$.

Definition A.1. *(i) Let S be a subset of X. We set $\mathbb{R}^+ S = \mu(S, \mathbb{R}^+)$. If $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X)$, then $\mathbb{R}^+ U \in \mathrm{Op}(X)$ since μ is open.*

(ii) Let S be a subset of X. We say that S is conic if $S = \mathbb{R}^+ S$. In other words, S is invariant by the action of μ.

(iii) An orbit of μ is the set $\mathbb{R}^+ x$ with $x \in X$.

We assume that the orbits of μ are contractible. For each $x \in X$ there are two possibilities: either $\mathbb{R}^+ x = x$ or $\mathbb{R}^+ x \simeq \mathbb{R}$.

Definition A.2. *We say that a subset S of X is $\mathbb{R}^+$-connected if $S \cap \mathbb{R}^+ x$ is connected for each $x \in S$.*

Lemma A.3. *(i) Let $S_1, S_2 \subset X$ and suppose that S_2 is conic. Then $\mathbb{R}^+(S_1 \cap S_2) = \mathbb{R}^+ S_1 \cap S_2$. (ii) If S_1 and S_2 are $\mathbb{R}^+$-connected, then $S_1 \cap S_2$ is $\mathbb{R}^+$-connected.*

Let X, Y topological spaces endowed with an action (μ_X and μ_Y respectively) of $\mathbb{R}^+$.

Definition A.4. *A continuous function* $f : X \to Y$ *is said to be conic if for each* $x \in X$*,* $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$ *we have* $f(\mu_X(x,a)) = \mu_Y(f(x),a)$*.*

Lemma A.5. *Let* $f : X \to Y$ *be a conic map. (i) Suppose that* $S \subset Y$ *is* $\mathbb{R}^+$*-connected (resp. conic). Then* $f^{-1}(S)$ *is* $\mathbb{R}^+$*-connected (resp. conic). (ii) Suppose that* $Z \subset X$ *is conic. Then* $f(Z)$ *is conic.*

Let X be a real analytic manifold endowed with a subanalytic action of $\mathbb{R}^+$. Denote by X_{sa} the associated subanalytic site.

Definition A.6. *A sheaf of* k*-modules* F *on* X_{sa} *is conic if the restriction morphism* $\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^+U;F) \to \Gamma(U;F)$ *is an isomorphism for each* $\mathbb{R}^+$*-connected* $U \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})$ *with* $\mathbb{R}^+U \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$*.*

- *(i) We denote by* $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_{X_{sa}})$ *the subcategory of* $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ *consisting of conic sheaves.*
- *(ii) We denote by* $D^b_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_{X_{sa}})$*, the subcategory of* $D^b(k_{X_{sa}})$ *consisting of objects* F *such that* $H^j(F)$ *belongs to* $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_{X_{sa}})$ *for all* $j \in \mathbb{Z}$*.*

Assume the hypothesis below:

$$\text{(A.1)} \qquad \begin{cases} \text{(i) every } U \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa}) \text{ has a finite covering consisting} \\ \quad \text{of } \mathbb{R}^+\text{-connected subanalytic open subsets,} \\ \text{(ii) for any } U \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa}) \text{ we have } \mathbb{R}^+U \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{sa}), \\ \text{(iii) for any } x \in X \ \text{ the set } \mathbb{R}^+x \text{ is contractible,} \\ \text{(iv) there exists a covering } \{V_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \text{ of } X_{sa} \text{ such that} \\ \quad V_n \text{ is } \mathbb{R}^+\text{-connected and } V_n \subset\subset V_{n+1} \text{ for each } n. \end{cases}$$

The following result was proven in [14].

Proposition A.7. *Assume* (A.1)*. Let* $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$ *be* $\mathbb{R}^+$*-connected and such that* $\mathbb{R}^+U \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$*. Let* $F \in D^b_{\mathbb{R}^+}(k_{X_{sa}})$*. Then*

$$R\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^+U;F) \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma(U;F).$$

A.2 Multi-conic sheaves

Let X be a topological space with ℓ actions $\{\mu_i\}_{i=1}^{\ell}$ of $\mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\mu_i(\mu_j(x,t_j),t_i) = \mu_j(\mu_i(x,t_i),t_j)$. We have a map

$$\begin{aligned} \mu : X \times (\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell &\to X \\ (x,(t_1,\dots,t_\ell)) &\mapsto \mu_1(\cdots\mu_\ell(x,t_\ell),\dots,t_1). \end{aligned}$$

Definition A.8. *(i) Let S be a subset of X. We set $\mathbb{R}^+_i S = \mu_i(S,\mathbb{R}^+)$. If $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X)$, then $\mathbb{R}^+_i U \in \mathrm{Op}(X)$ since μ_i is open for each $i = 1,\dots,\ell$.*

(ii) Let S be a subset of X. Let $J = \{i_1,\dots,i_k\} \subseteq \{1,\dots,\ell\}$. We set

$$\mathbb{R}^+_J S = \mathbb{R}^+_{i_1}\cdots\mathbb{R}^+_{i_k} S = \mu_{i_1}(\cdots\mu_{i_k}(S,\mathbb{R}^+),\dots,\mathbb{R}^+), \quad i_1,\dots i_k \in J.$$

We set $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell S = \mathbb{R}^+_{\{1,\dots,\ell\}} S = \mu(S,(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell)$. If $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X)$, then $\mathbb{R}^+_J U \in \mathrm{Op}(X)$ since μ_i is open for each $i \in \{1,\dots,\ell\}$.

(iii) Let S be a subset of X. We say that S is $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$-conic if $S = (\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell S$. In other words, S is invariant by the action of μ_i, $i = 1,\dots,\ell$.

Definition A.9. *(i) We say that a subset S of X is $\mathbb{R}^+_i$-connected if $S \cap \mathbb{R}^+_i x$ is connected for each $x \in S$.*

(ii) We say that a subset S of X is $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$-connected if there exists a permutation $\sigma : \{1,\dots,\ell\} \to \{1,\dots,\ell\}$ such that

$$\begin{cases} S \text{ is } \mathbb{R}^+_{\sigma(1)}\text{-connected}, \\ \mathbb{R}^+_{\sigma(1)} S \text{ is } \mathbb{R}^+_{\sigma(2)}\text{-connected}, \\ \quad\vdots \\ \mathbb{R}^+_{\sigma(1)}\cdots\mathbb{R}^+_{\sigma(\ell-1)} S \text{ is } \mathbb{R}^+_{\sigma(\ell)}\text{-connected}. \end{cases} \tag{A.2}$$

The following results follow from the case $\ell = 1$.

Lemma A.10. *(i) Let $S_1, S_2 \subset X$ and suppose that S_2 is $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$-conic. Then $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell(S_1 \cap S_2) = (\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell S_1 \cap S_2$. (ii) If moreover S_1 is $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$-connected then $S_1 \cap S_2$ is $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$-connected.*

Remark A.11. *In (ii) of Lemma A.10 we have to assume that S_2 is $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$-conic. Indeed it is not true that the intersection of two $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$-connected is $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$-connected in general.*

Let X, Y topological spaces endowed with ℓ actions $\{\mu_{Xi}\}_{i=1}^{\ell}$, $\{\mu_{Yi}\}_{i=1}^{\ell}$ of $\mathbb{R}^+$.

Definition A.12. *A continuous function $f : X \to Y$ is said to be $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$-conic if for each $x \in X$, $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$ we have $f(\mu_{Xi}(x,a)) = \mu_{Yi}(f(x),a)$, $i = 1, \dots, \ell$.*

Lemma A.13. *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$-conic map. (i) Suppose that $S \subset Y$ is $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$-connected (resp. $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$-conic). Then $f^{-1}(S)$ is $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$-connected (resp. $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$-conic). (ii) Suppose that $Z \subset X$ is $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$-conic. Then $f(Z)$ is $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$-conic.*

Let X be a real analytic manifold and denote by X_{sa} the associated subanalytic site. Assume that X is endowed with ℓ subanalytic $\mathbb{R}^+$-actions $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_\ell$ commuting with each other.

Definition A.14. *A sheaf of k-modules F on X_{sa} is $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$-conic if it is conic with respect to each μ_i.*

(i) We denote by $\mathrm{Mod}_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell}(k_{X_{sa}})$ the subcategory of $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ consisting of $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$-conic sheaves.

(ii) We denote by $D^b_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell}(k_{X_{sa}})$, the subcategory of $D^b(k_{X_{sa}})$ consisting of objects F such that $H^j(F)$ belongs to $\mathrm{Mod}_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell}(k_{X_{sa}})$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let us assume the following hypothesis

$$\text{(A.3)}\quad \begin{cases} \text{(i) the pair } (X, \mu_i) \text{ satisfies (A.1) for each } i = 1, \dots, \ell, \\ \text{(ii) every } U \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa}) \text{ has a finite covering consisting} \\ \quad \text{of } (\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell\text{-connected subanalytic open subsets,} \\ \text{(iii) we have } \mathbb{R}^+_J U \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{sa}) \text{ for any } U \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa}) \\ \quad \text{and any } J \subset \{1, \dots, \ell\}. \end{cases}$$

In this situation the orbits of μ_i, $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ are either $\mathbb{R}^+ x \simeq \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{R}^+ x = x$.

Proposition A.15. *Assume* (A.3). *Let* $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$ *be* $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$*-connected. Let* $F \in D^b_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell}(k_{X_{sa}})$*. Then*

$$R\Gamma((\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell U; F) \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma(U; F).$$

If X satisfies (A.3) (i)-(iii), then it follows from Proposition A.15 that for $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$-conic subanalytic sheaves it is enough to study the cohomology of the sections on $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$-conic open subsets.

A.3 A decomposition theorem for subanalytic open sets

Let $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ with coordinates $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ endowed with the actions $\mu_j : X \times \mathbb{R}^+ \to X$, $j = 1, \dots, \ell$ defined by $\mu_j(x, \lambda) = \mu_j(x_1, \dots, x_n, \lambda) = (\lambda^{\alpha_{j1}} x_1, \dots, \lambda^{\alpha_{jn}}, x_n)$, with $\lambda \geq 0$ and $\alpha_{ji} \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha_{ji} \neq 0$ if $i \in I_j \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$. We can represent the actions μ_j, $j = 1, \dots, \ell$ in a matrix form as follows: let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$, $A = (\alpha_{ji}) \in M_{\ell,n}(\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$\text{(A.4)} \qquad \mu(x, \lambda) = \mu_1(\cdots(\mu_\ell(x, \lambda_\ell)\cdots), \lambda_1) = x e^{\log \lambda A}$$

where $\log \lambda = (\log \lambda_1, \dots, \log \lambda_\ell)$ and, given $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$, $e^y = \delta_{ij} e^{y_i} \in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{R})$ (δ_{ij} is the Kronecker's delta) is a diagonal matrix. So the i-th diagonal entry of $e^{\log \lambda A}$ is $\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \lambda^{\alpha_{ji}}$.

We consider the equivalence classes $\hat{I}_k$ defined as follows: $k_1, k_2 \in \hat{I}_k$ iff $\pi_{k_1}(\mu_j(x, \lambda)) = \pi_{k_2}(\mu_j(x, \lambda))$ for any $j \in 1, \dots, \ell$ and any $\lambda \geq 0$. Here π_{k_i} denotes the projection on the k_i-th coordinate, $i = 1, 2$. That means $\alpha_{jk_1} = \alpha_{jk_2}$ for each $j = 1, \dots, \ell$.

So we may assume that $\{1, \dots, n\} = \bigsqcup_{k=1}^{N} \hat{I}_k$. Let $m_k = \sharp \hat{I}_k$. Then $\sum_{k=1}^{N} m_k = n$. Consider the morphism of manifolds

$$\text{(A.5)} \qquad \begin{array}{rcl} \varphi : \widetilde{X}' = \times_{k=1}^{N} \mathbb{S}^{m_k - 1} \times \mathbb{R}^N & \to & \mathbb{R}^n \\ (\vartheta_k, r_k)_{k=1}^{N} & \mapsto & (r_k \iota_k(\vartheta_k))_{k=1}^{N}, \end{array}$$

where $\iota_k : \mathbb{S}^{m_k - 1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m_k}$ denotes the embedding. Endow $\times_{k=1}^{N} \mathbb{S}^{m_k - 1} \times \mathbb{R}^N$ with the actions $\widetilde{\mu}'_j = \mu_j$, $j = 1, \dots, \ell - 1$, $\widetilde{\mu}'_j((\vartheta_k, r_k)_{k=1}^{N}, \lambda) = (\vartheta_k, \lambda^{\alpha_{jk}} r_k)_{k=1}^{N}$. Then φ is a $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$-conic map.

Let V be an $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$-conic subanalytic subset in X. Let $S_k = \{x_i = 0; i \in \hat{I}_k\}$, and let $\pi_j : X \to S_k$ be the projection. We introduce the following conditions Va. and Vb. of V for each $k = 1, \ldots, N$.

Va. V does not intersect S_k.

Vb. $\pi_j(V) \subset \pi_j(V \cap S_k)$.

Proposition A.16. *Let V be a $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$-conic subanalytic subset in X and let W be an open subanalytic neighborhood of V. If V satisfies the condition either Va. or Vb. for each j, then there exists a subanalytic subset W' in X satisfying the following conditions.*

1. *W' is an open neighborhood of V and contained in W.*
2. *W' is $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$-connected.*
3. *$\mathbb{R}^+_1 \ldots \mathbb{R}^+_k W'$ is also subanalytic in X for any $1 \leq k \leq \ell$.*

Proof. Thanks to the morphism of manifolds (A.5) we may reduce to $X = [0, +\infty]^N$ setting $\widetilde{\mu}'_j = \mu_j$ for short and omitting the variables ϑ_j (they are irrelevant being fixed by each μ_j, $j = 1, \ldots, \ell$). We argue by induction on the number of actions. We prove the assertion in several steps.

(a) Suppose that there exists $i \in I_\ell$ such that V satisfies Va. on i. Up to a permutation of coordinates, we may assume $i = N$. First, on $\{x_N \neq 0\}$ consider the homeomorphism ψ making the orbits of μ_ℓ orthogonal to $\{x_N = 1\}$. Namely, if $\mu_\ell(x_1, \ldots, x_{N-1}, x_N, \lambda) = (\lambda^{\alpha_{\ell 1}} x_1, \ldots, \lambda^{\alpha_{\ell N-1}} x_{N-1}, \lambda^{\alpha_{\ell N}} x_N)$ we set $\psi(x_1, \ldots, x_{N-1}, x_N) = (x_1 x_N^{-\alpha_{\ell 1}/\alpha_{\ell N}}, \ldots, x_{N-1} x_N^{-\alpha_{\ell N-1}/\alpha_{\ell N}}, x_N^{1/\alpha_{\ell N}})$. Then $\psi \circ \mu_\ell \circ (\psi^{-1} \times \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^+})$ acts only on the variable x_N. This corresponds to the change of coordinates sending the matrix (representing the action as in (A.4))

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & d \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{to} \quad \begin{pmatrix} A' & B' \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where A (resp. A') is a $(N-1) \times (N-1)$ matrix, B (resp. B') is a $(N-1) \times 1$ matrix, C (resp. 0) is a $1 \times (N-1)$ matrix and $d \neq 0$.

Replace V with $\psi(V)$ and μ_j with $\psi \circ \mu_j \circ (\psi^{-1} \times \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^+})$, $j = 1, \dots, \ell$. One can check easily that $V \cap \{x_N = 1\}$ (remark that $\psi(\{x_N = 1\}) = \{x_N = 1\}$) is conic with respect to the actions $\widetilde{\mu}_j$ defined as $\widetilde{\mu}_j(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, 1, \lambda) = (\lambda^{\alpha_{j1}} x_1, \dots, \lambda^{\alpha_{jN-1}} x_{N-1}, 1)$. By the induction hypothesis $W \cap \{x_N = 1\}$ contains a subanalytic open neighborhood W_1 of $V \cap \{x_N = 1\}$ in $\{x_N = 1\}$ which is $(\widetilde{\mathbb{R}^+})^{\ell-1}$-connected (here $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}^+}$ means with respect to $\widetilde{\mu}_j$, $j = 1, \dots, \ell-1$).

Let $\pi : (x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, x_N) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_{N-1})$ be the projection. Then $W \cap \pi^{-1}(\pi(p))$ is a disjoint union of intervals. Let us consider the interval $(m(p), M(p))$ containing $x_N = 1$. Set

$$\widetilde{W} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, x_N);\ (x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}) \in \pi(W_1),\ m(p) < x_N < M(p)\}.$$

By construction $\widetilde{W}$ is subanalytic and $\mathbb{R}^+_\ell$-connected. Let us prove that it is $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$-connected. Remark that $\mathbb{R}^+_j \mathbb{R}^+_\ell S = \mathbb{R}^+_\ell \widetilde{\mathbb{R}^+_j} S$ for each $j = 1, \dots, \ell - 1$ and $S \subseteq \{x_N = 1\}$ (here $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}^+_j}$ means conic with respect to $\widetilde{\mu}_j$). So by the induction hypothesis we are reduced to prove that $\mathbb{R}^+_\ell S$ is $\mathbb{R}^+_j$-connected if S is $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}^+_j}$-connected, $j = 1, \dots, \ell - 1$.

Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, x_N), \mu_j(x, a) \in \mathbb{R}^+_\ell S$. We shall prove that $\mu_j(x, b) \in \mathbb{R}^+_\ell S$ if $b \in [1, a]$ (we assume without loss of generality that $a > 1$). We have $(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, 1) = \mu_\ell(x, x_N^{-1}), \widetilde{\mu}_j(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, 1, a) = \mu_\ell(\mu_j(x, a), (a^{\alpha_{jN}} x_N)^{-1}) \in S$. Since S is $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}^+_j}$-connected we have $\widetilde{\mu}_j(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, 1, b) \in S$ and hence $\mu_j(x, b) = \mu_\ell(\widetilde{\mu}_j(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, 1, b), b^{\alpha_{jN}} x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^+_\ell S$.

(b) Suppose that V satisfies Vb. for each $i \in I_\ell$. Up to shrink W, we may assume that W satisfies Vb. for each $i \in I_\ell$ as well. Let $J^+ = \{i \in I_\ell,\ \alpha_{\ell i} > 0\}$, $J^- = \{i \in I_\ell,\ \alpha_{\ell i} < 0\}$.

We first shrink W on $X \setminus (\{x_i = 0,\ i \in J^+\} \sqcup \{x_i = 0,\ i \in J^-\})$. First of all we consider the homeomorphism such that $x_i \mapsto x_i^{1/|\alpha_{\ell i}|}$ if $i \in J^- \sqcup J^+$ and $x_i \mapsto x_i$ otherwise. Then we may assume that $\alpha_{\ell i} = \pm 1$ when $\alpha_{\ell i} \neq 0$. Let $x \in X$ and set $|x|_+ = \left(\sum_{i \in J^+} x_i^2\right)^{1/2}, |x|_- = \left(\sum_{i \in J^-} x_i^2\right)^{1/2}$. Set $S = \{x \in X;\ |x|_+ = |x|_-\}$. One can check easily that with this definition the intersection of S with an orbit of μ_ℓ is a point (namely, given $x_0 \in X$ we have

$\mathbb{R}^+_\ell x \cap S = \{\mu_\ell(x_0, \lambda)\}$ with $\lambda = \sqrt{|x_0|_-/|x_0|_+})$. Up to take a permutation of coordinates, we may assume that $N \in J^+$. Let us first assume that $x_N \neq 0$. As in (a), we may choose an homeomorphism ψ such that $\psi \circ \mu_\ell \circ (\psi^{-1} \times \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^+})$ acts only on the variable x_N.

Replace V with $\psi(V)$ and μ_j with $\psi \circ \mu_j \circ (\psi^{-1} \times \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^+})$, $j = 1, \ldots, \ell$. One can check easily that $V \cap S$ is conic with respect to the actions $\widetilde{\mu}_j$ defined as $\widetilde{\mu}_j(x_1, \ldots, x_{N-1}, x_N, \lambda) = \eta(\lambda^{\alpha_{j1}} x_1, \ldots, \lambda^{\alpha_{jN-1}} x_{N-1})$, where $\eta : [0, +\infty]^{N-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} S$. By the induction hypothesis $W \cap S$ contains a subanalytic open neighborhood W_1 of $V \cap S$ in S which is $(\widetilde{\mathbb{R}^+})^{\ell-1}$-connected (here $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}^+}$ means conic with respect to $\widetilde{\mu}_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, \ell - 1$).

Let $\pi : (x_1, \ldots, x_{N-1}, x_N) \mapsto (x_1, \ldots, x_{N-1})$ be the projection. Then $W \cap \pi^{-1}(\pi(p))$ is a disjoint union of intervals. Let us consider the interval $(m(p), M(p))$ intersecting S. Set

$$\widetilde{W}_1' = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_{N-1}, x_N);\ (x_1, \ldots, x_{N-1}) \in \pi(W_1),\ m(p) < x_N < M(p)\}.$$

By construction $\widetilde{W}_1'$ is subanalytic and $\mathbb{R}^+_\ell$-connected. To show that it is $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$-connected one can easily adapt the proof of (a).

Now let us consider $W \cap \{x_N = 0\}$. Up to shrink W, we may assume that $\widetilde{W}_1' \cup (W \cap \{x_N = 0\})$ is open. By induction on the dimension of J^+ we may construct $\widetilde{W}_1^0 \subset W \cap \{x_N = 0\}$ with the required properties. By construction $\widetilde{W}_1 = \widetilde{W}_1' \cup \widetilde{W}_1^0$ is subanalytic and $\mathbb{R}^+_\ell$-connected.

Let us now shrink $W \cap \{x_i = 0,\ i \in J^+\}$. The same method will apply to $W \cap \{x_i = 0,\ i \in J^-\}$. The set $V \cap \{x_i = 0,\ i \in J^+\} \cap \{x_i = 0,\ i \in J^-\}$ is $\mathbb{R}^+_j$-conic, $j = 1, \ldots, \ell - 1$ (the action μ_ℓ is trivial there). By the induction hypothesis we may assume that $W \cap \{x_i = 0,\ i \in J^+\} \cap \{x_i = 0,\ i \in J^-\}$ is $(\mathbb{R}^+)^{\ell-1}$-connected. The intersection of the orbits of μ_ℓ and W are homeomorphic to a disjoint union of intervals. Let us choose the ones whose boundary intersects $\{x_i = 0,\ i \in J^-\}$. Let us prove that this provides a set satisfying the hypothesis.

Assume that $N \in J^-$ and $x_N \neq 0$. As in (a), we may choose an homeomorphism ψ such that $\psi \circ \mu_\ell \circ (\psi^{-1} \times \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^+})$ acts only on the variable x_N. Replace V with $\psi(V)$ and μ_j with $\psi \circ \mu_j \circ (\psi^{-1} \times \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^+})$, $j = 1, \ldots, \ell$.

Let $\pi : (x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, x_N) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_{N-1})$ be the projection. One can check easily that $\pi(V)$ is conic with respect to the actions $\widetilde{\mu}_j$ defined as $\widetilde{\mu}_j(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, \lambda) = (\lambda^{\alpha_{j1}} x_1, \dots, \lambda^{\alpha_{jN-1}} x_{N-1})$ and that $\pi(W)$ is $(\widetilde{\mathbb{R}^+})^{\ell-1}$-connected (here $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}^+}$ means with respect to $\widetilde{\mu}_j$, $j = 1, \dots, \ell-1$). This is because $\psi^{-1}(W) \cap \{x_i = 0,\, i \in J^+\} \cap \{x_i = 0,\, i \in J^-\}$ is $(\mathbb{R}^+)^{\ell-1}$-connected and $\psi^{-1}(W)$ satisfies Vb.

Let $p \in W$. Then $W \cap \pi^{-1}(\pi(p))$ is a disjoint union of intervals. Let us consider the interval $(m(p), M(p))$ with $m(p) = 0$. Set

$$\widetilde{W}_0^+ = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, x_N);\ (x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}) \in \pi(W),\ 0 < x_N < M(p)\}.$$

By construction $\widetilde{W}_0^+$ is subanalytic and $\mathbb{R}^+_\ell$-connected. To show that it is $(\mathbb{R}^+)^\ell$-connected one can easily adapt the proof of (a). On $x_N = 0$ the proof is similar.

To end the proof, let us show that $\widetilde{W} = \widetilde{W}_1 \cup \widetilde{W}_0^+ \cup \widetilde{W}_0^-$ is a neighborhood of $\widetilde{W}_0^+ \cup \widetilde{W}_0^+$. We argue by contradiction. We suppose that there exists $y \in \widetilde{W}_0^+ \cup \widetilde{W}_0^+$ and that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $y_\varepsilon \in \{x \in X;\ |x - y| < \varepsilon,\ |x|_+, |x|_- > 0\}$ with $y_\varepsilon \notin \widetilde{W}$. Suppose that $y \in \widetilde{W}_0^-$ (if $y \in \widetilde{W}_0^+$ the proof is similar). Taking ε small enough, we may assume that $\mathbb{R}^+_\ell y_\varepsilon \cap S = \mu_\ell(y_\varepsilon, \lambda_\varepsilon)$ with $\lambda_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$. By construction of $\widetilde{W}$ there must be $z_\varepsilon \in \mu_\ell(y_\varepsilon, [\lambda_\varepsilon, 1])$ (or $z_\varepsilon \in \mu(y_\varepsilon, [1, \lambda_\varepsilon])$) with $z_\varepsilon \notin W$.

We may also assume that for ε small enough, z_ε belongs to $K \subset W$ with K compact. The set K is constructed as follows:
- if $y \notin \widetilde{W}_0^+$, take a compact neighborhood $B = \{x \in X;\ |x - y| \leq \delta\}$ of y contained in W and set $K = \mathbb{R}^+_\ell B \cap \{|x|_- \leq |y|_- + \delta\} \cap \{|x|_+ \leq |x|_-\}$,
- if $y \in \widetilde{W}_0^- \cap \widetilde{W}_0^+$, take a compact neighborhood $B = \{x \in X;\ |x - y| \leq \delta\}$ of y contained in W and set $K = \mathbb{R}^+_\ell B \cap \{|x|_- \leq \delta\} \cap \{|x|_+ \leq \delta\}$.

Being a neighborhood of $\widetilde{W}_0^+ \cup \widetilde{W}_0^+$, W must contain such a K for δ small enough.

Then we can extract a sequence z_n, with $z_n \in \mu_\ell(y_{\varepsilon_n}, [\lambda_{\varepsilon_n}, 1])$ (or $z_n \in \mu_\ell(y_{\varepsilon_n}, [1, \lambda_{\varepsilon_n}])$)which has a limit z in K. This leads to a contradiction since $z \in W$ and W is open.

□

A.4 A proof for Propostion 1.2

In this appedix, we give the proof for Propostion 1.2. Clearly ii. of the propostion implies i. of the propostion. We will show the converse implication. We may assume $q = 0$ and $(T^*X)_q = \mathbb{R}^n$. Furthermore, by a linear coordinates transformation, we also assume that each V_k in the definition is the vector subspace spanned by the vectors dx_i $(i \in E_k)$ for some $E_k \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$. We will prove the claim by the induction with respect to n, i.e., the dimension of X. If $n = 0$, then the claim clearly holds. Suppose that the claim were true for $0, 1, \dots, n-1$ and we will show the claim for $n > 0$. We need the following lemma.

Lemma A.17. *Let* $\chi = \{M_1, \dots, M_\ell\}$ *be a family of closed submanifolds in* X*, and let* g_j $(j = 1, \dots, \ell)$ *be a real analytic function on* M_j *satisfying* $g_{j_1} = g_{j_2}$ *on* $M_{j_1} \cap M_{j_2}$ *for any* $1 \le j_1, j_2 \le \ell$*. Suppose Proposition 1.2 were true and* χ *is simultaneously linearizable at* $q \in M$*. Then there exists a real analytic function* $g(x)$ *in an open neighborhood of* q *such that* $g = g_j$ *on* M_j*. Furthermore, if* $d_{M_j} g_j(q) = 0$ *holds for* $j = 1, \dots, \ell$*, then we can choose such a* $g(x)$ *with* $dg(q) = 0$*.*

Proof. We may assume $q = 0$ and $X = \mathbb{R}^n$. We choose a system of coordinates $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ satisfying that each M_j is give by $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; x_i = 0\ (i \in I_j)\}$ for some $I_j \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$. Let π_j be the orthogonal projection from $\mathbb{R}^n$ to M_j. For a subset $\alpha = \{j_1, \dots, j_p\} \subset \{1, \dots, \ell\}$, we set $M_\alpha = M_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap M_{j_p}$ and define the function g_α in M_α by $g_j|_{M_\alpha}$ for some $j \in \alpha$. Note that, by the gluing conditions, g_α is independent of the choice of $j \in \alpha$. Let π_α denote the orthogonal projection from $\mathbb{R}^n$ to M_α. Then

$$g(x) = \sum_{\alpha \neq \emptyset} (-1)^{|\alpha|+1} g_\alpha(\pi_\alpha(x)),$$

gives a desired real analytic function where α ranges through non-empty subsets of $\{1, \dots, \ell\}$ and $|\alpha|$ denotes the number of elements of α. □

Set $X_k := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n;\, x_i = 0\ (i \in E_k)\}$. We denote by $\pi_k : \mathbb{R}^n \to X_k$ be the orthogonal projection. Define $\mathcal{J}_k := \{j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\};\, V_k \subset (T^*_{M_j}X)_q\}$. Note that, in a neighborhood of 0, π_k gives an isomorphism between M_j and $\pi_k(M_j) \subset X_k$ for any $j \in \mathcal{J}_k$.

Now let us consider a $1 \le k \le m$ for which $\mathcal{J}_k$ is non-empty. Suppose that $k = 1$ is such a k. For each $j \in \mathcal{J}_1$ and $i \in E_1$, because of $dx_i \in (T^*_{M_j}X)_0$, we can find a real analytic function $g_{j,i}$ in an open neighborhood of 0 with $g_{j,i} = 0$ on M_j and $dg_{j,i}(0) = dx_i$. Then, by the implicit function theorem, we may assume that each $g_{j,i}$ has a form $x_i - g'_{j,i}(x')$ where x' denotes the coordinates x_i with $i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus E_1$ (i.e., the coordinates of X_1) and $g'_{j,i}(x')$ is a real analytic function in X_1 with $g'_{j,i}(0) = 0$ and $d_{X_1}g'_{j,i}(0) = 0$.

Let us consider the family $\chi' = \{\pi_1(M_j)\}_{j \in \mathcal{J}_1}$ of closed submanifolds in X_1. Clearly $(T^*X_1)_0$ contains the vector subspace $V_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_m$ such that each $(T^*_{\pi_1(M_j)}X)$ is a part of this direct sum for $j \in \mathcal{J}_1$.

Lemma A.18. *For any j_1 and j_2 in $\mathcal{J}_1$, the subset $\pi_1(M_{j_1}) \cap \pi_1(M_{j_2})$ is a closed submanifold in X_1 and we have*

$$(T^*_{\pi_1(M_{j_1})}X_1)_0 + (T^*_{\pi_1(M_{j_2})}X_1)_0 = (T^*_{\pi_1(M_{j_1}) \cap \pi_1(M_{j_2})}X_1)_0.$$

Proof. We get

$$\kappa := \dim (M_{j_1} \cap M_{j_2}) = \dim\, X - \dim ((T^*_{M_{j_1}}X)_0 + (T^*_{M_{j_2}}X)_0) \tag{A.6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\dim\, X - \dim ((T^*_{M_{j_1}}X)_0 + (T^*_{M_{j_2}}X)_0) \\ &\quad = \dim\, X_1 - \dim ((T^*_{\pi_1(M_{j_1})}X_1)_0 + (T^*_{\pi_1(M_{j_2})}X_1)_0) \\ &\quad\ \ge \dim (\pi_1(M_{j_1}) \cap \pi_1(M_{j_2})). \end{aligned} \tag{A.7}$$

Here the last dimension denotes that of an analytic germ at 0. As a result, we obtain $\kappa \ge \dim (\pi_1(M_{j_1}) \cap \pi_1(M_{j_2}))$. Let L be an irreducible component of the germ of $\pi_1(M_{j_1}) \cap \pi_1(M_{j_2})$. Note that $\dim L \le \kappa$ also holds. Then, since $M_{j_1} \cap M_{j_2}$ is defined by

$$\left\{ (\{g'_{j_1,i}(x')\}_{i \in E_1},\, x') \in X;\ \begin{matrix} g'_{j_1,i}(x') = g'_{j_2,i}(x')\ (i \in E_1) \\ x' \in \pi_1(M_{j_1}) \cap \pi_1(M_{j_2}) \end{matrix} \right\},$$

if either dim $L < \kappa$ or $g'_{j_1,i} \neq g'_{j_2,i}$ on L for some $i \in E_1$ holds, then we can find a point q' such that the dimension of the germ of $M_{j_1} \cap M_{j_2}$ at q' is strictly less than κ, which contradicts the fact that $M_{j_1} \cap M_{j_2}$ is smooth and its dimension is κ. Hence we can conclude that every irreducible components of $\pi_1(M_{j_1}) \cap \pi_1(M_{j_2})$ has dimension κ and we also have $g'_{j_1,i} = g'_{j_2,i}$ on $\pi_1(M_{j_1}) \cap \pi_1(M_{j_2})$ for any $i \in E_1$. Therefore we have

$$M_{j_1} \cap M_{j_2} = \left\{ (\{g'_{j_1,i}(x')\}_{i \in E_1},\, x') \in X;\, x' \in \pi_1(M_{j_1}) \cap \pi_1(M_{j_2}) \right\},$$

from which $\pi_1(M_{j_1} \cap M_{j_2}) = \pi_1(M_{j_1}) \cap \pi_1(M_{j_2})$ follows. Clearly $\pi_1(M_{j_1} \cap M_{j_2})$ is a closed submanifold and its dimension is still κ. Therefore $\pi_1(M_{j_1}) \cap \pi_1(M_{j_2})$ is a closed submanifold in X_1. Furthermore, by(A.6) and (A.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\dim\left((T^*_{\pi_1(M_{j_1})}X_1)_0 + (T^*_{\pi_1(M_{j_2})}X_1)_0\right) \\ &= \dim X_1 - \kappa = \dim\,(T^*_{\pi_1(M_{j_1}) \cap \pi_1(M_{j_2})}X_1)_0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have obtained the last equation in the lemma. □

By these observations, the family $\chi' = \{\pi_1(M_j)\}_{j \in \mathcal{J}_1}$ of closed submanifolds in X_1 is simultaneously linearizable. Hence, by the induction hypothesis, the claim ii. of the proposition for χ' holds. It follows from the proof of the above lemma that, for any j_1, j_2 in $\mathcal{J}_1$ and $i \in E_1$, we have $g'_{j_1,i} = g'_{j_2,i}$ on $\pi_1(M_{j_1}) \cap \pi_1(M_{j_2})$. Hence, for each $i \in E_1$, by applying Lemma A.17 to functions $g'_{j,i}$ on $\pi_1(M_j)$ $(j \in \mathcal{J}_1)$, there exists a real analytic function $g'_i(x')$ in X_1 such that $g'_i = g'_{j,i}$ on $\pi_1(M_j)$ for $j \in \mathcal{J}_1$ and $d_{X_1} g'_i(0) = 0$. Thus we have obtained the real analytic function $f_i(x) := x_i - g'_i(x')$ $(i \in E_1)$ such that $df_i(0) = dx_i$ and $f_i(x) = 0$ on M_j $(j \in \mathcal{J}_1)$. By repeating this procedure for $E_2, \ldots, E_m$ respectively, we can obtain n-real analytic functions f_i $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$. Here, if $\mathcal{J}_k$ is empty, then we simply set $f_i(x) := x_i$ $(i \in E_k)$. It follows from the construction that these f_i's form a system of local coordinates of X near 0 and each M_j is defined by equations $f_i = 0$ $(i \in \bigcup_{V_k \subset (T^*_{M_j}X)_q} E_k)$. Hence we have shown the claim for n and this completes the proof.

References

[1] E. Bierstone, D. Milman, Semianalytic and subanalytic sets, Publ. I.H.E.S. **67**, pp. 5-42 (1988).

[2] V. Colin, Specialization of formal cohomology and asymptotic expansions, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **37** pp. 37-69 (2001).

[3] M. Coste, An introduction to o-minimal geometry, Dip. Mat. Univ. Pisa, Dottorato di Ricerca in Matematica, Istituti Editoriali e Poligrafici Internazionali, Pisa (2000).

[4] A. Dufresnoy, Sur l'oprateur d" et les fonctions diffrentiables au sens de Whitney, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble, **29** N. **1** pp. 229-238 (1979).

[5] N. Honda, L. Prelli, S. Yamazaki, Multi-microlocalization and microsupport, to appear, Bulletin Soc. Math. France, arXiv:1401.0746.

[6] N. Honda, L. Prelli, Multi-specialization and multi-asymptotic expansions, Advances in Math. **232**, pp. 432-498 (2013).

[7] M. Kashiwara, P. Schapira, Sheaves on manifolds, Grundlehren der Math. **292**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1990).

[8] M. Kashiwara, P. Schapira, Moderate and formal cohomology associated with constructible sheaves, Mémoires Soc. Math. France **64** (1996).

[9] M. Kashiwara, P. Schapira, Ind-sheaves, Astérisque **271** (2001).

[10] S. Łojaciewicz, Sur le problème de la division, Studia Mathematica **8**, (1959) pp. 87-136.

[11] H. Majima, Asymptotic Analysis for Integrable Connections with Irregular Singular Points, Lecture Notes in Math. **1075**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1984).

[12] B. Malgrange, Ideals of differentiable functions, Tata Institute, Oxford University Press (1966).

[13] L. Prelli, Sheaves on subanalytic sites, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova Vol. **120**, pp. 167-216 (2008).

[14] L. Prelli, Conic sheaves on subanalytic sites and Laplace transform, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova Vol. **125**, pp. 173-206 (2011).

[15] L. Prelli, Microlocalization of subanalytic sheaves, Mémoires Soc. Math. France **135** (2013).

[16] P. Schapira, K. Takeuchi, Déformation normale et bispécialisation, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Math. **319**, pp. 707-712 (1994).

[17] K. Takeuchi, Binormal deformation and bimicrolocalization, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **32** pp. 277-322 (1996).

[18] L. Van Den Dries, Tame topology and o-minimal structures, London Math. Society Lecture Notes Series **248**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1998).

[19] H. Whitney, Functions differentiable on the boundaries of regions, Ann. Math. **35** pp. 482-485 (1934).

Naofumi HONDA
Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science,
Hokkaido University,
060-0810 Sapporo, Japan.

Luca PRELLI
Dipartimento di Matematica
Università degli Studi di Padova,
Via Trieste 63,
35121 Padova, Italia.
lprelli@math.unipd.it